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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Despite evidence from harm reduction programs, there are limited data on their impact in Mexico. The Mexican National HIV Program has supported harm reduction programs implemented by community-based organizations both financially and technically. Objective. To obtain an estimate of HIV infections averted from 2015 to 2018. Method. A deterministic model was developed to estimate the number of infections, with harm reduction projects financed by CENSIDA and implemented by Community-Based Organizations, using data reported from the period 2015 to 2018. The benefit was obtained by estimating the costs of providing prevention programs and comparing the latter to the costs of providing care. Results. An analysis of 66,973 people included in harm reduction programs showed that an estimated 869 HIV infections were averted between 2015 and 2018. Potential savings obtained by providing these harm reduction services exceeded over $600,000 Mexican pesos for every infection averted. Discussion and conclusion. Harm reduction services play a key role in reducing the incidence of HIV in Mexico. Ensuring their financing is necessary since, combined with other services, they are a cost-effective tool for reducing the economic and public health burden of HIV/AIDS. Keywords: People who inject drugs, harm reduction, HIV.

RESUMEN

Introducción. A pesar de la evidencia que aportan los programas de reducción de daños, hay pocos datos sobre el impacto que tienen en México. El Programa Nacional de VIH en México ha apoyado técnica y financieramente la implementación de proyectos de reducción de daños operados por la sociedad civil. Objetivo. Estimar el número de infecciones de VIH evitadas en el periodo de 2015 a 2018. Método. Se desarrolló un modelo determinista para estimar el número de infecciones evitadas con los proyectos de reducción de daños financiados por CENSIDA e implementados por organizaciones de la sociedad civil a partir de los datos reportados durante el periodo 2015-2018. El beneficio se obtuvo al estimar el costo de proveer programas de prevención comparado con el costo de proveer tratamiento. Resultados. Del análisis de 66,973 personas contactadas con los programas, se estimaron 869 infecciones de VIH evitadas entre 2015 y 2018. El ahorro potencial de proveer estos servicios de reducción de daños fue de más de $600 mil pesos por infección evitada. Discusión y conclusión. Los servicios de reducción de daños cumplen un papel importante en la reducción de la incidencia de VIH en México. Asegurar su financiamiento es necesario ya que es una herramienta costo-efectiva si se le combina con otras estrategias para reducir la carga económica y de salud pública del VIH/sida. Palabras clave: Personas que se inyectan drogas, reducción de daños, VIH.
INTRODUCTION

The special session of the United Nations (UN) General Assembly in June 1998 was dedicated to the world drug problem. Nevertheless, today, three decades later, gaps remain in relation to the universal access of people who inject drugs PWIDs to HIV prevention, treatment, and care (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2016).

Worldwide, there are an estimated 11.3 million PWIDs (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2019), whereas in Mexico there are 109,079 (INPRFM & CONADIC, 2017), whose distribution around the country is mainly concentrated in the northern region, particularly in the cities of Tijuana and Ciudad Juárez. Estimates indicate that there are approximately 12,000 PWIDs in Tijuana, 10,000 in Ciudad Juárez (Centro Nacional para la Prevención y Control del VIH/SIDA [CENSIDA], 2010; Mathers et al., 2013), and 3,390 in Hermosillo (Ospina-Escobar, 2016). Nevertheless, more recent studies are needed to determine the number of people per region, not only in this population but also in other key populations affected by the epidemic. Likewise, since undertaking precise calculations is a complex task, United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and other agencies have developed standardized, consensual methods to make estimates between countries comparable (HIV Modeling Consortium, 2019).

Several studies conducted to characterize populations at risk of acquiring HIV have focused on the social environment and have pointed out its importance, since the spread of HIV is heavily influenced by social, economic, and political conditions, which have spurred interest in mapping the social, structural, and environmental factors shaping the risk of HIV acquisition (Rhodes, Singer, Bourgeois, Friedmand, & Strathdee, 2005; Cruz et al., 2010).

