

ia y Zootecnia https://veterinariamexico.fmvz.unam.mx/

Effects of soybean and canola meals as dietary protein sources on the production performance and meat quality of shrimp

Rafael Escobar-Alvarez¹ © 0000-0002-2317-6995 Maria Salud Rubio Lozano^{1*} © 0000-0002-7975-4547 Juan Carlos Maldonado Flores² Gabriela Gaxiola Cortes² Enrique Jesús Delgado Suárez³ © 0000-0001-5380-8095

¹Laboratorio de Ciencias de la Carne, Centro de Enseñanza Práctica e Investigación en Producción Animal, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia

²Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación de Sisal,

Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM, Puerto de Sisal, Yucatán, México

³Departamento de Medicina Preventiva y Salud Pública, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia

> *Corresponding author: Email address: msalud65@gmail.com

Abstract

Vegetable dietary protein sources are cheaper alternatives to fishmeal in shrimp diets. This study assessed the impact of fermented and non-fermented soybean and canola meals on growth performance, in vivo and in vitro digestibility, digestive enzyme activities and meat quality of Litopenaeus vannamei. A total of 513 shrimps were randomly assigned to treatments (control and two experimental diets). Animals on the control diet (CD) were fed a commercial feed concentrate. For the other treatments, the fishmeal protein source was totally replaced by a mixture of soybean and canola meal (50:50), either unfermented (sc) or fermented (FSC). Results showed that fermentation significantly increased (P < 0.05) the hydrolysis degree (HD) of sc meals by 12 % compared to that of their unfermented counterparts. Also, it was found that canola meal had higher HD compared to soybean meal, both before and after fermentation. The use of sc diet decreased protein digestibility in shrimps (P < 0.05), although there was no significant variation in the activity of total proteases and chymotrypsin. Production performance traits of shrimps fed diets with FSC were comparable to those of shrimps on the control diet. The increase in shear force of shrimps fed FSC was accompanied by an increase in the water holding capacity (WHC) by 8-9 % (P < 0.05). Sensory attributes were similar across diets. In summary, this study showed it is possible to fully replace fish meal with FSC in shrimp diets without impairing production performance traits, dietary protein digestibility, and shrimp meat quality.

Keywords: soybean; canola; fermentation; shrimps; yield; quality.

Submitted: 2021-11-19 Accepted: 2022-02-24 Published: 2022-05-31 Additional information and declarations

can be found on page 13

© Copyright 2022 Rafael Escobar-Alvarez *et al.*





Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Cite this as:

Escobar Álvarez R, Rubio Lozano MS, Maldonado Flores JC, Gaxiola Cortés G, Delgado Suárez EJ. Effects of soybean and canola meals as dietary protein sources on the production performance and meat quality of shrimp. Veterinaria México OA. 2022;9. doi: 10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 1 2022

Original Research

Study contribution

Vegetable protein sources are cheaper alternatives to fishmeal for shrimp feeding. However, there is little information on the effects of these ingredients on production performance and shrimp quality traits. This study evaluates the technical feasibility of fully replacing fishmeal with soybean and canola meal (fermented or not) in shrimp feeding. The results demonstrated that fermented soybean and canola meal had no effect on animal production performance or shrimp meat quality attributes. Therefore, it represents an alternative to reduce the dependence on the costly and less sustainable fishmeal. The unfermented soybean and canola meal is not recommended for shrimp feeding as it increases mortality.

Introduction

The global supply of seafood products has increased due to growing demand.⁽¹⁾ Therefore, aquaculture has a steady growth to meet the demand for human consumption.⁽²⁾ Fishmeal is usually the main protein source in shrimp diets due to its high nutritional value.^(3, 4) However, its use represents environmental (overfishing), economic (variations in prices and availability of raw material) and quality (variations in nutritional value and adulteration) difficulties.⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾

The use of high-quality proteins in shrimp diets improves their digestibility, growth, and health, as well as shrimp quality.⁽⁸⁾ Shrimp quality attributes are associated with the perception of flavor, aroma, color, and firmness by consumers. Among these, firmness is the most important attribute for consumers.⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾ It may vary depending on factors such as the farming system,⁽¹¹⁾ post-harvest handling (type of storage, preparation method),⁽¹²⁾ and feeding.

Brauer et al.⁽¹³⁾ reported that muscle lipid and protein content changes when shrimp are fed different protein sources (sardine meal, squid meal or a commercial formula). Along the same lines, Maldonado⁽¹⁴⁾ evaluated the effect of dietary vegetable flours (soybean, wheat, and potato flours) on firmness-related attributes of *L. vannamei*, such as shear force and collagen type. In that research, there was no variation in shear force across diets, as shown by the similar electrophoresis patterns of type v collagen extracted from shrimp muscle. Other studies have shown that fishmeal can be substituted by plant-based proteins in shrimp diets,⁽¹⁵⁾ provided that palatability and digestibility and amino acid balance are adequate. In this regard, soybean and canola meals are good options to replace fishmeal due to their nutritional attributes (containing almost all essential amino acids) and low cost compared to fishmeal.⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾

Soybean and canola meals have been tested in the feeding of different productive species (trout, salmon, other teleost fish and crustaceans) with positive results.^(10, 19, 20) Moreover, it has been observed that the fermentation of these vegetable meals improves their nutritional value, increasing protein bioavailability and degrading anti-nutritional factors, which are abundant in these ingredients.⁽¹⁵⁾ However, there is little information on the effects of vegetable protein sources (fermented or not) on digestibility, production performance, and quality of shrimp. Therefore, this study evaluated the effect of dietary fermented and non-fermented soybean and canola meals on growth performance, *in vivo* and *in vitro* digestibility, digestive enzyme activities, and meat quality of *L. vannamei*.

