

Percutaneous coronary intervention in octogenarian patients

Intervención coronaria percutánea en pacientes octogenarios

Tomás Miranda-Aquino,* Silvia Esmeralda Pérez-Topete,*
Bertha Cadena-Núñez,** Manuel Nicolás Guerra-Villa,*
Héctor Elizondo-Adamchik,*** Enrique Ponce de León-Martínez,****
Adrián Dávila-Bortoni,**** Ramón Javier Treviño-Frutos****

Key words:

Octogenarians, radial
access, femoral
access, radiation
time, radiation
dose, vascular
complication,
Mexico.

Palabras clave:

Octogenarios,
abordaje radial,
abordaje femoral,
tiempo de radiación,
dosis de radiación,
complicaciones
vasculares, México.

* Internal Medicine
Resident.
** Cardiology Resident.
*** Medical Doctor.
**** Cardiology.

Hospital Christus
Muguerza Alta
Especialidad, University
of Monterrey.
Monterrey, Nuevo León.

Received:
02/09/2016
Accepted:
07/07/2017

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Octogenarian patients are usually excluded from studies in which the effectiveness of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is compared. **Objective:** Our aim is to compare this procedure among octogenarians and those younger; in addition to comparing the radial access (RA) and femoral access (FA) in patients older than 80 years. **Material and methods:** This is a retrospective, observational, comparative, longitudinal, single-center study. Patients who have been referred for PCI, from 2010 to 2016 in Monterrey, Nuevo Leon, Mexico were included. The groups were divided into the octogenarians group (OG) and a group of younger than 80 years (YG). **Results:** A total of 1,282 patients were collected, of whom 92 were of the OG and 1,190 were of the YG. The FA was the most used. Similar levels of radiation time (RT), radiation dose (DR), and amount of administered contrast medium (CM), vascular complications (VC) and mortality between the two groups were found. However, the OG had more length of hospital stay (LHS). Comparing the FA against RA in the OG there were no differences in RT, RD, amount of CM, VC, death and LHS. **Conclusion:** The results are similar between the two groups, with a greater tendency to more LHS in the OG. There were not significant differences between the RA and FA in the OG, except that LHS in the FA.

RESUMEN

Introducción: Los pacientes octogenarios son usualmente excluidos de los estudios en los cuales se compara la efectividad de las intervenciones coronarias percutáneas. **Objetivo:** Nuestro objetivo es comparar este procedimiento entre los pacientes octogenarios y aquellos más jóvenes; además se compara el abordaje radial y el abordaje femoral en los pacientes octogenarios. **Material y métodos:** Éste es un estudio retrospectivo, observacional, comparativo, longitudinal, unicéntrico. Se realizó con aquellos pacientes que se refirieron para realización de un intervencionismo coronario percutáneo, en Monterrey, Nuevo León, México del 2010 al 2016. Los grupos se dividieron en el grupo de pacientes octogenarios y el grupo de pacientes menores de 80 años. **Resultados:** Se recabó un total de 1,282 pacientes, de los cuales 92 eran pacientes octogenarios, mientras que 1,190 fueron más jóvenes. En ambos el abordaje femoral fue el más utilizado. Se encontraron valores similares de dosis de radiación, tiempo de radiación, cantidad de contraste administrado, complicaciones vasculares y mortalidad entre los dos grupos. Sin embargo, los pacientes octogenarios tuvieron más días de estancia hospitalaria. Al comparar el abordaje femoral y el radial en octogenarios no se encontraron diferencias en dosis de radiación, tiempo de radiación, cantidad de medio de contraste, complicaciones vasculares, muerte y días de estancia hospitalaria. **Conclusiones:** Los resultados fueron similares entre ambos grupos de edad, con una mayor tendencia de estancia hospitalaria en octogenarios. No se encontraron diferencias significativas entre ambos abordajes en pacientes octogenarios, a excepción de mayores días de estancia hospitalaria en el abordaje femoral.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases remain the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide; increasing prevalence in all age groups. The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that by 2050 20% of the population will be elderly; which is why the number of octogenarian patients who undergo coronary angiography is rising.¹

It is clear that the realization of PCI has improved the survival of patients with ischemic heart disease.² However, the octogenarian patients, are usually excluded from clinical studies where the use of PCI were compared.³ Therefore, the management of these patients is based on the extrapolation of the results obtained in young patients.⁴