Risk factors for HIV infection in PWIDs at the micro-environmental level include: a) physical risks such as places where drugs are injected, prisons and violence; b) social risks, such as social norms and peer pressure, social networks and the dynamics of relationships, sexuality and sexual orientation, local police practices, access to community health and welfare services, education, easy access to drugs, and peer education; d) economic risks, such as the cost of housing and health treatments, the cost of syringes and condoms, lack of income and employment, and survival through commercial sex; e) political risks, such as coverage of sterile syringes and needles, treatment coverage for substance use disorder (SUD), coverage of HIV detection and psychological counseling and antiretroviral therapy, programs regulating the distribution of material for injection, and access to social housing (Strathdee et al., 2010).

Factors within the macro environmental context include: a) physical ones such as drug trafficking and the distribution of routes, geographical changes in the population, deportations, and distance from the epicenter of HIV; b) social ones such as gender inequalities, stigma and marginalization, wars, disasters, and racial or ethnic inequalities; c) economic ones such as lack of spending on health services, growth of informal economies, transition of uncertain economy; d) political ones such as laws governing the access and exchange of syringes, laws regulating the treatment of SUDs, policies and laws governing universal coverage of antiretroviral treatment, public health policies regulating sex work, laws regulating the possession of substances of abuse and consumer paraphernalia, immigration policies and laws, and laws regulating the protection of human and health rights (Strathdee et al., 2010).

Several publications have demonstrated the importance of these factors, such as a 2009 study in New York City evaluating the prevalence of HIV infection, as well as risk behaviors in two groups of people who inject drugs: those ages 18 to 29 and those over 30. HIV prevalence was 9.0% and higher in the older (9.5%) than the younger group (4.1%) (Broz et al., 2014). This increase in incidence could be explained by the fact that in 2009, it was found that younger users in New York purchased sterile syringes in pharmacies (60%) more frequently than those in the older group (39%) (Broz et al., 2014).

A cohort study conducted in Vancouver evaluated the incidence of HIV in relation to substance use by injection over time. At five years, they found a cumulative incidence of HIV infection of 8.27%. At 10 years of follow-up, cumulative incidence had increased to 10.04% and at 13 years of follow-up it was reported to be 11.88% (Montain et al., 2016).

In Mexico, the limited implementation of the reforms of decriminalization laws averted 2% (95% CI [.2, 3.0]) of new HIV infections in people who inject drugs between 2012 and 2017 (Borquez et al., 2018).

In addition to sexual practices, people who inject drugs have a higher risk of acquiring sexually transmitted infections (STIs), as well as a greater chance of acquiring hepatitis C (HCV), which is six times more prevalent in those with HIV who inject drugs than in those who do not have HIV (Platt et al., 2016; Berbesi, Segura-Cardona, Montoya-Velez, & Mateu-Gelabert, 2013).

Worldwide, women who inject drugs are more vulnerable to HIV infection than men, due to a greater tendency to engage in risky sexual behaviors, such as exchanging sex for money or drugs, having multiple sexual partners and sharing needles, as well as having unprotected sex with a partner who inject drugs (UNODC, 2019; Berbesi et al., 2013; Azim, Bontell, & Strathdee, 2015). This is borne out on Mexico’s northern border, where a higher prevalence of HIV has been observed in sex workers who inject drugs than in those who do not (12% versus 5%), mainly due to the fact that this is perpetuated by the user networks around them (Strathdee et al., 2008).
In Latin America, it is estimated that the prevalence of HIV in people who inject drugs is 35 - 7% (15.0 - 56.6) who together with Eastern Europe have the highest number of people who inject drugs living with HIV (Degenhardt et al., 2017).

In countries such as Honduras, Guatemala, El Salvador, and Panama, HIV prevalence indicates a generalized epidemic, whereas Haiti has a similar prevalence to African countries (3.8%) (Cruz et al., 2010).