	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)
Soybean protein concentrate	27	27
sc meal (50:50)	46	-
FSC meal (50:50)	-	46
Fish silage	5	5
L-lysine	1	1
Taurine	0.16	0.16
Fish oil	8	8
Corn starch	5	5
Vitamin/mineral premix	3	3
Carboxi-metil-celulose	1.5	1.50
Celite	1	1
Filler	2.34	2.34
Total	100	100

Table 1. Formulation (g/100 g) of the experimental diets

Materials and methods Ethical statement

This research project was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Committee for Experimental Animal Care and Use (SICUAE) of the graduate program on Animal Health and Production, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, National Autonomous University of Mexico (approval number SICUAE.MC-2020/2-2).

Study design

A randomized design experiment of 3 treatments (control and two experimental diets) with 3 replicates was conducted. A total of 513 shrimps were randomly assigned to each of the 9 tank groups (replicates) of the three diets (171 shrimp per treatment). Animals on the control diet were fed a commercial feed concentrate (Api-shrimp®, Malta Cleyton). For the other treatments, fishmeal was fully replaced by a mixture of soybean and canola meal (50:50), either unfermented (sc) or fermented (Fsc). The formulation of the sc and Fsc diets is presented in Table 1.

Shrimps

A total of 513 Pacific white shrimps (*L. vannamei*; mean weight 7 \pm 0.25 g) supplied by the Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación de Sisal, Mexico, were used. The animals were reared in 500 L tanks (57 shrimp each), using a recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) of seawater. The feeding period was 60 days. The daily ratio was 10% of the biomass of each pond, with a periodic adjustment (15 days) according to biometrics. Feeding was provided 3 times a day. During the feeding period, the water was maintained at 28 \pm 1 °C and had a salinity of 35.0 \pm 0.5 Psu, and a dissolved oxygen of 6.5 \pm 1.0 mg/mL. The recirculated seawater was filtered through cartridges with pore diameters of 20 and 5 μ m

and subjected to uv light treatment. Feces were collected from each tank during the feeding period to test *in vivo* digestibility.

At the end of the feeding trial (60 d), shrimp recovered from each tank were counted and weighed (Ohaus balance at 0.01 g) to determine growth traits and mortality rate. Subsequently, shrimps were slaughtered by heat shock, and their cephalothoraxes were manually removed. Next, 90 shrimps were randomly selected from each experimental diet. Of these, two-thirds (n = 60) were used to determine quality attributes and the remaining 30 for sensory evaluation. To avoid bias associated with spoilage and endogenous enzyme activity, samples were frozen at -18 °C until analysis (approximately one month). Before analysis, samples were thawed at 2-4 °C for 24 hours.

Feedstuff and diet formulation

Commercial soybean and canola meals were milled to particle size < 500 µm and then fermented using a modified method of Yabaya et al.⁽²¹⁾ soybean meal (2 kg), 60.5 mg of commercial dry yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, with a cell density of 3×10^6 cells/g (Fermipan®Magidely®Mexport) and 1.1 L of distilled water (50 % humidity) were homogenized and incubated at 40 °C for 48 h. The yeast-fermented soybean meal was then dried at 70 °C and reground (< 500 µm). The same process was carried out for fermented canola meal. Fish silage was added to the formulation to maintain diet palatability. For that purpose, 10 kg of frozen fish heads cut into small pieces and ground to a homogeneous paste were used. Subsequently, 100 mL of hydrochloric acid (HCI) was added and homogenized manually ensuring good acid contact.⁽²²⁾

All diets were isoproteic, with 36 % crude protein (CP). Essential fatty acids (20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3) were added via fish products (silage and fish oil) and carbohydrates were chosen for their digestibility (corn starch).⁽²³⁾ The stability of the cold extruded feed (pellet) was ensured by adding carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) to the formulations. Celite was used as a marker, including for the digestibility test. Chemical analyses of the diets were performed for dry matter (AOAC 934.01), ash (AOAC 942.05), lipids (AOAC 920.39), protein (AOAC 984.13), crude fiber (AOAC 962.09), and nitrogen free extract NFE (Tables 2 and 3). Before starting the experiment, the shrimps were acclimatized to the dietary treatments for 10 d before we started collecting their feces. The control diet consisted of a concentrated commercial feed (Api-shrimp®, Malta Cleyton), with fish meal, krill and squid as the protein source.

In vitro *digestibility*

The pH-Stat method, which measures the degree of hydrolysis (HD), was used to test the *in vitro* digestibility of the ingredients, as described by Adler-Nissen.⁽²⁴⁾ *L. vannamei* fed with commercial diet (36 % protein) were slaughtered and dissected to obtain midgut glands, which were frozen in liquid nitrogen (-40 °C) until use. The midgut glands were individually homogenized (IKA T18 basic, ultra-turrax), and centrifuged at 13 300 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C, to obtain an enzymatic extract for the hydrolysis reaction. The degree of hydrolysis was measured in triplicate for the four ingredients. Samples were sieved through a 250 µm mesh and homogenized

Variables (% dry matter)	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	
Moisture	2.8	3.2	3.6	
Crude protein	36.9	37.02	36.7	
Ether extract	8.4	8.7	6.4	
Ash	15.1	13.1	7.2	
Crude fiber	7.9	7.2	5.01	
Nitrogen free extract	26.7	27.8	41.3	

Table 2. Chemical composition of the experimental diets

to 0.966 mg in 5 mL of distilled water and the hd was measured.⁽²⁵⁾ The hd for protein⁽²⁶⁾ used 0.1 N NaOH for 5 min at 28 °C to maintain pH at 8.0 and was determined through the following formula:

 $HD \% = (B \times Nb \times 1.5/M (S/100)/8) 100$

Where: B = mL of 0.1 N NaOH used to control pH; Nb = normal NaOH with a calibration factor of 1.5 at pH 8 and 28 °C; M = g of mixture; S = protein concentration (%); 8 = total peptide bond content (meqv g-1) for casein.⁽²⁴⁾ Total protein concentration was measured in a 5 µL sample at 1/10 dilution, mixed with 125 µL of reagent, incubated 5 min at room temperature and read at 595 nm.⁽²⁷⁾

