Neuroscientific evidence support that chess improves academic performance in school
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Abstract

In this work, we report the cognitive benefits of playing chess for school-aged children. The most benefitted areas appear to be math and reading. To validate these results, a diversity of scientific studies are described, in which brain activation is demonstrated through magnetic resonance imaging when novice, intermediate, and advance chess players play the game. Given this evidence, it is suggested that chess be used as a tool to improve academic performance in boys and girls. In addition, it is concluded that studying the use of chess could lead to new lines of research that could validate the neural mechanisms that occur when boys and girls play chess.


Evidencia neurocientífica apoya que el ajedrez mejora el rendimiento académico en la escuela

Resumen

En este trabajo se reportan los beneficios cognitivos que produce la práctica del ajedrez en niños en edad escolar. Las áreas más beneficiadas parecen ser las matemáticas y la lectura. Para validar lo anterior se describen diversos trabajos científicos que muestran la activación cerebral a través de imágenes de resonancia magnética cuando los jugadores de ajedrez novatos, intermedios o avanzados practican este juego. Con base en ello se sugiere usar el ajedrez como una herramienta que permita la mejora del rendimiento escolar en niños y niñas. Se concluye además que a partir de la utilización de este juego se pueden generar líneas de investigación que busquen validar mecanismos neurales que ocurren cuando niños y niñas juegan ajedrez.

Introduction

Chess is a game that allows the transfer of cognitive abilities\(^1\). This is due to the fact that it involves high-level cognitive aspects, requires sophisticated problem-solving abilities\(^2-5\), has a positive impact on academic achievements of those who play it\(^6\) and positive effects on mental development. This may be because, during the game of chess, cognitive abilities such as creativity, anticipation, perception, and memory\(^3\) are used. Chess, in addition, offers an opportunity to study individual differences in cognitive processes\(^3\).

Regardless of grade level, chess can be used as a learning tool in boys and girls, since this game allows them to self-regulate their learning and reach specific objectives\(^8\). In addition, through various chess problems, the level of knowledge of boys and girls in similar activities can be determined\(^9\). This is because chess has a complex rule system, and knowledge depends on each student’s individual level\(^5\). Similarly, regardless of the level of the game performance of each individual, neuroimaging studies have shown brain activation during game play\(^10\).

The objective of this work is to present scientifically validated information demonstrating that chess is a useful tool for improving boys’ and girls’ academic performance in school. To do so, diversity of studies is described in which chess players are evaluated using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). After analyzing these studies, we suggest that chess can be used as a tool to improve learning in school-aged boys and girls.

Chess in schools

Playing chess, both in and outside of school, has a large potential for developing academic knowledge in children\(^11,12\). In Mexico, the level of academic performance by each child can be quantified, since their performance is evaluated and they receive a grade score for their performance in mathematics and Spanish. However, chess playing is not graded. Even so, a variety of benefits, apparently indicating a positive impact of playing chess on mathematics and reading scores have been reported.

In recent studies, it has been reported that teaching chess yields benefits in school\(^7,8,11,13,14\). These benefits have been detected, particularly in children’s math\(^12,15-21\) (Table 1) and reading comprehension scores\(^22-25\) (Table 2), though the effect is not the same between the two subjects. According to a recent meta-analysis evaluating which of the two subject areas (math or reading) is most benefitted, the area most positively impacted was math\(^26\). This may be because the game cultivates a high level of non-specific skills (for example, persistence, self-control, objectivity, memory, and problem-solving) which are relevant to academic performance overall\(^27\).

Playing and training for chess have been considered an important learning tool in education\(^12\), leading to some institutions to incorporate it into their school curricula\(^1\) or as an after-school extracurricular activity. Notwithstanding, increased dissemination of the benefits of this game among education officials at the federal, state, and municipal levels is needed so that they will support initiatives in favor of educational spaces in the schools, and as such, bring the benefits of chess to schools.

Chess allows boys and girls to obtain benefits in diverse cognitive areas\(^4,11,16,22,28\); it has been shown that subjects that play chess improves their spatial reasoning, long-term planning, decision-making, memory\(^11\), cognitive development, academic performance\(^4,5\), and strategic, creative, and critical thinking\(^5\). At the same time, chess can be used to evaluate cognitive processes, for example, by asking children to place the game pieces on the board in random order or standard positions\(^29\). However, further investigation is needed to describe the effects of this science game.

