



PRECISION ONCOLOGY IN PANCREATIC CANCER. FROM SURGERY- TO GENETIC-BASED CHEMOTHERAPY

ISMAEL DOMÍNGUEZ-ROSADO¹ AND JORGE MELÉNDEZ-ZAJGLA^{2*}

¹Department of Surgery, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City;

²Basic Research Subdirectorate, Instituto Nacional de Medicina Genómica, Mexico City, Mexico

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is one of the most lethal forms of solid tumors. These tumors arise from the exocrine component of the pancreas, probably from acinar stem cells¹⁻³, and are characterized by an unusual amount of stroma, which includes a heterogeneous assortment of cells, such as fibroblasts and immune and endothelial cells. The most important risk factors for this neoplasia are smoking and a family history of pancreatic tumors, but chronic pancreatitis, diabetes mellitus, obesity, and several new factors now being studied are also important⁴. Recently, Aykut *et al.* have shown that the commensal microorganisms that colonize the epithelial surfaces of the human body (known as microbiota) produce small molecules with both local and systemic effects on cancer onset, progression, and therapy response⁵. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma presents a 1000-fold increase in intratumoral bacteria and a 3000-fold increase in diverse fungi^{6,7}, which are thought to be key in tumoral progression and therapeutic response. These results open the path for novel diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment strategies^{8,9}. Patients with this disease have a 5-year survival rate of <5%, and

pancreatic and liver cancers are predicted to surpass breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers to become the second- and third-leading causes of death in the United States by 2030⁸⁻¹⁰. Even more worrisome, an upward incidence and mortality trend are predicted to position Latin America as the second world region by 2040, only after Africa¹⁰. Surgery is still the mainstay of treatment. Despite the improvement in surgical morbidity and mortality, the impact on overall survival is limited, with only 5% of patients surviving more than 5 years.

PERSPECTIVE

Treatment of pancreatic carcinoma is determined by clinical staging based on the current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines. The most advanced stage patients present predominantly metastatic systemic disease (commonly involving liver metastasis), in which surgical treatment is futile, and chemotherapy is the first line of treatment. The local advanced stage is defined as an absence of systemic disease, but when there is the involvement of the superior mesenteric artery or celiac artery or invasion

*Corresponding author:

Jorge Meléndez-Zajgla

E-mail: jmelendez@inmegen.gob.mx

Received for publication: 16-03-2020

Approved for publication: 10-06-2020

DOI: 10.24875/RIC.20000233

of the portomesenteric vein, it is not amenable to reconstruction. At this stage, chemotherapy may help to downstage the neoplasm to make it borderline resectable and allow surgical resection. The resectable stage is defined by the absence of systemic disease and arterial involvement; contact of the portomesenteric vein may exist involving $<180^\circ$ of its circumference making it technically possible to perform a viable reconstruction. Surgical resection is still the mainstay of treatment at the resectable stage¹¹.

There is currently a shift toward neoadjuvant chemotherapy for these tumors. A patient-based meta-analysis of 355 cases of local, advanced pancreatic cancer in patients who underwent neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX-based chemotherapy, showed an overall survival time of 24 months. Interestingly, in this cohort of patients, only 25% of patients underwent surgery, so the survival advantage was achieved in 75% of cases with chemotherapy alone; this speaks to the important advantage of modern chemotherapy in the survival of pancreatic cancer patients¹². Despite the evident advantages of chemotherapy in the locally advanced setting for downstaging and as adjuvant therapy with FOLFIRINOX-based regimens, no evidence exists of its benefit for resectable or borderline-resectable cases. A recent Phase III trial with gemcitabine-based neoadjuvant chemoradiation failed to demonstrate differences in overall survival rates¹³.

Recent international efforts have characterized the genomic landscape of pancreatic tumors¹⁴. The studies have shown that a significant fraction of these patients presents predictive germline and somatic biomarkers that could help with diagnosis and prognosis¹⁵. Besides the well-known mutations in KRAS, TP53, CDKN2A, and SMAD4, a long list of less frequent mutations has been discovered, including chromatin-regulating genes such as MLL, MLL2, MLL3, and ARID1A; axon-guidance genes such as ROBO1, 2, and 3; DNA-damage genes such as ATM; and other novel genes such as KDM6A, PREX2, and RNF43¹⁶. Following the established path for breast tumors, a limited number of molecular pancreatic subtypes have been described, based on their transcriptome (squamous, pancreatic progenitor, immunogenic, and aberrantly differentiated endocrine-exocrine tumors)¹⁶ or on their chromosomal structural variant (stable, locally rearranged, scattered, and unstable)¹⁷. These subtypes present differences in clinical outcomes and

therapeutic response. For example, the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network recently showed that 50% of the tumors presented actionable genomic findings (e.g., alterations that can be used for guiding targeted therapy)¹⁸. In this study, patients showed a superior and progression-free survival rate when their treatment was guided by the genomic results, although to a small degree (4.1 months vs. 1.9 months). Another study¹⁹ demonstrated that 48% of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma presented actionable mutations, with 30% of them undergoing a change in their management following these results. Notwithstanding the multiple genomic alterations found in these studies, the most common actionable mutations affected the DNA damage pathway, particularly the homologous recombination (HR) process. Mutations in genes related to this pathway are present in nearly 10%²⁰ of tumors, and an additional 7-7.6% have an HR deficiency without evidence of mutations¹⁹. Several preliminary studies show that HR deficiency predicts a patient's response to cisplatin- or oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy, which is the basis for ongoing studies using polyadenosine diphosphate-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors in these patients. Although these studies are encouraging, the required replication and clinical translational trials needed to establish the role of genomic precision strategies for cancer management are still scarce.