In vivo digestibility

Feces were collected with the aid of siphon samplers from each tank one hour after feeding. Feces were washed with distilled water and dried at 60 °C. *In vivo* digestibility was measured by the acid insoluble ash method,⁽²⁸⁾ with celite as a marker.⁽²⁹⁾ For nitrogen and ether extract determination, we used an elemental analyzer (Thermo Quest AS 2000 Falsea TM1112) operated with a 10-mg sample of ingredients and muscle. Digestibility calculations were carried out as in the previous case:

Apparent digestibility coefficient of dry matter(DM) = 100 x (1-(%celite in feed/%celite in feces)) Apparent digestibility coefficient of crude protein (CP)= 100 x (1-(% celite in feed / % celite in feces) / (% CP in feed / % CP in feces)) Apparent digestibility coefficient of ether extract (EE)= 100 x(1-(%celite in feed/%celite in feces)/(%EE in feed/%EE in feces))

Digestive enzyme activity

Midgut glands from intermolt stage C or D₀ shrimp were dissected and frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -40 °C until analysis. Homogenate was performed individually by adding 500 mL of distilled water (IKA T18 basic homogenizer, ultra-turrax), and then centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Midgut gland-soluble protein concentration was measured with a Bio-Rad-500-0006 kit and read in a spectrophotometer at 595 nm;⁽²⁷⁾ enzyme activities were expressed as specific

Original Research

activity (U/mg protein). The total protease activity was measured following the method of Jiang et al.⁽³⁰⁾ Collagen impregnated with 2.4 % azo dye (Azocoll, Sigma A4341, USA) was used as substrate diluted in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7 at 25 °C, with a 1:10 ratio. The rate of hydrolysis was measured, as an increase in absorbance, using a spectrophotometer (Spectrophotometer Genesys 10s uv, Thermo scientific, USA) at 520 nm at the end point, U/mg protein. The determination of trypsin activity followed Geiger and Fritz⁽³¹⁾ methodology, while that of chymotrypsin was performed as described by Geiger,⁽³²⁾ both with modifications for development on the microplate reader. To determine trypsin activity, 100-mM BAPNA (n-benzoyl-l-arginine-p-nitro-anilide; Sigma B7632) was used as a substrate in 0.1 M TRIS buffer, рн 8 at 40 °C. The rate of hydrolysis was measured, using a spectrophotometer (BioTek Synergy HT Microplate Reader) at 405 nm for 2 min, with an extinction coefficient the $\epsilon_{405} = 1.02 \text{ L}(\text{mol}^{-1}) \text{ cm}^{-1}$.⁽³²⁾ Chymotrypsin activity was measured by the same method, but with another substrate, succinyl-alanine-2-proline-phenyl-p-nitro-anilide (SAPPNA), and an extinction coefficient of $\epsilon_{405} = 1.02 \text{ L(mol^{-1}) cm^{-1}}$.

Shear force (SF)

Shear force was determined using the second abdominal segment of each shrimp. A sample of 24 shrimps from each treatment was frozen in liquid nitrogen (-40 °C) (to stop any further deterioration process by endogenous enzyme activity), until processing. The meat was weighed and placed in transverse position in a Brookfield ct3® texturometer, set at 8 g force, 9.9 mm deformation and 2.5 mm/s speed, with a craft knife (TA-CKA). The results were expressed in newtons (N) as the mean of three replicates.

Determination of instrumental color variables

Shrimp were cooked in boiling water for 4 min and then immersed in cold water (4 °C) for 5 min before the shells were manually removed. Color measurements were performed on the cooked shrimp (three replicates per sample) using a Hunter-Lab® MiniScan EZ-4500L portable spectrophotometer (Hunter Associates Laboratory). The instrument had an aperture size of 25 mm and was adjusted with CIELAB color scale, D65 illuminant, observer at 10° and specular component excluded.

The spectrophotometer was calibrated before measurements and after one hour of operation or 100 readings (whichever came first). In this way, color coordinates (L*, a* and b* values, corresponding to lightness, redness and yellowness, respectively), as well as hue angle (h*) and saturation (C*) were obtained. We also calculated the total color differences ($\Delta E_{ab} = \sqrt{\Delta L^{*2} + \Delta a^{*2} + \Delta b^{*2}}$) between shrimp fed vegetable protein sources and those fed the control diet. This calculation allowed estimation of whether instrumental differences in color were visually detectable by consumers.

Original Research DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 91 2022

Water holding capacity (WHC)

The WHC of shrimp was determined by centrifugation, following the method of Díaz.⁽³³⁾ For this purpose, two shrimp segments of approximately 10 g each were used as replicates. The shrimps were dried with disposable paper towels before weighing and recording the initial weight. It was then centrifuged at 16 000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C. Subsequently, the supernatant was discarded, and the shrimps were removed from the centrifuge tubes with forceps and dried with disposable paper towels before towels before recording their final weight. The WHC of each sample was calculated as the mean percentage of the initial weight retained, using the following equation:

WHC = $(1 - \frac{\text{Initial weight-Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}}) \times 100$

pH value

The pH of the shrimps was measured with the aid of a pH meter (Starter 3100, OHAUS®). Two replicates of 10 g per sample were homogenized in 20 mL of distilled water at 25 °C for about 30 s, using a commercial blender (4655 classica, Oster®). Subsequently, the probe of the pH meter was introduced into the sample until the pH value stabilized.

Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N)

TVB-N was determined, in 35 shrimps per treatment, as described by Botta et al.⁽³⁴⁾ with some modifications. Briefly, duplicates of 15-g shrimp each, shelled, were mixed with 45 mL of 5 % trichloroacetic acid. This mixture was introduced into a distillation unit (Büchi® K-350 unit) and distilled with a steam flow and 3 % boric acid. The distilled solution was collected and titrated with 0.1 N HCI to neutral. TVB-N was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{TVB-N}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{100\text{g}}\right) = \frac{\text{Volumen}_1 - \text{Volumen}_0 \times 0.14 \times 2 \times 100}{25}$$

Sensory evaluation

We used a panel of 30 consumers to conduct the sensory evaluation of cooked shrimp. The shrimps were cooked as described above for color measurements. Two abdominal segments per treatment were served at the same time to the panelists. Samples were assigned random identification numbers before sensory evaluation. The panelists were asked to rate the aroma, flavor, color, texture, and overall acceptability of the shrimp samples. For this purpose, a seven-point hedonic scale was used, ranging from 1 (I dislike it very much) to 7 (I like it very much). Scores >5 (I like it slightly) were considered positive ratings.

