Biomarkers of gliomas and their impact on diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment
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Abstract

Tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) are due to the abnormal growth of cells in the brain or spinal cord that can become malignant and spread by metastases. Gliomas are the most frequent malignant tumors of the CNS, characterized by their heterogeneity and invasiveness. The high lethality of gliomas is due, among other causes, to the low efficacy of current treatments. The few biomarkers effective for the classification, prognosis, and treatment of the various types of gliomas are a useful tool for their management. New markers are currently being sought to make a more accurate diagnosis and for their potential as therapeutic targets. In this review, we describe the traditional biomarkers and other biomarkers that could be used to improve the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of gliomas.
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Secondary tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) are a complex and heterogeneous group with wide diversity in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. Among more than 100 histological types of primary CNS tumors, the most frequent are the encephalic ones, which account for 85-90% of all diagnosed tumors\(^1\). Meningiomas and pituitary tumors are the most incident primary brain tumors (53.9%) followed by...
Gliomas are a category of primary malignant tumors of the CNS with various subtypes of heterogeneous characteristics. The molecular mechanism of glioma formation is not clear, it is considered that these tumors originate from progenitor glial cells or stem cells with glial properties. For these reasons, different types of gliomas are named according to the cells from which they seem to have arisen.

Gliomas are the most frequent malignant tumors of the CNS (Fig. 1A), and their aggressiveness is mainly due to their invasiveness. The inefficacy of treatments for the wide variety of glioma subtypes implies an unfavorable prognosis, reflected in their high mortality rates.

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most lethal and most common glioma subtype (Fig. 1B). Its high mortality rate derives from the inefficacy of the few available treatments. Whilst low-grade gliomas have a low mortality rate, their highly epileptogenic characteristic seizures have a drastic impact on the patient's life quality.

Classification of gliomas

Morphological

Gliomas are classified as diffuse or non-diffuse by the World Health Organization (WHO). Non-diffuse types have circumscribed growth patterns, and Grades II through IV are assigned to the diffuse ones according to their severity.

In the case of diffuse gliomas, the diagnosis based on the histological characteristics starts with the identification of the morphology and cell type from which they seem to have originated. Some high-grade neoplasms have aggressive behaviors, reflected on the cell damage due to the tumor growth, making the diagnosis largely dependent on the experience of the analyst.

The WHO classification of gliomas based only on morphological and histological characteristics is subjective and inconsistent, since many histologically identical diffuse gliomas differ in progression, response to treatment, and outcome.
Advances in molecular marker identification and image processing technologies have led to a more precise classification that has improved the accuracy of the diagnosis and prognosis of CNS tumors that complement the morphological and histological characteristics\textsuperscript{14}. The fourth edition of the WHO CNS tumor classification included for the first time a criterion based on the presence/absence of two molecular markers in gliomas (Fig. 3): the isocitrate dehydrogenase (\textit{IDH}) gene mutation and the 1p/19q codeletion\textsuperscript{15}.

\textit{IDH1} and \textit{IDH2} mutations are found in astrocytomas, oligodendrogliomas, secondary glioblastomas, and in Grades II and III oligoastrocytomas, whereas Grades II and III diffuse gliomas with wild-type \textit{IDH} genes have molecular characteristics and behavior of glioblastomas\textsuperscript{11}.

The presence of both an \textit{IDH} mutation and the 1p/19q codeletion is characteristic of diffuse oligodendroglioma-type gliomas. In contrast, an \textit{IDH} mutation without 1p/19q codeletion identifies astrocytoma-type gliomas\textsuperscript{11}.

These new criteria have helped to integrate the diagnosis, characterization, surgical interventions, prognosis, and multiple options of new glioma treatments\textsuperscript{10,14,16}.

Magnetic resonance and basic biomarkers

A biomarker is “a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogens, or pharmacological responses to a therapeutic intervention”\textsuperscript{17}. Many biomarkers correspond to molecular characteristics that provide information for the diagnosis and prognosis of diseases. In the field of CNS tumors, biomarkers represent a means to understand the molecular pathways and deregulation mechanisms related to these tumors.
that even have the potential to be extended to other cancer types\textsuperscript{12,16}.

The incorporation of a criterion based on the molecular characteristics of gliomas greatly served to redefine tumor subtypes in the WHO classification\textsuperscript{11,13}. This is why molecular tools are currently used for the diagnosis of gliomas besides traditional histology and imaging methods\textsuperscript{4}.

In the field of neuro-oncology, machine learning methods based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in conjunction with biomarkers outperform traditional statistical methods\textsuperscript{18}.