One of the least explored interventions in pancreatic cancer prevention is identifying people at high risk for the disease. Because most of the known, modifiable risk factors are relatively common (smoking, diabetes mellitus, and obesity), pinpointing specific groups for pancreatic-directed interventions are rather difficult. Nevertheless, contrary to the majority of tumors, pancreatic cancer patients present a higher prevalence of genetic predisposition genes. Diverse studies have proposed that between 10% and 20% of pancreatic cancer patients have an associated genetic predisposition component, including familial pancreatic cancer; diverse inherited tumor syndromes, such as Peutz-Jeghers and Li-Fraumeni syndrome; and single germline pathogenic mutations (Table 1). This high prevalence offers opportunities to provide secondary prevention, give affected families genetic counseling, and explore novel therapeutic approaches in these patients. However, these reports are based mainly on the populations of the US and the EU and lack diversity. Because the prevalence of

Table 1. Genetic risk factors, genes, relative risk, and associated tumors for pancreatic cancer

Risk factor	Genes	Risk	Associated tumors
Peutz-Jaeger syndrome	<i>STK11/LLDI</i>	132	Esophageal, stomach, small intestine, utrine, ovarian, etc.
Hereditary pancreatitis	<i>Trypsinogen/SPINK-1</i>	53	
FAMMM	<i>P16/CDKN2A/MTS1</i>	38	Melanoma
FAP	<i>APC</i>	4	Colon, duodenum, thyroid, brain, ampulla, etc.
Lynch, HNPCC	<i>MSH1/2, PMS1/2, MSH6, EPCAM</i>	4	Colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, stomach, small intestine, etc.
HBOC	<i>BRCA1/2, PALB2</i>	2-3	Breast, ovarian, and prostate
Li-Fraumeni	<i>TP53</i>	?	Sarcomas, breast, brain, adrenal glands
Ataxia telangiectasia	<i>ATM</i>	?	Leukemia, lymphoma
Cystic fibrosis	<i>CFTR</i>	?	
Other?	<i>TET2, DNMT3A, POLN, POLQ, ASXL1, FANCG, FANCC, FANCM, RAD54L, ESCO2, BUB1B</i>	?	?

FAMMM: familial atypical malignant mole and melanoma; FAP: familial adenomatous polyposis; HNPCC: hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer; HBOC: hereditary breast and ovarian cancer.

genomic variants differs between populations and the penetrance of the phenotypic consequence of these variants depends not only on genomic epistasis but also on environmental factors, it is urgent to determine the prevalence of pathogenic germinal variants in underrepresented cohorts, including Mexican patients, to propose a directed and low-cost genomic diagnostic test. Several authors have recently underscored population differences in pancreatic cancer (for example, Geographic and Ethnic Heterogeneity of Germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*). Recent reports have shown that even relatively small gene panels can detect a genetic predisposition for at least 10% of patients²¹. These findings prompted the American Society for Clinical Oncology to convey a provisional clinical opinion recommending that all patients with pancreatic cancer undergo risk assessment for genetic predisposition for these tumors²². The reason behind this recommendation is the striking 42% of patients with no family history of pancreatic cancer who would not have met the current recommendations for screening²³.

In addition, determining the germinal genetic alterations that predispose one to pancreatic cancer could also have important therapeutic implications. Both sporadic and genetically predisposed pancreatic

tumors can be deficient in homologous recombination, mismatch repair, and *STK11* genes. These tumors, and particularly those presented by patients demonstrating genetic susceptibility, could be sensitive to PARP inhibitors/platinum combinations, immune checkpoints, and mTOR inhibitors. Indeed, as of February 2020, there are 42 Phase II and 6 Phase III active clinical trials involving targeted therapy for pancreatic cancer in the USA, which show the general interest in developing a model for precision therapy to improve outcomes among pancreatic cancer patients. For example, a recent veliparib trial showed that with cisplatin/gemcitabine alone, 80% of patients with *BRCA* mutations presented a measurable response. This tailors chemotherapy to a less toxic regimen than FOLFIRINOX, based on *BRCA* mutation status²⁴.

Using a directed gene panel designed for our population in a selected group (patients with at least one first- or second-degree relative with previous pancreatic, breast, or predisposition syndrome-associated tumors) could be not only clinically relevant but also cost-effective. Alternatively, a risk model such as the Bayesian PancPRO²⁵ could be developed and validated in our country, although this would need an important investment in genome-wide studies.