Statistical analysis

The degree of hydrolysis, ADC values, digestive enzyme activity, weight gain, survival data and quality attributes were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with the following model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + T_j + \varepsilon_i$; whereby:

 Y_{ij} is the response variable, which is any observation for each i-level of a factor (diet) and the replicate (j) within each i-level,

µ is the mean,

- T_i is the effect of treatment i-level (diet), and
- ϵ_i is the random error

When significant differences were observed, means were discriminated using a Tukey HSD multiple range test. To confirm the homogeneity of variance and normality, we used the Levene's and the Shapiro-Wilk tests, respectively. For sensory evaluation, a Chi-Square test was performed to evaluate whether there was an association between dietary protein source and sensory attributes. All statistical analyses were performed using the STATISTICA software package (Dell Software). Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results and discussion

In vivo and in vitro digestibility

The evaluation of *in vitro* and *in vivo* digestibility of feed is an ideal method for determining the nutritional quality of feedstuffs.⁽²⁵⁾ In this study, we observed that fermentation significantly increased (P < 0.05) the HD of soybean and canola meals by 12 % compared to that of their unfermented counterparts (Figure 1). These findings agree with previous research documenting the positive impact of fermentation on shrimp feed digestibility.⁽²⁵⁾ This effect is attributed to the action of *s cerevisiae* enzymes (i.e., phosphatases and phytases) that reduce antinutritional factors during the fermentation process,^(19, 35) increases the content of free amino acids in the feed⁽³⁶⁾ and rises small peptides (< 15 kDa) through the cleavage of long-chain proteins, making protein hydrolysis more efficient.⁽¹⁹⁾

The study of ingredient digestibility is a relevant prerequisite for evaluating their potential in developing nutritious shrimp feeds.⁽³⁷⁻³⁹⁾ We found that canola meal had higher *in vitro* HD compared to soybean meal, both before and after fermentation. Hence, it seems canola meal is more promising as a dietary protein source in shrimp feeding as compared to soybean meal, which is known for its high content of antinutritional factors and low digestibility.^(14, 40, 41) However, the combination of soybean and canola meal is a good option, due to its content in sulfur amino acids (methionine, cysteine and taurine), which are determinants for the growth and survival of organisms.⁽²⁹⁾

Apparently, the inclusion of vegetable protein sources did not affect the palatability of feed as there was no difference in apparent dry matter digestibility coefficients across treatments (Table 3; P = 0.2190). Moreover, the apparent digestibility coefficient for ether extract was higher in shrimp fed with plant meals, unfermented or not, compared to the control diet. However, the apparent digestibility coefficient for crude protein of shrimps fed unfermented vegetable meals was lower as



Dydrolysis Degree

Figure 1. Means and standard deviations of hydrolysis degree of dietary fermented and unfermented soybean and canola meals used in the experiment (n=36). ^{a,b} Means with different superscript in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05).

compared to that of shrimp fed either FSC or CD (P > 0.05). These findings further confirmed the need to ferment plant meals to achieve digestibility coefficients comparable to those of fishmeal. The low apparent digestibility coefficient for crude protein of shrimps fed sc correlated with the low values for trypsin and chymotrypsin activities observed in shrimp fed this diet. Taken together, our results showed the use of non-fermented soybean and canola meals decreased dietary protein digestibility in shrimp, and thus, are not appropriate for shrimp feeding.

The activity of digestive enzymes is an indicator of the proper functioning of the shrimp digestive system, whose adequate activity favors the absorption of nutrients necessary for growth.⁽⁴²⁾ In this study, the activity of total proteases and chymotrypsin was similar across diets (Table 4). However, trypsin activity was higher in shrimps fed Fsc compared to those on the unfermented and control diets. It is possible that this increased activity was related to a reduction of antinutritional factors (phytic acid and trypsin inhibiting factor) and to the prehydrolysis of the soybean and canola meals during fermentation.⁽⁴³⁾ Ayala-Borboa⁽⁴⁴⁾ mentions that the prehydrolysis of feed releases some metabolites, such as zinc and phosphorus, which are cofactors of trypsin and promote its proteolytic activity. Considering that *S. cerevisiae* has a complete enzyme package (with several types of lipases, proteases and carola meals would favor the digestive process of the shrimp, increasing the apparent crude protein digestibility coefficients in the organisms fed the diets with fermented vegetable meals, equaling the coefficient of the control diet.

Summarizing results so far, we observed that the fermentation of the ingredients favored the physiological parameters (*in vivo* and *in vitro* digestibility and

ADC (%)	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	P-value
Dry matter	38.4 ± 4.2	40.2 ± 2.2	42.6 ± 2.2	0.2190
Protein	83.7 ± 6.2^{a}	93 ± 0.7 ^b	94.7 ± 0.4^{b}	< 0.0001
Ether extract	78 ± 5.8 ^b	71.2 ± 7.1 ^b	56 ± 7.9^{a}	< 0.0001

 a,b Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 4. Means and standard deviations of total protease, trypsin and chymotrypsin enzyme activitiesof L. vannamei fed the experimental diets (n = 30)

Enzyme activity (mU/mg protein)	Soybean & Canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	P-value
Total proteases	36.3 ± 5.4	33.0 ± 9.1	32.6 ± 4.5	0.6100
Trypsin	0.9 ± 1.2^{a}	3.0 ± 2.5^{b}	1.8 ± 1.5ª	0.0300
Chymotrypsin	16.4 ± 6.5	20.7 ± 9.7	15.9 ± 3.7	0.3000

 a,b Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05).

enzymatic activity) of the shrimp. Since the growth of these organisms is highly dependent on protein metabolism,⁽⁴⁵⁾ we would expect better production performance traits of the shrimp, as well as a better quality of the final product.

