Corrected sinus node recovery time as a screening test in patients with typical atrial flutter post-ablation to predict pacemaker implantation

Tiempo de recuperación del nodo sinusal corregido como prueba de tamizaje en pacientes con aleteo atrial típico postablación para predecir la implantación de marcapasos
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Abstract

Objective: The objective of the study was to establish the prognostic value of CSNRT regarding the necessity for pacemaker implantation in patients with atrial flutter (AFL) post-ablation. Methods: This prospective cohort study, conducted at the National Institute of Cardiology “Ignacio Chavez” in Mexico City, assessed patients who had undergone ablation procedures to correct AFL, posterior to which an autonomic blockade was performed, and CSNRT was measured. Results: The sample for this investigation was 40 patients. These were subdivided into two study groups depending on their requirement of pacemaker implant post-ablation (Pacemaker P, No Pacemaker NP). Sinus node (SN) dysfunction was diagnosed in 13 (32.5%) of the 40 participants, 10 (71.43%) of which required a pacemaker implant, while only 4 participants (28.57%) with normal SN function required pacemakers. Ten out of the 14 patients (71.43%) who required a pacemaker had an elevated CSNRT > 500 ms (p ≤ 0.01). Post-ablation CSNRT mean was 383.54 ms ± 67.96 ms in the NP group versus 1972.57 ms ± 3423.56 ms in the P group. Furthermore, SN pause in the P group had a mean of 1.86 s ± 0.96 s versus the NP group with 1.196 s ± 0.52 s. Conclusion: CSNRT has the potential to be a quantitative prognostic tool for the assessment of future pacemaker implants in patients with AFL post-ablation. This could aid in the timely diagnosis of sinus node dysfunction, which could, in the long run, result in the reduction of cardiac functional capacity loss due to cardiac remodeling.
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Resumen

Objetivo: Establecer el valor pronóstico del TRNSC basado en la necesidad de marcapasos en pacientes diagnosticados con aleteo atrial, pos-ablación. Métodos: Este cohorte prospectivo, realizado en el Instituto Nacional de Cardiología “Ignacio Chávez”
en la Ciudad de México, evaluó pacientes sometidos a ablación para corregir el aleteo atrial; se midió el TRNSC post bloqueo autonómico. **Resultados:** La muestra de 40 pacientes se subdividió en 2 grupos según su requerimiento de marcapasos posterior a la ablación (P y NP). Se diagnosticó disfunción del nodo sinusal en 13 participantes (32.5%), de los cuales 10 (71.43%) requirieron marcapasos en comparación a 4 (28.57%) con función normal. En el grupo P la pausa del nodo sinusal post-ablación tuvo una media de 1.86 ± 0.96 s versus el grupo NP con 1.196 ± 0.52 s. En relación con el TRNSC, el grupo NP tuvo una media de 383.54 ± 67.96 ms vs. 1972.57 ± 3423.56 ms en el grupo P. 10 pacientes (25%) obtuvieron un TRNSC > 500 ms, de los cuales 100% requerieron marcapasos; de los 14 pacientes que requirieron marcapasos 10 (71.43%) tenían un TRNSC elevado (p ≤ 0.01). **Conclusiones:** El TRNSC tiene el potencial de ser una herramienta de pronóstico cuantitativo para la necesidad de futuros implantes de marcapasos en pacientes con disfunción del nodo sinusal, resultado de aleteo atrial post-ablación. Esto podría ayudar a diagnosticar más temprano una disfunción del nodo sinusal, resultando en la reducción de la pérdida a largo plazo de la función cardíaca como efecto de la remodelación.
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**Introduction**

Atrial flutter (AFL) is a macro-reentrant atrial tachyarhythmia characterized by 240-400 beats/min atrial rates, an ECG pattern with P-waves replaced by sawtooth type waves, and the absence of an isolectric line; its risk increases with age and cardiovascular disease. Even though the incidence of AFL is not precisely known, studies predict it to be present in 10% of patients with supraventricular tachycardia. Electrical and structural remodeling, including interstitial atrial fibrosis and localized anomalies of the conduction system resulting from chronic arrhythmias, favored atrial enlargement and was documented in AFL. In the long run, the chronicity of this disease could potentially lead to sinus node dysfunction.