REFERENCES

1. Ferreira RM, Sancho R, Messal HA, Nye E, Spencer-Dene B, Stone RK, et al. Duct and acinar-derived pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas show distinct tumor progression and marker expression. *Cell Rep.* 2017;21:966-78.
2. Takahashi M, Hori M, Ishigamori R, Mutoh M, Imai T, Nakagama H. Fatty pancreas: a possible risk factor for pancreatic cancer in animals and humans. *Cancer Sci.* 2018;109:3013-23.
3. Picardo SL, Coburn B, Hansen AR. The microbiome and cancer for clinicians. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2019;141:1-12.
4. Pushalkar S, Hundeyin M, Daley D, Zambirinis CP, Kurz E, Mishra A, et al. The pancreatic cancer microbiome promotes oncogenesis by induction of innate and adaptive immune suppression. *Cancer Discov.* 2018;8:403-16.
5. Aykut B, Pushalkar S, Chen R, Li Q, Abengozar R, Kim JI, et al. The fungal mycobiome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via activation of MBL. *Nature.* 2019;574:264-7.
6. Wei MY, Shi S, Liang C, Meng QC, Hua J, Zhang YY, et al. The microbiota and microbiome in pancreatic cancer: more influential than expected. *Mol Cancer.* 2019;18:97.
7. McAllister F, Khan MA, Helmkink B, Wargo JA. The tumor microbiome in pancreatic cancer: bacteria and beyond. *Cancer Cell.* 2019;36:577-9.
8. Rahib L, Smith BD, Aizenberg R, Rosenzweig AB, Fleshman JM, Matrisian LM. Projecting cancer incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the United States. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74:2913-21.
9. Ferlay J, Partensky C, Bray F. More deaths from pancreatic cancer than breast cancer in the EU by 2017. *Acta Oncol.* 2016; 55:1158-60.
10. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Gaduputi V. Epidemiology of pancreatic cancer: global trends, etiology and risk factors. *World J Oncol.* 2019;10:10-27.
11. Network NNCC. Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma; 2020. Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. [Last accessed on 2020 May 16].
12. Suker M, Beumer BR, Sadot E, Marthay L, Faris JE, Mellon EA, et al. FOLFIRINOX for locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and patient-level meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17:801-10.
13. Versteijne E, Suker M, Grootenhuis K, Akkermans-Vogelaar JM, Besselink MG, Bonsing BA, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy versus immediate surgery for resectable and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: results of the dutch randomized phase III PREOPANC trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38:1763-73.
14. Sausen M, Phallen J, Adleff V, Jones S, Leary RJ, Barrett MT, et al. Clinical implications of genomic alterations in the tumour and circulation of pancreatic cancer patients. *Nat Commun.* 2015; 6:7686.
15. Hayashi H, Tanishima S, Fujii K, Mori R, Okamura Y, Yanagita E, et al. Genomic testing for pancreatic cancer in clinical practice as real-world evidence. *Pancreatology.* 2018;18:647-54.
16. Bailey P, Chang DK, Nones K, Johns AL, Patch AM, Gingras MC, et al. Genomic analyses identify molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nature.* 2016;531:47-52.
17. Waddell N, Pajic M, Patch AM, Chang DK, Kassahn KS, Bailey P, et al. Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature.* 2015;518:495-501.
18. Pishvaian MJ, Bender RJ, Halverson D, Rahib L, Hendifar AE, Mikhail S, et al. Molecular profiling of patients with pancreatic cancer: initial results from the know your tumor initiative. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24:5018-27.
19. Aguirre AJ, Nowak JA, Camarda ND, Moffitt RA, Ghazani AA, Hazar-Rethinam M, et al. Real-time genomic characterization of advanced pancreatic cancer to enable precision medicine. *Cancer Discov.* 2018;8:1096-111.
20. Yurgelun MB, Chittenden AB, Morales-Oyarvide V, Rubinson DA, Dunne RF, Kozak MM, et al. Germline cancer susceptibility gene variants, somatic second hits, and survival outcomes in patients with resected pancreatic cancer. *Genet Med.* 2019;21:213-23.
21. Hu C, Hart SN, Bamlet WR, Moore RM, Nandakumar K, Eckloff BW, et al. Prevalence of pathogenic mutations in cancer predisposition genes among pancreatic cancer patients. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2016;25:207-11.
22. Stoffel EM, McKernin SE, Brand R, Canto M, Goggins M, Moravek C, et al. Evaluating susceptibility to pancreatic cancer: ASCO provisional clinical opinion. *J Clin Oncol.* 2019;37:153-64.
23. Tempero MA, Malafa MP, Chiorean EG, Czito B, Scaife C, Narang AK, et al. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma, version 1.2019. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2019;17:202-10.
24. O'Reilly EM, Lee JW, Zalupski M, Capanu M, Park J, Golan T, et al. Randomized, multicenter, Phase II trial of gemcitabine and cisplatin with or without veliparib in patients with pancreas adenocarcinoma and a germline BRCA/PALB2 mutation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2020;38:1378-88.
25. Wang W, Chen S, Brune KA, Hruban RH, Parmigiani G, Klein AP. PancPRO: risk assessment for individuals with a family history of pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25:1417-22.