The list of current biomarkers for human gliomas is limited and the search for new markers is relevant not only to improve diagnosis but also for the potential to find new therapeutic targets for various glioma types\textsuperscript{19}.

MRI, basic and additional biomarkers for the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of gliomas (Table 1) are described in this and the following sections.

**MRI**

MRI is a routine procedure for the diagnosis of gliomas that gives a structural overview of the location, detailed anatomy, and pathological information of the tumor and allows the acquisition of additional physiological details in conjunction with other advanced techniques. Many studies to determine the molecular profiles, histological grade, and prognosis of gliomas are based on magnetic resonance images acquired when the tumor is first detected\textsuperscript{18}.

Biomarkers in conjunction with MRI help to improve the classification, prognosis, and choice of glioma treatment\textsuperscript{9}. An example is a radiomics-based method with three-dimensional MRIs obtained with specialized equipment allowing non-invasive estimation of the IDH1 gene mutation status\textsuperscript{16}.

**IDH mutations**

IDH genes are traditional glioma biomarkers. Mutations in the loci encoding the human isoforms 1 (\textit{IDH1}) and 2 (\textit{IDH2}) are associated with better prognosis and therapeutic response of patients with gliomas\textsuperscript{16,20}. In low-grade gliomas and few secondary glioblastomas have been identified, the single nucleotide polymorphism in codon 132 (R132H), which determines the amino acid changes from arginine to histidine in the IDH1 isoform, as well as in the 172 codon analog of the IDH2 isoform\textsuperscript{20}.

Besides the fact that IDH1 and IDH2 gene mutations are relevant diagnosis and prognosis biomarkers, they are also associated with the incidence of pre-operative seizures in patients with low-grade gliomas\textsuperscript{10}. In conjunction with the analysis of IDH1/2 mutations, two more markers are used for a more accurate diagnosis of gliomas: the chromosome codeletion 1p/19q\textsuperscript{21} and the methylation of the O\textsuperscript{6}-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) gene promoter\textsuperscript{13}.

---

\textbf{Figure 3.} Simplified algorithm for glioma classification based on molecular biomarkers. The World Health Organization classification of gliomas uses this algorithm based on molecular biomarkers (adapted from Louis et al\textsuperscript{30}).
Reviewing the IDH1/2 mutation status is a routine study for glioma classification\textsuperscript{13}, complemented with non-invasive methods that detect the IDH status to quantify the patterns in various types of gliomas, mainly of low grade. An example is a non-invasive method that correlates MRI with the estimation of the IDH1 status in Grade II gliomas through segmentation, extraction of high-performance characteristics, selection, and classification of three-dimensional images through the use of radiomics.\textsuperscript{16}

**MGMT gene promoter methylation**

The MGMT gene encodes a DNA repair protein whose expression is affected by epigenetic changes such as methylation, which induces silencing\textsuperscript{22}. MGMT gene promoter methylation is a favorable prognostic marker for patients with high-grade astrocytic gliomas and also predicts a favorable response to the chemotherapy of anaplastic gliomas and glioblastomas with wild-type IDH1/2 profiles, as well as glioblastomas in older adults\textsuperscript{13}.

**1p/19q codeletion**

A marker widely used in the classification of gliomas is the codeletion or loss of the heterozygous 1p/19q region, due to a centromeric translocation\textsuperscript{23}. Glial tumors with this codeletion are defined as oligodendroglial tumors and have a favorable prognosis\textsuperscript{13}.

**Additional biomarkers**

**Staphylococcal nuclease domain 1 (SND1)**

Overexpression of the SND1 protein is a common phenomenon in various malignant human tissues. SND1 promotes the malignant glioma phenotype through an epigenetic route that generates the topologic interaction of chromatin by promoting histone acetylation besides stimulating downstream transcription activation of the RhoA (Ras homolog family member A) gene that encodes the GTPase1 and promotes cell proliferation and invasiveness. SND1 and RhoA are, therefore, independent indicators of unfavorable prognosis of gliomas\textsuperscript{24}.

Silencing the SND1 gene suppresses the proliferation and invasion of glioma cells, a finding indicating that the gene is not only a biomarker but a potential therapeutic target\textsuperscript{24}.

**RhoA**

Overexpression of the RhoA protein has been associated with tumor cell proliferation and metastasis\textsuperscript{25}. The inclusion of RhoA as a non-favorable
prognostic biomarker of high-grade gliomas derives from the fact that SND1 activates transcription of the RhoA gene in cultured glioma cells and that the RhoA protein regulates the expression of CCND1, CCNE1, CDK4, and CDKN1B genes and accelerates the G1/S transition of the cell cycle that promotes proliferation16.

**Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)**

The GFAP, a classic astrocytoma marker, is used to determine glial differentiation associated with lesser malignancy and is currently in the clinical and experimental phase for other glioma subtypes. However, GFAP does not seem to be a good marker of lower malignancy and differentiation among astrocytoma subtypes, because it is not only expressed in mature astrocytes but also during the development of stem cells in the adult brain4.

GFAP has a large number of splicing variants and different expression ratios of the α and δ GFAP isoforms (GFAPδ/α) may be found. A GFAPδ/α low expression ratio is associated with low-grade astrocytomas, whereas a high ratio is associated with high-grade astrocytomas and avoids confusing overall GFAP expression with less malignancy4,26.

**TIP30**

The TIP30 gene encodes the Tat30 interaction protein, a tumor suppressor protein involved in tumor cell growth, metastasis, and angiogenesis in various cancers27. TIP30 regulates tumor formation and provides a clear prognosis to glioma patients19.

TIP30 expression correlates inversely with histological classification, pathological grade, tumor size, and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression19. TIP30 expression levels decrease significantly in glioma tissue, and patients with positive TIP30 expression have greater survival and longevity than those with silenced TIP3019.

In glioma cell cultures TIP30 decreases the expression of the EGFR and downstream associated kinase genes such as ERK and AKT. These findings indicate that TIP30 could suppress oncogenesis and glioma progression and serve not only as a prognostic biomarker but also as a potential therapeutic target19.

**ATRX**

The ATRX gene encodes a protein essential for development, which regulates gene expression at the level of transcription by chromatin remodeling28.

ATRX gene mutation is a widely used biomarker for the classification of astrocytomas, and its loss is a favorable prognostic marker. ATRX loss and 1p/19q codeletion status have been used to reclassify anaplastic oligoastrocytomas. Tumors with ATRX loss have a course similar to that of anaplastic astrocytomas, whereas those with both ATRX loss and 1p/19q codeletion have a course similar to that of anaplastic oligodendrogliomas21.

**EGFR**

The EGFR gene mutation is a glioma biomarker because it cancels EGFR expression13 and correlates inversely with TIP30 overexpression19.

**Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs)**

LncRNAs are a class of non-protein-translated RNAs29. There is a high expression of LncRNAs participating in brain development under normal conditions and in various pathogenic processes that include glioma formation.

The association of LncRNAs with the initiation, differentiation, progression, recurrence, and characteristics of glioma progenitor stem cells makes them potential biomarkers for glioma subclassification, diagnosis, and prognosis. Knowledge of the key routes of LncRNAs for brain development can help to understand glioma formation and to find potential therapeutic targets29.

**Impact of biomarkers**

When a glioma is suspected, immediate actions are directed to obtain the MRI of the tumor and to confirm the diagnosis by biopsy. IDH status, MGMT promoter methylation, and 1p/19q codeletion are the major biomarkers for tumor classification. The presence of wild-type IDH is associated with poor prognosis, while 1p/19q codeletion and MGMT promoter methylation are associated with favorable prognosis.

Since the aggressiveness of the various glioma types is variable, developing additional prognostic markers could improve patient management. The addition of SND1 could provide key elements for the
classification of high-grade (III-IV) gliomas24. The distinction between the GFAPα and GFAPδ isoforms favors the classification of astrocytomas, and the identification of LncRNAs such as H19, MALAT1, and POU3F3, related to high-grade malignant gliomas, can improve the classification and the evaluation of malignancy23.

SND1 and RhoA are associated with poor prognosis, in contrast to TIP30 expression and ATRX loss, considered as better prognosis biomarkers.

The current treatment of the various glioma types mostly includes radiotherapy, surgical intervention, and chemotherapy. The use of each method depends on the severity of the tumor, and more advanced cases require a combined approach.

The choice of treatment is relevant to the patient’s life quality. A current problem is the lack of specific therapies that should be developed for each glioma subtype.

Advances in the field of glioma biomarkers open a landscape of opportunities to find additional targets such as SND1 and TIP30, which can inhibit the growth of tumor cells. The study of LncRNAs is also a promising nascent route to improve glioma treatment.

Conclusion

Biomarkers have substantially contributed to improve the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of gliomas. The combination of traditional imaging methods with molecular markers such as IDH1 and IDH2 gene mutations, MGMT gene promoter methylation, and 1p/19q codeletion considerably improves the effectiveness of statistical and histological methods that in most of the cases depend of the analyst’s experience. SND1, GFAPα, and GFAPδ are three recently found promising biomarkers to improve diagnosis. TIP30 protein expression and ATRX gene deletion denote a favorable prognosis, and both are potential therapeutic targets. LncRNAs should be examined to assess their use as glioma biomarkers.
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