Patients over 80 years are the group of patients who have come to show a major change in prognosis when invasive coronary procedures are done, because the mortality of patients with acute coronary syndromes is more in elderly patients.⁵⁻⁷ Despite these findings, the number of octogenarian's patients that undergo invasive procedure are less, studies have shown that this is mostly due to the fear of more complications.⁸

The RA has proven to be superior to FA in coronary angiography, because it has been shown that has fewer local complications, besides that patients can be mobilized faster. However, the RA is technically demanding.¹

There are few studies comparing the use of PCI between patients younger and older than 80 years; and even less comparing the RA against FA in octogenarian patients, in terms of VC, RD, RT, mortality and LHS. That is why the aim of this study is to compare patients older than 80 years who underwent coronary angiograms against younger than 80 years; and secondarily compare both approaches in this group of patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a retrospective, observational, comparative, longitudinal, single-center study. All patients over 18 who underwent PCI during the period from January 2010 to March 2016 were included. The study was conducted at the Christus Muguerza High Specialty Hospital,

which is a private hospital of 200 beds, located in northeastern Mexico, with two cardiac catheterization laboratories, where about 400 endovascular coronary diagnostic and therapeutic procedures are performed annually.

The patient data were collected from the files of catheterization laboratories. All those patients in whom there had not been full information for the purposes of the study were excluded. Patients were divided into two groups, the OG and the YG. Epidemiological variables such as diagnosis by which the patient underwent the PCI, comorbidities, access used, prevalence of multivessel disease, RT, RD, quantity of CM used, VC, death and LHS were collected. Furthermore, in the OG both access were compared.

Statutes governed by the Declaration of Helsinki were followed.

The MedCalc® program was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables are presented as means with standard deviation or medians with their interquartile ranges, depending on whether or not show a normal distribution, and were compared using the Student t test or the Mann-Whitney test. Categorical variables are presented as percentages and were compared using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. It was determined as statistically significant a p-value < 0.05.

Multivessel disease was defined as the presence of obstruction of more than 50% of two or more vessels. The VC were those related directly to the site of access and divided into local hematoma/bleeding, retroperitoneal hematoma, vascular dissection, thrombosis, pseudoaneurysm.

Before the procedure, bilateral femoral and radial pulses were evaluated by a physician. Selection of the access site was individualized per the preferences of the operator. Radial sheaths for diagnostic and interventional procedures had a diameter of 5- and 6-F, respectively. For the transradial approach, 0.3 mg isosorbide dinitrate to prevent radial artery spasm and 5,000 IU of unfractionated heparin (UFH) to prevent thrombosis were injected directly into the radial artery through the sheath; 5-F sheaths were used for transfemoral diagnostic procedures. Transfemoral interventions were performed using 6-F-7F. Hemostasis was obtained in the RA using a pressure bandage

(TR-band), immediately applied to the puncture site without a period of manual compression. In the FA was obtained by manual compression and the patient were restricted to bed rest for 6 hours. After an interventional procedure via FA, vascular closure devices (Angioseal) were used in 150 of the patients (17%).

The angiographic unit is equipped with a flat panel image detector (Innova 3100, General Electric), which offers a choice of four-dimensional image field of 30 × 30 cm, 20 × 20 cm, 16 × 16 cm and 12 × 12 cm. In addition, the unit is capable of performance pulsed fluoroscopy at ranges of 7.5, 15 and 30 images per second, and acquisitions of 15 to 30 images per second.

The RD is the amount of energy deposited locally per unit mass tissue, it expressed in milligray (mGy).

The RT, in this study, was the amount of time in minutes when the pulsed fluoroscopy or acquisition of the images were activated.

Compliance with ethical standards

This study was not funded by anybody. Authors declare no conflict of interest. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

RESULTS

A total of 1,282 patients were collected (Table I), of whom 92 were of the OG and 1,190 in the YG. With an average age of 83 years (± 2.8) in the OG versus 61 years (± 10.9) in the YG. A proportion of 52% of men in the OG, compared to 76% in the YG ($p \leq 0.0001$). A higher BMI was observed in YG ($26.3 (\pm 4.2)$ versus $28.4 (\pm 7.5)$ in the OG; $p = 0.01$).

Among the most prevalent comorbidities in the two groups were dyslipidemia, hypertension and diabetes mellitus 2, there was significant difference in the proportions of hypertensive patients (68% OG versus 57% YG $p = 0.05$). Regarding diagnosis by which coronary

angiography was performed, it was documented that in both groups the most common diagnosis was non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI)/unstable angina (UA) (54% versus 54%; $p = 0.9$); while in second place was ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), being slightly higher in the YG (11% versus 15%, $p = 0.3$).