In the case of Mexico, it is estimated that in 2015, the prevalence of HIV in people who inject drugs was 2.5%, while several studies show that border states estimate a prevalence of 4.2% to 7.7% (Bautista-Arredondo, Coleche-ro, Sosa-Rubi, Romero, & Conde, 2012). More recent studies have found that the prevalence of HIV in drug use sites in Tijuana and Ciudad Juárez is 7.3% and 3.2% respectively (Fleiz-Bautista et al., 2019).

Both the geographical and the social situation of Mexico explain the vulnerability of the northern border. The dynamics of borders such as that of Mexico and other countries revolve around drug use, prostitution, and population mobility. These factors encourage the vulnerability of the population concentrated in these places (Strathdee, Magis-Rodriguez, Mays, Jimenez, & Patterson, 2012).

Among Mexicans who report using abused substances, 5.1% have used an injectable drug at some time in their lives, with heroin remaining the substance of choice, with a 1.6% preference of use (DGE, 2016). It is estimated that each person whoinjects drugs uses an average of 7.2 syringes, 19% of which have been reused (Bucardo et al., 2005).

A key limitation in Mexico for the control of HIV in PWIDs is the lack of knowledge of serological status, where the low coverage of HIV monitoring and diagnosis programs and other STIs are a major problem for the epidemic. It is estimated that in both Tijuana and Ciudad Juárez, from 2004 to 2006, only 46% of female sex workers and 30-38% of PWIDs were tested for HIV (Strathdee et al., 2012; Strathdee et al., 2013). A study conducted in 2005 in Tijuana and Ciudad Juárez proposed studying the barriers to HIV detection on the border between Mexico and the United States. It was found that a total of 3% of participants from both cities obtained reactive results in the HIV test (Strathdee et al., 2013).

Although this is a low figure, in both cities it was found that 65% of participants who had not been tested had missed at least one occasion to be tested. This situation is associated with the stigma surrounding injectable drug use, which also results in the “under-declaration” of drug use and inhibits the desire to undertake diagnostic tests. These elements constitute a risk factor for HIV/AIDS in Mexico (Bucardo et al., 2005).

The existence of public policies in Mexico continues to be strengthened with the aim of reducing STIs and HIV. The Harm Reduction Model, a strategy developed in the 1980s for PWIDs, is implemented as a means of finding the most effective way to reduce the damage caused by drug use through the provision of services that favor behavioral modification and health care (CENSIDA, 2008).

Between 2008 and 2010, a study was conducted to evaluate the combination of interventions to reduce risk factors for STIs and HIV among women engaged in sexual work who inject drugs in the cities of Tijuana and Ciudad Juárez (Moyer et al., 2008). It included educational versus interactive sessions for the promotion of safe sex and the management of contaminated syringes in both cities. In Tijuana, sterile syringes were also supplied for the population studied. It was found that after 12 months of interventions, the incidence of HIV/STI, which was higher in Tijuana than Ciudad Juárez, fell by over 50% in both cities (Moyer et al., 2008). The acceptability and viability of harm reduction programs may be controversial in Mexico and should be further analyzed, in addition to including the provision of medical prescriptions for sterile syringes, the implementation of syringe vending machines and promoting safe injection sites, among others (Bucardo et al., 2005; Magis-Rodriguez, Garcia-Sánchez & Marín-Navarrete, 2018).

Harm reduction refers to policies, programs, and practices that primarily seek to reduce adverse health and social and economic consequences, resulting from the legal and illegal use of psychoactive drugs, without the need to reduce drug use. The International Harm Reduction Association (IHRA, 2010) seeks to reduce the damage associated with the use of psychoactive drugs in people who either cannot or do not wish to stop using them. Its defining characteristic is that it focuses on reducing harm rather than preventing drug use itself, and concentrates on those who continue using drugs (IHRA, 2010).