Sinus node (SN) dysfunction, a term that encompasses various rhythm anomalies and accounts for nearly 50% of pacemaker implantations in the United States, has been associated with atrial arrhythmias, including AFL. Cardiovascular diseases such as ventricular hypertrophy also result in electrical and anatomical remodeling and can influence arrhythmias' development and progression. These arrhythmias have been treated with many methods to prevent chronicity and further advance cardiac damage. Radiofrequency catheter ablation is a cornerstone in AFL treatment, with a long-term success rate of up to 95% of the cases. There have been reported cases of atrial fibrillation (AF) where reverse remodeling occurs after a successful ablation, even in patients with previous SN dysfunction. On the other hand, it is unclear if this phenomenon prevents future pacemaker requirements or if this data can be extrapolated to AFL.

In patients, the coexistence and chronicity of AFL and SN dysfunction can result in various clinical manifestations before deciding on a definitive diagnosis. Several tools have been used for diagnosing sinus node dysfunction, such as sinus node pause, sinus node recovery time (SNRT), and corrected sinus node recovery time (CSNRT), with limited data found regarding their use in AFL. Therefore, in this study, investigators would like to explore the prognostic value of CSNRT regarding the necessity for a pacemaker in patients with AFL who undergo catheter ablation.

**Methods**

**Study design and population**

This prospective observational cohort study was conducted at the National Institute of Cardiology, “Ignacio Chavez” in Mexico City from 2008 to 2013 with a 5-year follow-up. The study size was determined by convenience; non-random sampling was used. Evaluation of the patient’s physical and electronic files helped collect demographic data, clinical history, relevant past pathological history, data from the electrophysiological study, ablation procedure, and follow-up notes. Figure 1 shows the inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study group.

The dependent variable monitored was the requirement of a pacemaker implant. Strict admission criteria could limit the interpretation of the results to a small population with a precise presentation. Among the possible bias, we recognize the high prevalence of complex cases due to the study being in a 3rd level center. The lack of a proper previous database on these patients forced us to do a non-random convenience consecutive sampling due to the unknown incidence of cases.
**Ablation procedure**

A femoral puncture was performed with the placement of intravascular metal guides, which were replaced by two introducers. A decapolar electrode catheter was advanced through one of the introducers and was placed in the coronary sinus. Through the other introducer, a 20-pole electrocatheter was positioned around the tricuspid annulus. A tetrapolar electrode catheter was advanced through the catheter and placed at the apex of the right ventricle.

Stimulation was begun, decreasing by 16 beats until an arrhythmia was induced. Once the arrhythmia was triggered, the AFL activation sequence was verified. The electrode catheter was replaced by an ablation electrocatheter with external irrigation. Subsequently, an ablation line was placed between the tricuspid ring and the inferior vena cava until the cessation of the arrhythmia; then, the bidirectional blockade of the isthmus was confirmed. In the event of the persistence of the cavotricuspid isthmus conduction gap, the ablation line was continued until the disappearance of atrial potentials. All the ablation procedures performed found isthmus-dependent atrial flutter.

**Assessment of intrinsic CSNRT**

The autonomic nervous system influences heart rate dynamically, where the heart rate increases or decreases due to adrenergic tone; patient-external and internal factors such as anxiety, pain, and degree of relaxation can affect heart rate. An autonomic blockade was performed to neutralize these effects by administering atropine (0.03 mg/kg) and esmolol (500 mcg/kg) until a stable intrinsic sinus rate was evident. The procedure continued with stimulation of the SN using electrodes 5-6 of the 20-pole Halo electrocatheter with a pacing duration of 30-60 s followed by 1 min rest and a decreasing cycle length from 600 ms to 500 ms and finally 400 ms. In these sequences, SNRT was recorded, and later, the CSNRT was obtained by subtracting the basal sinus cycle length from the SNRT; any value > 550 ms in any sequence was considered abnormal. The introducers were removed, and compression was performed for 20 min, verifying the absence of hematomas or murmurs; the patient was transferred to the recovery room for 24 h. All antiarrhythmic medications were withdrawn from patients before the procedure.

**Follow-up**

The detection of AFL recurrence would lead to a second ablation, following the same procedure and consequent follow-up. Patient follow-up was conducted by medical consult a week after the process; posteriorly, the patient had regular appointments 3, 6, and 12 months later; afterward, annually for 5 years. At each visit, a surface EKG and a 24 h Holter were performed. During this period, we searched for subjective...
or objective data on the degenerative disease of the node. Patients who presented the following symptoms of suspicion for the development of SN dysfunction: syncope, reduced exertional capacity, inability to perform typical physical work, and dyspnea with physical effort were studied with an additional Holter or stress test. The requirement of a permanent pacemaker was defined as the detection of the following:

- Inability to increase heart rate in relation to the degree of effort in a stress test
- Exertional tolerance in stress test lower than expected for age
- Detection of sinus pause > 2.5 s in a 24 h Holter that correlates with symptoms
- Detection of episodes of atrial tachycardia-bradycardia in a 24 h Holter.