The FA was the most used in both groups (70% YG versus 64% OG; $p = 0.3$). There were no statistically significant differences in the RT (10 (6-17) OG vs 10 (5-16) minutes YG; $p = 0.2$); nor in the RT (733 mGy (406-1718) OG versus YG 944 mGy (467-2017); $p = 0.2$); neither in the amount of CM administered (180 mL (112-277) OG versus 160 mL YG (100-250); $p = 0.4$).

In the aspect of VC related to the approach used, there were less complications in the OG (4% versus 5%; $p = 0.7$); in both groups the local bleeding/hematoma was the most common vascular complication. Mortality was similar (4% OG vs 3% YG; $p = 0.8$). OG patients hospitalized lasted more days (4 days (3-6) versus 3 (2-5); $p = 0.003$).

Comparing the RA versus the FA (Table II) in the OG, the latter was the approach most commonly used (70% ($n = 64$) versus 30% ($n = 28$)). There were no statistically significant differences in the RT (8.5 minutes (4-26) RA versus FA 10.5 minutes (6-17); $p = 0.6$), nor in the RT (792 mGy (465-1954) RA versus FA 660 mGy (406-1409; $p = 0.3$); neither in the amount of CM administered, although in the RT was lower the amount (150 mL (85-230) versus 180 mL (130-280); $p = 0.09$). There were no site-related VC in the radial access (0% vs. 6%; $p = 0.6$); the complications in the FA were 50% local bleeding/hematoma, 25% retroperitoneal hematoma and 25% dissection. Patients who underwent FA had more LHS (3 days (1-5) versus 4 days (3-6); $p = 0.04$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, it was documented that only 7% of all patients who underwent a PCI, corresponded to the OG, which had already been described in the literature.⁹ This group of patients are poorly represented due to higher risk that reduces the number of such procedures offered to octogenarians.^{3,6,10}

Table I. Baseline characteristics.

	OG	YG	p
n	92	1,190	
Diagnosis			
NSTEMI/UA	54%	54%	0.9
Stable angina	5%	7%	0.6
STEMI	11%	15%	0.3
Heart failure	5%	3%	0.4
Positive ischemia test	10%	14%	0.4
Valvulopathy	4%	3%	0.8
Pulmonary embolism	2%	0.50%	0.3
Other	8%	3%	0.02
Age (years)	83 (\pm 2.8)	61 (\pm 10.9)	< 0.0001
Gender	H		< 0.0001
Body mass index	26.3 (\pm 4.2)	28.4 (\pm 7.5)	0.01
Dyslipidemia	50%	42%	0.2
Arterial hypertension	68%	57%	0.05
Diabetes mellitus	38%	38%	0.9
Chronic kidney disease	7%	4%	0.3
Vascular access			
Radial	30%	36%	0.3
Femoral	70%	64%	0.3
Multivessel disease	21%	20%	0.8
Radiation time (min)	10 (6-17)	10 (5-16)	0.2
Radiation dose (mGy)	733 (406-1718)	944 (467-2017)	0.2
Contrast media (mL)	180 (112-277)	160 (100-250)	0.4
Vascular complications	4%	5%	0.7
Local bleeding/hematoma	50%	82%	0.4
Retroperitoneal hematoma	25%	5%	0.6
Dissection	25%	5%	0.6
Pseudoaneurysm	0%	2%	0.1
Thrombosis	0%	6%	0.5
Dead	4%	3%	0.8
LHS (days)	4 (3-6)	3 (2-5)	0.003

Table II. Radial access versus femoral access in octogenarians group.

Vascular access	Radial	Femoral	p
n	28	64	
Radiation time (min)	8.5 (4-26)	10.5 (6-17)	0.6
Radiation dose (mGy)	792 (465-1954)	660 (406-1409)	0.3
Contrast media (mL)	150 (85-230)	180 (130-280)	0.09
Vascular complications	0%	6%	0.6
Dead	4%	5%	0.8
LHS (days)	3 (1-5)	4 (3-6)	0.04

In this study it was observed that the NSTEMI/UA were the most common PCI in the OG, which is consistent with that reported by Kolte D, et al.¹¹ There was a slightly larger proportion of comorbidities in the OG, as described Koutouzis M, et al 1 in their study. Significant difference was found in the proportion of patients with hypertension, being higher in the OG.