In keeping with the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO), the harm reduction package includes the following: opioid replacement therapy, HIV detection services, antiretroviral therapy, STI prevention and treatment, condom distribution programs for PWIDs and their partners, personalized information, education and communication, prevention, vaccination, diagnosis and treatment of viral hepatitis, prevention, diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis, and community distribution of naloxone (World Health Organization, 2012).

Since 1994, CENSIDA has developed in Mexico various community-based and institutional strategies to bring harm reduction services to PWIDs in order to reduce HIV transmission. To this end, since 2014 the installed and technical-scientific capacity of the human resources of community organizations has been strengthened through funding for prevention and detection activities and those involving linkage to treatment (Magis-Rodriguez et al., 2018).

Although globally it has been proved that harm reduction is effective in preventing infections, very few studies in Mexico show the extent to which these interventions have impacted on averting new HIV infections. According to the
epidemiological modeling study of the El Cuete IV cohort in Tijuana, it is estimated that harm reduction interventions such as the decriminalization of the personal use of certain drugs, together with the implementation of opioid substitution treatments, could prevent 21% of the new infections (Borquez et al., 2018). However, the impact of harm reduction interventions implemented by civil society organizations is as yet unknown, and constitutes the main focus of this study.

**METHOD**

**Study design**

A cross-sectional and epidemiological modeling study was conducted using data collected by the projects financed by CENSIDA during the 2014-2018 period to target the PWIDs population. Priority interventions of harm reduction projects included: a) safe delivery and/or exchange of needles and syringes, b) provision of prevention supplies, c) communication actions; and d) education on drug use and health care for HIV and STIs, quick tests for HIV, syphilis, and other STIs accompanied by counseling, linking reactive cases to health services in coordination with local authorities, support services, and other socio-cultural strategies.

**Participants**

Using the information reported on the population reached by the interventions, only the projects targeting people who inject drugs were used, of which a total of 66,973 people contacted was reported.

Funded harm reduction projects were implemented in cities in the northern region of Mexico: Tijuana, Mexicali, Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua, Delicias, Agua Prieta, Caborca, Cajeme, Guaymas, Hermosillo, Navojoa, Nogales, and San Luis Rio Colorado.

**Statistical analysis**

A descriptive analysis of the projects that met the inclusion criteria was undertaken. In order to estimate the number of new infections averted by CENSIDA-funded harm reduction programs, a deterministic model of HIV transmission derived from the use of non-sterile syringes in people who inject drugs was developed. For the purposes of this analysis, the risk of HIV transmission for every act of needle sharing is higher than the risk of transmission for each sexual act the former was assumed to be predominant. The susceptible population was estimated according to the number of people reached by the projects with a harm reduction component and estimates of HIV prevalence in key populations reported by CENSIDA.

Lastly, the model to determine the estimate of infections averted was drawn up on the basis of the MOT transmission models (Borquez et al., 2018; Case et al., 2012; Kessler et al., 2013), which resulted in the $I' = nE (1-p) c\beta (1-i)$ model, whose parameter was obtained from various sources (Table 1).

**Ethical considerations**

This study is considered a risk-free investigation, in accordance with Article 17 of the General Law of Health in Research Matters for Health, since there are no activities that involving human beings, and only statistical data are used.

**RESULTS**

In 2015 and 2018, a total of 688 projects were identified that reported contacting 1,870,885 people. Thirty-seven (5.4%) of these projects included PWIDs yielding a total of 81,403 contacts through three screening projects ($n = 4,552$), 18 projects to avert new infections ($n = 9,878$), and 16 harm reduction projects ($n = 66,973$), the last of which is the intervention that reached the largest population. No harm reduction projects were funded in 2014.

