Determination of homeodomain interacting protein kinase 2 polymorphisms rs2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 in patients with kidney stone
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Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to investigate whether homeodomain interacting protein kinase 2 (HIPK2) polymorphism is associated with renal stone formation in a Turkish population. Materials and methods: A total of 129 patients with calcium nephrolithiasis and 67 sex- and age-matched healthy controls were enrolled in the study. Blood samples were collected into EDTA tubes. The DNA of patients was extracted using a QIAsymphony® automated DNA isolation system. The Chi-square test was applied in the comparisons between the patient and control groups in respect of the differences in the genotype and allele frequencies. Results: No statistically significant difference was found between the groups in terms of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) incidence in single allele and double alleles in the rs2058265 and rs6464214 regions (p = 0.13 and 0.37, respectively). The SNP incidence in double alleles in nephrolithiasis patients at rs7456421 was statistically significantly lower than in the control group (p = 0.001). Conclusion: Distributions of the genotype and allele of the three polymorphisms (rs2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 in HIPK2) were not associated with an increased risk of kidney stone in this Turkish population.


Resumen

Objetivo: Investigar si el polimorfismo de la proteína cinasa 2 que interactúa con el homeodominio (HIPK2) está asociado con la formación de cálculos renales en una población turca. Método: Se inscribieron en el estudio 129 pacientes con nefrolitiasis cálcica y 67 sujetos control sanos, emparejados por sexo y edad. Las muestras de sangre se recogieron en tubos con EDTA. El ADN de los pacientes se extrajo mediante un sistema de aislamiento de ADN automatizado QIAsymphony®. Se aplicó la prueba χ² en las comparaciones entre los grupos de pacientes y control con respecto a las diferencias de las frecuencias genotípicas y alélicas. Resultados: No se encontraron diferencias estadísticamente significativas entre los grupos en términos de incidencia de polimorfismo de nucleótido simple (PNS) en alelo simple y alelo doble en las regiones rs2058265 y rs6464214 (p = 0.13 y 0.37, respectivamente). La incidencia de PNS en alelos dobles en pacientes con nefrolitiasis en
rs7456421 fue menor que en el grupo control, con una diferencia estadísticamente significativa (p = 0.001). Conclusiones: Las distribuciones de genotipo y alelo de los tres polimorfismos (rs2058265, rs6464214 y rs745642 en HIPK2) no se asociaron con un mayor riesgo de cálculos renales en esta población turca.


Introduction

Kidney stone incidence depends on geographical, climatic, ethnic, dietary and genetic factors, and so accordingly, the prevalence rates for urinary stones vary from 1% to 20%\textsuperscript{1,2}. Many studies have shown there to be a strong family history in patients with kidney stones, especially in those with recurrence. In a comprehensive genetic study in Iceland of 5954 patients with kidney stones significantly more kidney stones were determined to be seen in family members compared to the general population\textsuperscript{3,4}. Genetic polymorphism also causes nephrolithiasis. The most known polymorphic genes are the calcium-sensing receptor (CASR), vitamin D receptor (VDR), matrix Gla protein, and plasminogen activator, urokinase\textsuperscript{5,6}. Furthermore, the concordance rate of stone disease in monozygotic twins is substantially higher than in dizygotic ones (32.4\% vs. 17.3\%) demonstrating that genetic factors play a vital role in the formation of nephrolithiasis\textsuperscript{7}.

Homeodomain interacting protein kinase 2 (HIPK2) has been shown to be a new androgen receptor regulator. HIPK2 and androgen have been shown to mediate kidney tubular epithelial cell injury and apoptosis\textsuperscript{8,9}. In a recent study, Lin et al. found that the HIPK2 gene polymorphism also increased kidney stone risk in Chinese males\textsuperscript{10}. Some studies have stated that renal tubular epithelial cell (RTEC) damage is closely associated with early basic lesions of kidney stones\textsuperscript{11,12}. The shedding and death of these cells as a result of damage expose the basal membrane. Stone formation is facilitated by crystals adhering to these parts. Information related to HIPK2 polymorphism and renal stone formation is new-found and inconclusive. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one study in literature which has investigated the effect of HIPK2 polymorphism on nephrolithiasis\textsuperscript{13}. This study aimed to investigate whether HIPK2 polymorphism is associated with renal stone formation in a Turkish population.

Materials and methods

Approval for the study was granted by the Hospital Local Ethics Committee (E1-20-334). The clinical trials registration ID of this study is NCT04804436. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Between August 2018 and October 2019, patients with idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis were recruited to the study, and patients with other stone compositions were excluded. The age and gender of patients were recorded. The study included a total of 129 patients with calcium nephrolithiasis and 67 age- and gender-matched healthy controls. Blood and urine tests were performed on all patients. Nephrolithiasis was diagnosed on the basis of non-contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT). Stone specimens were obtained after shock-wave lithotripsy and analyzed with X-ray diffraction. Patients with a history of chronic urinary tract infection, renal failure, gastrointestinal diseases, increased levels of vitamin D, sarcoidosis, primary hyperoxaluria, polycystic kidney disease, gout, renal tubular acidosis, primary or secondary hyperparathyroidism, and a history of cancer or anatomic abnormalities were not included in the study.