If no evidence of SN dysfunction arose during follow-up, an extra electrophysiological study was done. On confirmation of the diagnosis, the requirement of a pacemaker implant was confirmed, and a bicameral DDR pacemaker was posteriorly implanted according to this study protocol.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was executed using the SPSS V. 16.0 software. Continuous variables were presented as means and standard deviation (SD) when normal distribution was determined; otherwise, median and ranges were used. The qualitative variables were presented as absolute and relative frequencies. Qualitative variables and comparison of groups were analyzed using Student’s T distribution for independent samples. Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s test was used for categorical variables.

### Results

Initially, 170 patients were eligible for this study, but the sample was 40 patients due to inclusion and exclusion criteria, dropouts, cavotricuspid independent atrial flutter, and recurrence of post-ablation atrial flutter (Fig. 1). These were subdivided into two study groups during data analysis depending on their requirement of pacemaker implant post-SN ablation. All general demographic data are shown in Table 1, where 24 participants were male (60%). Fourteen participants (35%) out of the sample size required a pacemaker implant (P group), these having an average age of 50.7 ± 16.7 years in comparison to 55.9 ± 14.2 years in the no pacemaker group (NP group). The mean heart rate in the NP group was 78.26 ± 17.26 versus 92.14 ± 40.08 in the P group. The left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) did not differ significantly across both groups, with a value of 54.21 ± 10.38 versus 58.5 ± 10.3 % for p = 0.13, since severe degree of heart failure was an exclusion criteria for this study.

The comorbidities found in this study included 4 patients (10%) who suffered from diabetes mellitus; 50% required a pacemaker implant. Twelve patients (30%) had a history of hypertension; 25% required a pacemaker implant. Regarding the flutter type diagnosed in each patient, 87.5% had a counterclockwise arrhythmia versus the 12.5% clockwise flutter; 85.71% of the participants who required a pacemaker had a counterclockwise flutter diagnosis.

CSNRT > 550 ms is considered the prognostic variable for pacemaker implants in patients diagnosed with AFL who undergo an ablation. In our study, the NP group had a mean CSNRT of 383.54 ms ± 67.96 ms, while the P group obtained 1972.57 ms ± 3423.56 ms (p ≤ 0.01). Ten patients (25%) had a CSNRT > 550 ms, out of which 100% required a pacemaker implant.

#### Table 1. Patient characteristics and the need for pacemaker implant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pacemaker implant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n = 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.71 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitation</td>
<td>10 (71.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipothymia</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>1 (7.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiarrhythmic</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SND DX (years)</td>
<td>10.42 ± 8.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holter</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrophysiology study</td>
<td>1 (7.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress test</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SND DX: sinus node dysfunction diagnosis time; ECG: electrocardiogram.
pacemaker; thus, 10 out of the 14 patients (71.43%) who required pacemaker implants had an elevated CSNRT, resulting in a statistically significant variable (p ≤ 0.01).

Measurements of SN function variables are depicted in Table 2. SN dysfunction was diagnosed in 13 participants (32.5%), 10 of which (71.43%) required a pacemaker, while 4 participants (28.57%) with a normal SN function also required pacemakers (p ≤ 0.01). The post-ablation SN pause was statistically significant (p = 0.0069): the P group had a mean SN pause duration of 1.86s ± 0.96 s in comparison to the NP group, 1.196 s ± 0.52 s. Sinus pause > 2.5 s is a diagnostic parameter of SN dysfunction; however, most of our patients did not present this finding.

CSNRT > 550ms is considered the prognostic variable for pacemaker implants in patients diagnosed with AFL who undergo an ablation. In our study, the NP group had a mean CSNRT of 383.54ms ± 67.96ms, while the P group obtained 1972.57ms ± 3423.56ms (p = < 0.01) (Table 3). Ten patients (25%) had a CSNRT > 550ms, out of which 100% required a pacemaker; thus, 10 out of the 14 patients (71.43%) who required pacemaker implants had an elevated CSNRT, resulting in a statistically significant variable (p = < 0.01).

### Discussion

AFL has gained attention in medical studies over the past two decades due to many factors, including its importance as a prevalent supraventricular arrhythmia, increasing studies on electrical and structural remodeling caused by arrhythmias, and the development of radiofrequency ablation as a definitive treatment. Despite this, the evidence for the association between atrial arrhythmias and SN dysfunction is derived mainly from atrial fibrillation (AF) studies. It has not been consistently studied in medical literature whether SN dysfunction persists after successful ablation and posterior pacemaker implantation in patients with AFL despite the evidence of reverse atrial remodeling after successful ablation and sinus rhythm maintenance.