Production performance

Production performance traits of shrimp fed diets with fermented soybean+canola meal as the major protein source was comparable to that of shrimp on a fish meal based commercial concentrate (Table 5). Conversely, unfermented sc caused a drastic increase in mortality. Overall, these findings are consistent with digestibility results and further confirmed that the fermentation of sc is a pre-requisite for its successful inclusion on shrimp diet as the major protein.^(43, 46, 47) Hence, this study showed it is possible to fully replace fish meal with fermented soybean + canola meal without impairing feed consumption, dietary protein digestibility and animal production performance.

Shrimp quality traits

The increase in shear force of shrimp fed fermented vegetable protein was accompanied by an increase in wHc by 8-9 % (Table 6). This behavior was expected considering wHc and shear force are positively correlated.^(48, 49) Still, wHc values observed here are within the range reported in *L. vannamei*.^(33, 49) Dietary vegetable protein also increased the TVB-N content of shrimp (over 70 %) as compared to the commercial concentrate. Accumulation of TVB-N in shrimp is attributed to the presence of free amino acids in muscular tissue.⁽⁵⁰⁾ Perhaps, feeding vegetable proteins induced a higher concentration of free amino acids in shrimp muscle, favoring the formation of TVB-N.^(29, 51) It is important to note, however, that TVB-N content was well

Vegetable diets for shrimp production

	Experimental diets					
Performance traits	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	P-value		
n	342	342	171			
Initial weight (g)	7.5 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.3	0.42		
Average weight gain (g/week)	1.1 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.32		
Final weight (g)	16.2 ± 1.3 ^{ab}	15.6 ± 0.4 ^{ab}	14.7 ± 0.4^{a}	0.02		
Survival rate (%)	75.7 ± 1.4 ^b	87.1 ± 3.1ª	92.9 ± 3.5^{a}	0.03		

Table 5. Effect of dietary protein source on production performance (mean ± standard deviation)of L. vannamei during the 60-d feeding trial

Vegetable diets for shrimp production

^{a,b} Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05). Average weight gain: (final weight– initial weight)/days. Survival rate: (final shrimp number– initial shrimp number)/100.

Table 6. Means \pm standard errors of shrimp quality attributes across experimental dietsand total color differences (ΔE_{ab}) among treatments

		Experimental diets			
Quality traits ¹	n	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	P-value
Shear force (N)	24	20.9 ± 1.9 ^{ab}	23.7 ± 1.0 ^b	16.2 ± 1.4^{a}	0.0077
WHC (%)	30	87.9 ± 2.2 ^b	88.8 ± 3.2 ^b	79.6 ± 1.8^{a}	0.0266
рн	30	7.4 ± 0.5	7.5 ± 0.1	7.5 ± 0.5	0.0565
тvв-м (mgN/100 g)	90	16.9 ± 0.3^{a}	16.7 ± 0.3 ^a	9.7 ± 0.4^{b}	< 0.0001
L*	30	49.6 ± 1.6	49.6 ± 1.5	49.3 ± 1.6	0.8978
a*	30	26.7 ± 3.7^{a}	27.0 ± 1.3^{a}	30.7 ± 0.8^{b}	0.0078
b*	30	24.9 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 0.46	24.8 ± 0.3	0.3764
C*	30	36.6 ± 0.5^{a}	36.8 ± 0.4^{a}	39.4 ± 0.8^{b}	0.0067
h*	30	46.76 ± 0.67^{a}	47.12 ± 1.56^{a}	51.12±0.40 ^b	0.0198
ΔE_{ab}		SC VS CD	FSC VS CD	SC VS FSC	
		2.7	0.7	0.7	

¹ wнс: water holding capacity, тvв-N: total volatile basic nitrogen, L*: lightness, a*: redness, b*: yellowness,

c*: Chroma, h*: hue. ΔE_{ab} : total color difference between treatments.

^{a,b} Means with different superscripts in the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05).

below permissible limits (30 mgN/100 g).⁽⁵²⁾ Hence, this disadvantage should not be relevant, considering shrimp is usually stored and distributed frozen, which inhibits autolytic and microbial enzyme activity on amino acids.⁽⁵³⁻⁵⁵⁾

Cooked shrimp color is another relevant attribute for consumers.⁽⁵⁶⁾ Usually, consumers associate the redness of cooked shrimp meat with higher quality.^(57, 58) Dietary protein source caused some changes in chromatic coordinates (a*), color appearance (h*) and color saturation (c*). In that sense, previous research has suggested that consumers tend to prefer cooked shrimp that is orange to intense orange,⁽⁵⁹⁾ an appearance that is associated with the range of h* values observed here (close to 50). Therefore, the inclusion of the vegetable protein sources under study in shrimp diets did not cause color impairment in cooked shrimp. This hypothesis was further confirmed by the small total color difference (ΔE_{ab}) between

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 1 2022

Original Research

	Experimental diets				
	Soybean & canola (SC)	Fermented SC (FSC)	Control diet (CD)	χ ²	P-value
n	30	30	30		
Aroma	80	76.6	63.3	2.1	0.34
Color	73.3	83.3	63.3	3.1	0.21
Flavor	57.1	60.7	58.6	0.1	0.95
Texture	66.6	76.6	53.3	3.6	0.16
Overall acceptability	68.9	74.2	62.06	0.7	0.70

Table 7. Percentage of positive ratings¹ (≥5) for aroma, flavor, color, texture, and overall acceptability of shrimp fed different protein sources

¹ Ratings based on a 7-point hedonic scale (= I dislike it very much through 7 = I like it very much).

shrimp fed FSC and CD, as well as by the rather uniform proportion of positive ratings given by consumer panelists to shrimp fed any experimental diet (Table 7). The panelists did not detect differences in aroma between treatments. These findings agree with a report by Erickson et al.⁽¹²⁾ showing a trained panel did not detect differences in the aroma of shrimp stored fresh (8 h on glassed ice), refrigerated (5 °C), or frozen (-18 °C). Furthermore, our study showed that the taste of shrimp fed with fermented plant meals and the control diet were similar (five on average). The flavor of shrimp is related its content of glutamate (or glutamic acid), which gives the characteristic flavor to seafood.⁽⁶⁰⁾ Therefore, we believe that determining the glutamate content of shrimp could helpful to complement the sensory evaluation.