Blood samples were collected into EDTA tubes. The DNA of patients was extracted with a QIAsymphony\textsuperscript{14} automated DNA isolation system (Qiagen Inc. Mississauga, ON, Canada). The real-time PCR amplification was performed using Human rs2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 TaqMan\textsuperscript{15} SNP Genotyping Assays (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) in a final volume of 20 μL reaction mixture, including 10 ng of genomic DNA, 5 μL of TaqMan\textsuperscript{16} Universal PCR Master Mix, and 0.5 μL of 40× TaqMan\textsuperscript{17} assay. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, then 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 secs, and 60°C for 1 min. The Rotor-Gene Q Series Software Version Q 2.3.1 (Rotor-Gene Q Series, Qiagen) was used for allelic discrimination.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, version 20 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables showing normal distribution were reported as mean ± standard deviation values, and when not normally distributed, as median (minimum-maximum) values. Categorical variables were stated as number (n) and percentage (%). The Chi-square test was utilized to compare the differences in the genotype and allele frequencies between
the patient and control groups. All statistical tests were two-tailed. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The evaluation was made of a total of 196 subjects, 129 with calcium nephrolithiasis, aged 52 ± 12 years, and 67 age-matched control subjects with no familial history of urinary stone diseases, abnormal urine analysis findings, and no findings of kidney stones on non-contrast-enhanced CT examinations.

The incidence of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in single allele and double alleles in the rs2058265 region was found to be 25% and 3.1%, respectively, in the nephrolithiasis patient group, and 29.9% and 9% in the control group (\( p = 0.13 \)). The rates of SNP in the rs6464214 region in single allele and double alleles were found to be 20.3% and 8.6%, respectively, in the nephrolithiasis patient group, and 26.9% and 11.9% in the control group (\( p = 0.37 \)). No statistically significant difference was determined between the groups in respect of these two results. The SNP incidence in double alleles in nephrolithiasis patients at rs7456421 was statistically significantly lower than in the control group (25.6% and 52.2% respectively, \( p = 0.001 \)). SNP incidence at rs7456421 in single allele was statistically significantly higher in nephrolithiasis patients than in the control group (66.7% and 44.8% respectively \( p = 0.013 \) (Fig. 1).

**Discussion**

Calcium oxalate stones are the most common type of renal stones and occur in approximately 75%-80% of cases\(^{13} \). Genetic analysis can identify susceptible individuals who may develop calcium stones and helps in understanding the stone formation mechanism and predicting the response to drugs and nutrients. Studies of families and twins have proven the importance of genetic predisposition in calcium stones. It has been shown that stone prevalence is higher among relatives of patients with nephrolithiasis when compared to relatives of healthy controls. Family studies have also demonstrated that the genetic transition pattern of the stone is not mendelian and is compatible with the complex polygenic substrate\(^{14,15} \).

Findings of genetic studies demonstrated that Claudin 14, CASR, Osteopontin, and VDR genes may be...
implicated in human calcium nephrolithiasis. The pathogenetic significance of these genes has not been fully established, although the expression of these genes has been seen to be altered in patients with stones\textsuperscript{16-18}.

Increasing evidence has shown that RTEC damage is closely related to early lesions responsible for the formation of renal stones\textsuperscript{11,12}. These damaged epithelial cells undergo some pathophysiological changes and the adaptive response of these cells plays an important role in kidney stone formation\textsuperscript{19}. An increase in HIPK2 expression in response to DNA damage and oxidative stress stimulates apoptosis through phosphorylation and triggers p53 activation. It has been suggested that these events have a potential role in the apoptosis of RTECs\textsuperscript{20-22}. Lin et al. investigated the relationship between HIPK2 polymorphism in the rs2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 regions and kidney stones in a Chinese population, and reported that no difference could be found between nephrolithiasis patients and the control group subjects than in stone patients. The results were similar when only male patients were evaluated. Only the HIPK2 SNP in the rs7456421 region in a single allele was found to be higher in patients with kidney stones than in the control group. HIPK2 polymorphism, which stimulates RTEC damage and therefore kidney fibrosis, is expected to play an important role in the formation of renal stones when the mechanisms described above are considered logically. However, in this study population, no explanation could be found for why HIPK2 polymorphism was detected at higher rates in both alleles of the control group subjects. This demonstrates that a single gene is not responsible for the stone formation mechanism and that environmental factors play an important role together with many genetic changes. The relationship between HIPK2 polymorphism and stone formation and the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms are more complex than expected. Apart from these two studies, there is no other that has evaluated the association between HIPK2 polymorphism and stone formation.

There were some limitations to this study, primarily that the relatively low number of patients could have caused Type II statistical error. Although secondary diseases which could cause stone formation were excluded, confounding factors cannot be completely excluded as several environmental factors are responsible for stone formation. Moreover, that there was no investigation of other genetic disorders which could cause similar stone formation could also be considered a limitation.

Conclusions

Our study showed that the distributions of the genotype and allele of the three polymorphisms (rs2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 in HIPK2) were not found to be associated with an increased risk of kidney stone in a Turkish population. Further studies with large patient series are needed to clarify the association of HIPK2 polymorphisms with nephrolithiasis.
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