Our study was composed of 24 male participants (60%) out of 40 and was divided into two groups: the pacemaker group (P group) and the non-pacemaker group (NP). The average age in the P group was 50.7 ± 16.7 years compared to 55.9 ± 14.2 years in the NP group. Although age predisposes to the development of SN dysfunction and the need for a pacemaker, our study population’s demographic did not support this hypothesis (p = 0.4520). The reason for this may be the dispersion, and relative heterogeneity in the ages of the patients who required pacemakers since 10 of them were under 60 years of age, and three of them were between 18 and 27 years of age. It is infrequent for patients in this age group to present with SN dysfunction; therefore, many differential diagnoses were evaluated and discarded before proceeding with the study.

The comorbidities associated with the development of SN dysfunction include a history of an atrial septal defect, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, low body mass index, longer flutter cycle length, and heart failure. The comorbidities present in our study included diabetes and hypertension, which are involved in the genesis of arrhythmias in other studies, but our investigation did not focus on that aspect. Diabetes mellitus (DM) has been extensively studied, and many have produced inconsistent findings regarding its association with arrhythmias. The Framingham heart study, which conducted a 38-year follow-up of the participants, found that diabetic patients have a 40% greater risk of developing atrial fibrillation. This risk may be due to prolonged fluctuations in glucose levels causing autonomic alterations and cardiac remodeling. By inducing myocardial changes, hypertension also has similar effects on the heart. The European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Council on Hypertension published a review discussing the relationship between the two diseases.

### Table 2. ECG findings and the need for pacemaker implant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Yes (n = 14)</th>
<th>No (n = 26)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG HR (bpm)</td>
<td>92.14 ± 40.08</td>
<td>78.26 ± 17.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG QRS</td>
<td>90.71 ± 18.59</td>
<td>95.38 ± 25.3</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG rhythm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>11 (42.31%)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flutter</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>15 (57.69%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG alterations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>10 (71.43%)</td>
<td>15 (57.69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>2 (14.29%)</td>
<td>2 (7.69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBBB</td>
<td>2 (14.29%)</td>
<td>7 (26.92%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBBB+anterior fascicle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (7.69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flutter type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterclockwise</td>
<td>12 (85.71%)</td>
<td>23 (88.46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clockwise</td>
<td>2 (14.29%)</td>
<td>3 (11.54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG: electrocardiogram; HR: heart rate; Bpm: beats per minute; RBBB: right bundle branch block; LBBB: left bundle branch block.
Sairaku studied the relationship between flutter cycle length and CSNRT in patients with AFL. The authors reported that those who required pacemakers had a flutter cycle length of 295 ± 37 ms and a CSNRT of 1727 ± 1014 ms. Therefore, they concluded that flutter cycle length and CSNRT predicted SN dysfunction and pacemaker requirement. Our study group reported a shorter flutter cycle length (258.4 ± 64.7 ms) and a longer CSNRT (1972.5 ± 3423.5 ms), but the flutter cycle length did not achieve statistical significance, whereas CSNRT did. Our study is similar to Sairaku’s findings in that both values are related to advanced degrees of atrial remodeling, and a prolonged CSNRT is expected in this population due to SN dysfunction.

Among the strengths of this study is the use of strict inclusion criteria that increase internal validity and establish a reliable relationship between the variable CSNRT and the need of pacemaker implant in patients post-ablation. Similarly, using an objective variable to speculate a clinical outcome could be used clinically to prevent AFL recurrence. This study was conducted with a long time follow-up to acknowledge the short-, medium-, and long-term effects. On the other hand, the weaknesses include a restricted capacity to recognize confounding variables and their roles in our study; also, because the study was observational, inference of causality was limited.

Conclusion

In the present study, the authors have demonstrated that CSNRT is a prognostic tool that may be used to assess potential pacemaker implants in patients with sinus node dysfunction following ablation for AFL. AFL patients are commonly underdiagnosed for extended periods; once the diagnosis is reached, there is significantly advanced sinus node function alteration. Thus, efficient assessment tools like CSNRT that help diagnose these patients give physicians a chance to stop the progression of the disease; CSNRT gives clinicians means that offer better prognostic data for patients who suffer from this disease and provide timely treatment. It is recommended that CSNRT be evaluated as a predictive tool for the future need for pacemakers in patients with AFL.
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