It is worth noting that the proportion of women (48%), increases to be almost equal to that of men (52%) in the OG; compared with

the rest of the population, where the male is the dominant (76%)

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding the site of access, being more frequent in both the FA; this correlates with the report of Gunarathne A, et al,¹² where also was the preferred access. However, there is literature⁵ in which the radial is the access site of choice.

A significant data of this study was that the proportion of patients with multivessel disease was similar in both groups of patients, in contrast to what was reported by Yan BP, et al⁷ where they had reported that patients older than 80 years had more complex lesions and more multivessel disease.

No statistically significant differences as to the RD or RT in both groups were found. Hu F, et al¹³ had reported an increase in amount of 20 mL of CM on average in octogenarian patients, compared with the younger group, which could adversely affect renal function in these patients, increasing the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy.¹⁴ In this study there was a difference of 20 mL of contrast, with no significant differences between the two groups.

In both groups similar proportions of VC related to the procedure were documented, in contrast with those reported by Buber J, et al,¹⁵ which reported the more number of VC in octogenarian patients. The literature¹⁶ mentioned that after the procedures bleeding complications were a major risk factor for the outcome of octogenarian patients and more complications were reported, however, in the study this group of patients had not a higher incidence of complications. This correlates with mentioned by Martin, et al.⁵

There are many studies in which it is described that patients older than 80 years have a higher mortality subsequent to a PCI;^{1,7,10,17-19} this differs from this study since no statistically significant difference in mortality in both groups and even mortality was similar to that reported by Koutouzis M, et al.¹

The group of octogenarians had higher LHS, this finding was also reported by Gale C, et al.⁶

Comparing RA vs the FA in the OG there was no statistically significant difference in the RT and RD, although they were discreetly higher in patients who underwent RA, this finding

was already reported earlier;^{1,13,20} this may be because in these patients is more demanding this approach because vascular abnormalities.

There were no VC associated with a RA, compared to 6% in patients with FA, however, this difference was not significant. However, Martin AC, et al⁵ had already suggested that the RA should be used in these patients, especially those at high risk of bleeding complications.

Contrary to what was reported for Jaffe R, et al²¹ which mentions that RA requires greater amounts of CM, in this study was found that the FA required more amount of CM used, although it was not statistically significant, it could prevent the development of contrast-induced nephropathy using the RA, because it is a risk factor in this age group for the development of this clinical entity. Gunarathne A, et al¹² reported that FA was associated with increased mortality in elderly patients, in this study mortality values were found similar in both access. There are reports in the literature²² where it is mentioned that RA is an effective therapeutic measure with equally successful, fewer complications and better clinical outcomes compared to the FA.

In the literature¹³ it has been reported less time in hospital and early mobilization of patients who undergo the RA, which could help reduce the risk of venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in this group of patients. Even Ziakas A, et al²³ reported that in patients of this age is safe the hospital discharge on the same day of the procedure.

This study has limitations because is a single-center, observational and there was little sample in the OG, because of these there were not statistically significant differences comparing both approaches. It is relevant that exists few studies in the literature that report the outcomes of these access in this group of patients, which gives greater value to the data reported in the research.

CONCLUSION

There were no statistically significant differences in the type of access, RT, RD, amount of CM, VC associated with the site of access, and death between the OG and YG, however, octogenarian patients had more days in the hospital. When comparing, sites access in

octogenarian patients there were no statistically significant differences in RT, RD, amount of CM, VC associated with site access, death, except that greater LHS in the FA.