Conclusions

Taken together, results indicate it is possible to use fermented soybean + canola meal as the major protein source, in *L. vannamei* feeding, without compromising production performance, shrimp quality attributes or consumer acceptance. Hence, it represents an opportunity to reduce the dependence on costly animal ingredients, such as fish meal, for shrimp production. Despite quality attributes and consumer acceptance of shrimp fed unfermented soybean + canola meal was comparable to those of shrimp fed the commercial diet, its use as a major protein source is not recommended since it appears to impair productivity.

Original Research DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 1 2022

Data availability

All relevant data of this research are within the paper. The datasets generated and/ or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the technical assistance on quality analysis of shrimp by Francisco Ruiz López. We also thank the technical support of personnel from Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación de Sisal, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM, Puerto de Sisal, Yucatán, México.

Funding statement

The National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT: www.conacyt.mx) provided financial support through the master degree fellowship for Rafael Escobar. Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación de Sisal, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM, Puerto de Sisal, Yucatán, México (sisal.unam.mx) provided the research facilities, personnel, animals, feed, and laboratory materials, equipment and reagents to conduct the experiments. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare in regard to this publication.

Author contributions

- Conceptualization: MS Rubio Lozano, JC Maldonado Flores, G Gaxiola Cortes, R Escobar-Alvarez.
- Data curation: R Escobar-Alvarez, G Gaxiola Cortes, JC Maldonado Flores.

Formal analysis: R Escobar-Alvarez, MS Rubio Lozano, EJ Delgado Suárez.

Funding acquisition: MS Rubio Lozano, JC Maldonado Flores, G Gaxiola Cortes.

Methodology: R Escobar-Alvarez, MS Rubio Lozano, JC Maldonado Flores, G Gaxiola Cortes.

Project administration: MS Rubio Lozano.

Resources: MS Rubio Lozano, JC Maldonado Flores, G Gaxiola Cortes.

Software: R Escobar-Alvarez, EJ Delgado Suárez.

Supervision: MS Rubio Lozano, EJ Delgado Suárez.

Visualization: MS Rubio Lozano, JC Maldonado Flores, G Gaxiola Cortes.

Writing - original draft: R Escobar-Alvarez, MS Rubio Lozano

Writing - review & editing: EJ Delgado-Suárez, R Escobar-Alvarez, MS Rubio Lozano.

References

- 1. Msangi S, Kobayashi M, Batka M, Anderson JL. Prospects for fisheries and aquaculture. World bank. 2015(83177). doi: 83177-GLB.
- 2. FAO. Inocuidad y calidad de los alimentos. Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura. 2020. http://www.fao.org/food-safety/es/
- 3. Cho JH, Kim IH. Fish meal nutritive value. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 2011;95(6):685-692. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2010.01109.x.
- 4. Miles RD, Chapman FA. The benefits of fish meal in aquaculture diets. Gainesville (FL): University of Florida; 2010. pp. 82-104. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 | 2022

Original Research

- 5. Index M. Fishmeal-monthly price (Mexican peso per metric ton) –commodity prices– price charts, data, and news. IndexMundi. 2020.
- Magaña Gallegos E. Determinación del valor nutricional del floc en el camarón blanco del Pacífico (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) y el camarón rojo del Caribe (*Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis*) [Tesis de maestría]. Sisal, Yucatán: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México; 2014. https://ru.dgb.unam.mx/handle/ DGB_UNAM/TES01000719689
- Laohabanjong R, Srichanun M, Kuprasert S, Tantikitti C, Supamattaya K. Fish meal quality evaluated by chemical analysis and feed microscopy techniques. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology. 2005;27(Suppl.1):25-44.
- 8. Ezquerra M, Bringas L, Burgos a, Rouzaud O. Control de la composición química y atributos de calidad de camarones cultivados. Control. 2004(1):441-462.
- Avila-Villa LA, Garcia-Sanchez G, Gollas-Galvan T, Hernandez-Lopez J, Lugo-Sanchez ME, Martinez-Porchas M, et al. Textural changes of raw and cooked muscle of shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, infected with necrotizing hepatopancreatitis bacterium (NHPB). Journal of Texture Studies. 2012;43(6):453-458. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-4603.2012.00355.x.
- Van Nguyen N, Hoang L, Van Khanh T, Duy Hai P, Hung LT. Utilization of fermented soybean meal for fishmeal substitution in diets of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture Nutrition. 2018;24(3):1-9. doi: 10.1111/ anu.12648.
- Chan-Vivas E, Edén MG, Maldonado C, Escalante K, Gaxiola G, Cuzon G. Does biofloc improve the energy distribution and final muscle quality of shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society. 2019;50(2):460-468. doi: 10.1111/jwas.12522.
- Erickson MC, Bulgarelli MA, Resurreccion AVA, Vendetti RA, Gates KA. Sensory differentiation of shrimp using a trained descriptive analysis panel. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 2007;40(10):1774-1783. doi: 10.1016/j. lwt.2006.12.007.
- Brauer JME, Leyva JAS, Alvarado LB, Sández OR. Effect of dietary protein on muscle collagen, collagenase and shear force of farmed white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). European Food Research and Technology. 2003;217(4):277-280. doi: 10.1007/s00217-003-0739-7.
- Maldonado Flores JC. Evaluación de concentrados proteicos vegetales en la nutrición de *L. vannamei*: aspectos de digestibilidad, fisiológicos, bioquímicos y calidad [Tesis de doctorado]. Mérida, Yucatán: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México; 2011.
- Xie JJ, Lemme A, He JY, Yin P, Figueiredo-Silva C, Liu YJ, et al. Fishmeal levels can be successfully reduced in white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) if supplemented with DL-methionine (DL-Met) or DL-methionyl-DL-methionine (Met-Met). Aquaculture Nutrition. 2018;24(3):1144-1152. doi: 10.1111/anu.12653.
- Sharawy Z, Goda AMAS, Hassaan MS. Partial or total replacement of fish meal by solid state fermented soybean meal with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in diets for Indian prawn shrimp, *Fenneropenaeus indicus*, Postlarvae. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2016;212:90-99. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.12.009.
- 17. Hassaan MS, Soltan MA, Abdel-Moez AM. Nutritive value of soybean meal after solid state fermentation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for Nile tilapia,