The number of infections averted was estimated from the 66,973 people reached through harm reduction projects, resulting in 868 infections associated with the harm reduction actions financed by CENSIDA and implemented by civil society organizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model for estimating HIV infections averted in PID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population reached by harm reduction projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of recent unsafe injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV prevalence among PID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unsafe injections a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of transmission by unsafe injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on HIV transmission associated with harm reduction programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In total, $13,206,323.20 pesos were invested in the 16 projects mentioned. The average cost per person reached during the period was $927.77 (min: $33.66, max: $4,963.87), 2017 being the year with the lowest average cost (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

The model for this study estimated 869 (an average of 217 per year) HIV infections averted through the provision of harm reduction programs, targeting the population that injects drugs in 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, which underlines the importance of maintaining and expanding these programs. The total investment made by CENSIDA was $13,206,323.20, equivalent to an average cost of $15,197 pesos per person averted, well below the annual cost of antiretroviral treatment for a person living with HIV in 2018 ($31,344), with a 20-year survival rate. Accordingly, the $15,000 pesos investment per averted infection would imply savings of over $600,000 pesos.

Improving the health of PWIDs, including the reduction of HIV and hepatitis C transmission, remains an important task for health services, together with the expansion of the coverage of these services.

The results presented in this study are significantly smaller than those of studies from other countries, probably due to methodological variations such as sample size and the length of the analysis period. A study in Switzerland (Marz‐el et al., 2018) estimated an impact of 15,903 infections averted, with an average 454 infections per year between 1980 and 2015, whereas one in China (Ni, Fu, Chen, Hu, & Wheeler et al., 2012) estimated 5,678 infections averted with an average of 1,135 per year from 2005 to 2010.

However, there may be other explanations associated with the nature of the epidemic in each country. For example, a study in Canada (Nosyk et al., 2017) estimated 2,473 (2,279 - 2,855) infections averted through the implementation of harm reduction, with an average of 145 per year, from 1996 to 2013. Despite the fact that this was a longer period, the average number of infections averted per year was lower than that obtained in Mexico.

In addition to the methodological variations, other factors that could be influencing these differences are the interventions included in harm reduction programs, since the package of harm reduction services in Switzerland included some that are as yet unavailable in Mexico: syringe vending machines and supervised injection sites. At the same time, in Nosyk’s estimate (2017), modeling was done on the basis of different scenarios, including the joint impact of harm reduction combined with antiretroviral treatment. In this respect, future studies in Mexico could consider doing modeling that takes both into account.

Limitations of this study include the fact that the data may not be representative of the entire population at risk in Mexico, since, according to the 2016–2017 ENCODAT survey, it is estimated that there are approximately 109,079 people in Mexico who inject drugs, of whom a total of 76,140 (69%) would have been reached in the period from 2015 to 2018. However, this may be an overestimate, since some of the same people may have been reached in certain years.

Another limitation is the lack of a direct association between the reduction of infections and a specific intervention, since the analysis is conducted with the population reached through harm reduction interventions as a whole. A broader study is required to determine the impact of harm reduction through other civil society actions implemented without the financial support of CENSIDA, which have another source of funding, in addition to other harm reduction services either financed or implemented by other institutions in the health sector. These include the methadone treatment service provided, for example, by private clinics and Centros de Integración Juvenil, A.C. given that, these services are not included in the modeling since the funded projects did not include opioid replacement therapy as a component.

According to the study on coverage of harm reduction interventions (IHRA) (Stone & Shirley-Beavan, 2018), in Mexico, an average of eight (6-12) syringes are distributed per person who injects drugs. Fifteen out of every 100 PWIDs receive treatment for opioid replacement and only three out of 100 take an HIV test. These figures represent an area of opportunity due to the low level of application of the latter. It is therefore necessary to expand harm reduction services to people who use drugs, to increase coverage and therefore increase the number of infections averted. This will only be possible if a financing mechanism is
guaranteed. Since worldwide financing for harm reduction programs is currently in crisis, it is necessary to invest in cost-effective interventions in order to optimize resources in the response to the HIV epidemic (Cook, Bridge, McLean, Phelan, & Barrett, 2014).
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