REFERENCES

1. Koutouzis M, Matejka G, Olivecrona G, Grip L, Albertsson P. Radial vs. femoral approach for primary percutaneous coronary intervention in octogenarians. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*. 2010; 11: 79-83.
2. Oe K, Shimizu M, Ino H, Yamaguchi M, Terai H, Kiyama M, Sakata K et al. In-hospital outcome in octogenarians with acute coronary syndrome undergoing emergent coronary angiography. *Jpn Heart J*. 2003; 44: 11-20.
3. Libungan B, Hirlekar G, Albertsson P. Coronary angioplasty in octogenarians with emergent coronary syndromes: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2014; 15: 349.
4. Faustino A, Mota P, Silva J. Non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes in octogenarians: Applicability of the GRACE and CRUSADE scores. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2014; 33(10): 617-627.
5. Martin AC, Dumas F, Spaulding C and Manzo-Silberman S. Management and decision-making process leading to coronary angiography and revascularization in octogenarians with coronary artery disease: Insights from a large single-center registry. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2015; 15: 544-552.
6. Gale C, Cattle BA, Woolston A, Baxter PD, West TH et al. Resolving inequalities in care? Reduced mortality in the elderly after acute coronary syndromes. The Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project 2003-2010. *Eur Heart J*. 2012; 33: 630-639.
7. Yan BP, Gurvitch R, Duffy SJ, Clark DJ, Sebastian M, New G et al. An evaluation of octogenarians undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention from the Melbourne interventional group registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2007; 70 (7): 928-936.
8. Ko D, Ross J, Wang Y, Krumholz H. Determinants of cardiac catheterization use in older medicare patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010; 3: 54-62.
9. Antonsen L, Jensen LO, Thayssen P, Christiansen EH, Junker A et al. Comparison of outcomes of patients > 80 years of age having percutaneous coronary intervention according to presentation (stable vs unstable angina pectoris/non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction vs st-segment elevation myocardial infarction). *Am J Cardiol*. 2011; 108: 1395-1400.
10. Rittger H, Schnupp S, Sinha AM, Breithardt OA, Schmidt M, Zimmermann S et al. Predictors of treatment in acute coronary syndromes in the elderly: impact on decision making and clinical outcome after interventional versus conservative treatment. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2012; 80 (5): 735-743.
11. Kolte D, Khera S, Palaniswamy C, Mujib M, Fonarow G, Jain d et al. Early Invasive Versus Initial Conservative Treatment Strategies in Octogenarians with UA/NSTEMI. *Am J Med*. 2013; 126 (12): 1076-1083.
12. Gunarathne A, Alahmar A, Gershlick AH. Data supporting the use of the radial approach as the default strategy in octogenarians undergoing primary-PCI? *Int J Cardiol*. 2016; 208: 60-64.
13. Hu F, Yang Y, Qiao S, Xu B, Liu H, Wu Y et al. Comparison between radial and femoral approach for percutaneous coronary intervention in patients aged 80 years or older. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2012; 25 (5): 513-517.
14. Rynkowska-Kidawa M, Zielińska M, Chiżyński K, Kidawa M. In-hospital outcomes and mortality in octogenarians after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Kardiol Pol*. 2015; 73 (6): 396-403.
15. Buber J, Goldenberg I, Kimron L, Guetta V. One-year outcome following coronary angiography in elderly patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction: real-world data from the Acute Coronary Syndromes Israeli Survey (ACSIS). *Coron Artery Dis*. 2013; 24 (2): 102-109.
16. Tammam K, Ikari Y, Yoshimachi F, Saito F, Hassan W. Impact of transradial coronary intervention on bleeding complications in octogenarians. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther*. 2016; 32 (1): 18-23.
17. Kvakestad KM, Abdelnoor M, Claussen PA, Eritsland J, Fossum E, Halvorsen S. Long-term survival in octogenarians and older patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction in the era of primary angioplasty: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2016; 5 (3): 243-252.
18. Assali AR, Moustapha A, Sdringola S, Salloum J, Awadalla H, Saikia S et al. The dilemma of success: percutaneous coronary interventions in patients > or = 75 years of age-successful but associated with higher vascular complications and cardiac mortality. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2003; 59 (2): 195-199.
19. Uthamalingam S, Ahmado I, Selvaraj V, Dewey R, Flynn J. Long term outcomes in octogenarians undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: comparison of bare metal versus drug eluting stent. *Int J Cardiol*. 2015; 179: 385-399.
20. Louvard Y, Benamer H, Garot P, Hildick-Smith D, Loubeyre C et al. Comparison of transradial and transfemoral approaches for coronary angiography and angioplasty in octogenarians (the OCTOPLUS study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2004; 94 (9): 1177-1180.
21. Jaffe R, Hong T, Sharieff W, Chisholm RJ, Kutryk MJ, Charron T et al. Comparison of radial versus femoral approach for percutaneous coronary interventions in octogenarians. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2007; 69(6): 815-820.
22. Lee HW, Cha KS, Ahn J, Choi JC, Oh JH, Choi JH et al. Comparison of transradial and transfemoral coronary intervention in octogenarians with acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016; 202: 419-424.
23. Ziakas A, Klinker P, Mildenerberger R, Fretz E, Williams M, Siega AD et al. Safety of same day discharge radial PCI in patients under and over 75 years of age. *Int Heart J*. 2007; 48 (5): 569-578.

Correspondence to:

Tomás Miranda-Aquino

1ª Avenida 758, Jardines de Anáhuac,
San Nicolás de los Garza,
Nuevo León, México
Tel: 558183508073
Móvil: 558110622355
E-mail: tomas_miranda_a@hotmail.com