Original Research UV 10 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 1 2022

Oreochromis niloticus. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2015;201:89-98. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.01.007.

- Shiu YL, Wong SL, Guei WC, Shin YC, Liu CH. Increase in the plant protein ratio in the diet of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, using *Bacillus subtilis* E20-fermented soybean meal as a replacement. Aquaculture Research. 2015;46(2):382-394. doi: 10.1111/are.12186.
- Mukherjee R, Chakraborty R, Dutta A. Role of fermentation in improving nutritional quality of soybean meal: a review. Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2016;29(11):1523-1529. doi: 10.5713/ajas.15.0627.
- Zhu J, Gao M, Zhang R, Sun Z, Wang C, Yang F, et al. Effects of soybean meal fermented by *L. plantarum*, *B. subtilis* and *S. cerevisieae* on growth, immune function and intestinal morphology in weaned piglets. Microbial Cell Factories. 2017;16(1):1-10. doi: 10.1186/s12934-017-0809-3.
- 21. Yabaya A, Akinyanju JA, Jatau ED. Yeast enrichment of soybean cake. World Journal of Dairy and Food Science. 2009;4(2):141-144.
- 22. Raa J, Gildberg A. Fish silage: a review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 1982;16(4):383-419. doi: 10.1080/10408398209527341.
- 23. Cousin M, Cuzon G, Guillaume J. Digestibility of starch in *Penaeus vannamei: in vivo* and *in vitro* study on eight samples of various origin. Aquaculture. 1996;140(4):361-372. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(95)01201-X.
- 24. Adler-Nissen J. Enzymic hydrolysis of food protein. Barking, Essex: Elsevier Applied Science Publishers Ltd.; 1986.
- 25. Nieto López MG, Cruz Suárez LE, Ricque Marie D, Ezquerra Brauer M. Técnica de digestibilidad *in vitro* de ingredientes y alimentos para camarón. Ciencia UANL. 2005;VIII(1):65-73.
- Dimes LE, Haard NF. Estimation of protein digestibility-I. Development of an *in vitro* method for estimating protein digestibility in salmonids (*Salmo gairdneri*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A: Physiology. 1994;108(2-3):349-362. doi: 10.1016/0300-9629(94)90106-6.
- 27. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anals of Bio-chemistry. 1976;72:248-254. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.
- 28. Keulen JV, Young BA. Evaluation of acid-insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. 1977;44(2):282-287.
- 29. Suárez JA, Gaxiola G, Mendoza R, Cadavid S, Garcia G, Alanis G, et al. Substitution of fish meal with plant protein sources and energy budget for white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture. 2009;289(1-2):118-123. doi: 10.1016/j. aquaculture.2009.01.001.
- 30. Jiang N, Tan NS, Ho B, Ding JL. Azocoll protease activity assay. Protocol Exchange. 2007(October) 2016:4-6. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.484.
- Geiger R, Fritz H. Trypsin. In: Bergmeyer HU, editor. Methods of enzymatic analysis. Volumen v. Enzymes 3: peptidases, proteinases and their inhibitors. 3rd ed. Weinhein, Deerfield Beach, FL, and Basel (CH): Verlag Chemie; 1988. pp. 199-224.
- Rick W. Chymotrypsin. In: Bergmeyer HU, editor. Methods of enzymatic analysis. 2nd ed. Cambridge, Massachusetts (US): Academic Press; 1974. pp. 1006-1012.

Original Research

- Díaz Tenorio LM. Cambios *post-mortem* en el músculo de camarón patiblanco (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) y el efecto de procesos post-cosecha en su textura [Tesis de doctorado]. La Paz, Baja California Sur, México: Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, SC; 2006.
- Botta JR, Lauder JT, Jewer MA. Effect of methodology on total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) determination as an index of quality of fresh atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Journal of Food Science. 1984;49(3):734-736. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1984.tb13197.x.
- 35. Nova Cacho JI. Búsqueda y caracterización de la estabilidad de una fitasa fúngica producida por fermentación en medio sólido [Tesis de maestría]. Guadalajara, Jalisco: Centro de Investigación y Asistencia en Tecnología del Estado de Jalisco, AC; 2014.
- Amadou I, Kmara MT, Amza T, Foh MBK, Le GW. Physicochemical and nutritional analysis of fermented soybean protein meal by *Lactobacillus plantarum* LP6. Word Journal of Dairy and Food Sciences. 2010;5:114-118.
- Cruz Suárez LE. Digestión en camarón y su relación con formulación y fabricación de alimentos balanceados. En: 1996: Memorias del tercer simposium internacional de nutrición acuícola. Avances en Nutrición Acuícola. 1996(Nov);207-232. https://nutricionacuicola.uanl.mx/index.php/acu/article/view/330
- Wang Y, Liu M, Wang B, Jiang K, Wang M, Wang L. A global view of hepatopancreas and intestinal reveals the potential influencing mechanism of aflatoxin B1 on nutrition and metabolism in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture Nutrition. 2019;25(6):1354-1366. doi: 10.1111/anu.12956.
- Jannathulla R, Dayal JS, Vasanthakumar D, Ambasankar K, Muralidhar M. Effect of fungal fermentation on apparent digestibility coefficient for dry matter, crude protein and amino acids of various plant protein sources in *Penaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture Nutrition. 2018(July 2017):1-12. doi: 10.1111/anu.12669.
- 40. Siccardi AJ, Lawrence AL, Gatlin DM, Fox JM, Castille FL, Perez-Velazquez M, et al. Requerimientos de energía y proteína digerible para crecimiento y mantenimiento de subadultos de *Litopenaeus vannamei*. 2006. In: Avances en Nutrición Acuícola vIII. Monterrey, Nuevo León: Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. (Dec 13), 2021. https://www.uanl.mx/utilerias/nutricion_acuicola/VIII/ archivos/16Siccardi2.pdf
- Lemos D, Navarrete Del Toro A, Córdova-Murueta JH, Garcia-Carreño F. Testing feeds and feed ingredients for juvenile pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus paulensis*: *in vitro* determination of protein digestibility and proteinase inhibition. Aquaculture. 2004;239(1-4):307-321. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.05.032.
- Rocha JV, Silva JF, Barros C, Peixoto S, Soares R. Compensatory growth and digestive enzyme activity of *Litopenaeus vannamei* submitted to feeding restriction in a biofloc system. Aquaculture Research. 2019;50(12):3653-3662. doi: 10.1111/are.14323.
- 43. Maytorena-Verdugo CI. Efecto de solventes orgánicos y temperatura en la actividad enzimática de las lipasas digestiva e intracelular de *Penaeus vannamei* [Tesis de maestría]. La Paz, Baja California Sur: Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, SC; 2016.
- 44. Ayala Borboa EG. Efecto de la inclusión de harina de langostilla (*Pleuroncodes planipes*) en el alimento sobre la expresión y actividad enzimática digestiva en el intestino del camarón blanco (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) [Tesis de maestría].

Original Research UV 1 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 91 2022

La Paz, Baja California Sur: Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, SC; 2014.

- Rosas C, Cuzon G, Gaxiola G, Pascual C. El metabolismo de los carbohidratos de *Litopenaeus setiferus*, *L. vannamei* y *L. stylirostris*. In: Avances en Nutrición Acuícola v. Memorias del V Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. Mérida: Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León; 2000. pp. 340-359.
- Javahery S, Noori A, Hoseinifar SH. Growth performance, immune response, and digestive enzyme activity in Pacific white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei* fed dietary microbial lysozyme. Fish and Shellfish Immunology. 2019;92(June):528-535. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.049.
- Wujie X, Yu X, Haochang S, Xiaojuan H, Yunna X, Zhuojia L, et al. Effects of feeding frequency on growth, feed utilization, digestive enzyme activity and body composition of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in biofloc-based zero-exchange intensive systems. Aquaculture. 2020;74(5):782–786.
- Peng YK, Chen S, Ji H, Liu S. Localization of trypsin-like protease in *postmor*tem tissue of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and its effect in muscle softening. Food Chemistry. 2019;290(March):277-285. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2019.03.147.
- Dang TT, Jessen F, Martens HJ, Gringer N, Olsen K, Boknaes N, et al. Proteomic and microscopic approaches in understanding mechanisms of shell-loosening of shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) induced by high pressure and protease. Food Chemistry. 2019;289:729-738. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.03.059.
- 50. Triki M. Aminas biógenas en productos cárnicos más saludables en base a su contenido lipídico [Tesis de doctorado]. Madrid, España: Universidad Complutense de Madrid; 2013.
- 51. Sang Hyun S, Seong-Jun C, Young-Ho H, Je-Hoon R, Ju Hui K, Hyun C, et al., inventors. Method for preparing a fermented soybean meal using bacillus strains. Korea Patent No. WO/2011/031020. 2011.
- 52. Gallardo J, López M, Pastoriza L, Gonzalez P. Determinación de bases volátiles en productos pesqueros. Información Técnica del Instituto de Investigaciones Pesqueras. 1979;65(1):3-65.
- 53. Chan Vivas YE, Maldonado Flores JC. Evaluación en aspectos de zootecnia, fisiológicos y textura del músculo del camarón blanco (*Litopenaeus vanna-mei*) cultivado en sistema bio-floc y sistema tradicional [Tesis de licenciatura]. Ensenada, Baja California (MX): Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada; 2012.
- 54. Velu S, Cheong Yew C, Zaman MZ, Abu Bakar F. Inhibition of melanosis, microbial and quality changes of white shrimp (*Penaues vannameii*) via effect of key lime juice and vacuum packaging at 2 ±1 °C. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology. 2019;28(4):427-437. doi: 10.1080/10498850.2019.1595800.
- 55. Yang SP, Xie J, Qian YF. Determination of spoilage microbiota of pacific white shrimp during ambient and cold storage using next-generation sequencing and culture-dependent method. Journal of Food Sciences. 2017;82(5):1178-1183. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.13705.
- Čižmek L, Komorsky-Lovrić Š. Electrochemistry as a screening method in determination of carotenoids in crustacean samples used in everyday diet. Food Chemistry. 2019(Mar 30);309: 125706. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125706.

Original Research 001 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1014 Vol. 9 1 2022

- Boonyaratpalin M, Thongrod S, Supamattaya K, Britton G, Schlipalius LE. Effects of β-carotene source, *Dunaliella salina*, and astaxanthin on pigmentation, growth, survival and health of *Penaeus monodon*. Aquaculture Research. 2001;32:182-190.
- 58. Latscha T. The role of astaxanthin in shrimp pigmentation. Animal Nutrition and Health. 1989:319-325.
- 59. Parisenti J, Beirão LH, Tramonte VLCG, Ourique F, da Silveira Brito CC, Moreira CC. Preference ranking of colour in raw and cooked shrimps. International Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2011;46(12):2558-2561. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02781.x.
- 60. Albarracín SL, Baldeón ME, Sangronis E, Petruschina AC, Reyes FGR. L-Glutamato: un aminoácido clave para las funciones sensoriales y metabólicas. Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutrición. 2016;66(2):101-112.