In this work, we describe some of the research reporting a positive effect of chess on academic performance, spatial concepts, executive functions, and basic cognitive, and social skills.

Studies evaluating the effects of chess

The results presented here showed a positive effect on the educational process of boys and girls who received training in chess.

In a study in Seoul, South Korea, it was found that presenting students with a heuristic chess problem were helpful for evaluating students’ intellectual levels and using that knowledge, choose adequate methodologies for improving each student’s level of academic performance\(^1\). The study was carried out among 38 students aged 8-12, divided into two categories: (1) those with high intellectual level and (2) those at risk of failing the school year, from three different primary schools\(^1\).

Another study showed that a group that trained in chess improved basic skills (i.e., attention and
In another study, the effect of training in chess in 6-year-old children showed an increase in spatial concept comprehension compared to a group of children that did not play chess; and finally, a study showed that children who trained in chess had higher results on evaluations of executive function than those that did not.

These results have caught the attention of neuroscientists, who have begun to describe brain activation when children participate in the game of chess.

### Table 1. Some of the research in which chess has been found to impact mathematics performance in school

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Study objective</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fernández-Amigo et al.</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>N = 141 experimental group (79 boys, 62 girls)</td>
<td>Analyze, qualitatively and quantitatively, the utility of instructional materials using chess for teaching mathematics during the second grade of primary school</td>
<td>EFAI (&quot;Evaluación factorial de las aptitudes intelectuales&quot;, in English, Factorial Evaluation of Intellectual Aptitudes) Numerical score, reasoning score, ethnographic interview, surveys</td>
<td>Satisfaction was achieved in the utility of the chess - based learning materials for teaching mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achig</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>N = 35 experimental group (20 boys, 15 girls)</td>
<td>Test the impact of chess on logical-mathematical reasoning in sixth-grade primary school students</td>
<td>Theoretical chess test before and after, Mathematics class score</td>
<td>The average math class score increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerrero et al.</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>N = 32 The number of boys versus girls is not given</td>
<td>Describe the effect of chess on basic mathematical operations in fifth-grade primary school students</td>
<td>Pre-test and post-test on fractions and operations based on ENLACE 2011 and 2012 test questions, surveys and interviews</td>
<td>Better concentration, and memory and higher math class score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumede and Rosholm</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>N = 264 The number of boys versus girls is not given</td>
<td>Characterize the impact of chess in the subject of mathematics in first-and third-grade primary school students</td>
<td>Pre-intervention tests, characteristics of the child and the child’s mother and father</td>
<td>Positive effects in both immigrant and non-immigrant Danish children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sala et al.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>N = 309 experimental group (169 boys, 140 girls). N = 251 control group (116 boys, 135 girls).</td>
<td>Investigate the potential of online chess lessons on problem-solving abilities in second, fourth, and fifth-grade primary school students</td>
<td>Programme for International Student Assessment and chess survey following Trinchero Item 12</td>
<td>Highly positive correlation between math score and chess in the experimental group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sala et al.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>N = 309 experimental group (169 boys, 140 girls) N = 251 control group (116 boys, 135 girls)</td>
<td>Experimental study of chess in fourth grade primary school students using a placebo group</td>
<td>Six tests evaluating mathematics abilities, IEA – TIMSS psychometric test</td>
<td>The chess group was more effective in math skills than the GO group, but not in school activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosholm et al.</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>N = 323 experimental group. N = 159 control group</td>
<td>Analyze the effect of replacing one mathematics lesson per week with one based on chess instruction in first and third grade primary school students</td>
<td>Mathematics test (including calculations, geometry, pattern recognition, and basic problem solving)</td>
<td>Improvement in the composition of mathematical sequences in the experimental group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Brain activation while playing chess

fMRI is one of the most important scientific advances since it allows the development of the cognitive sciences in ways never seen before. This is because fMRI allows a detailed study of brain cartography, and therefore, characterization of brain functions. fMRI is a technique that can measure hemodynamic changes after neuronal activity. fMRI detects brain activity by directly measuring tissue perfusion, changes in blood volume, and changes in oxygen concentration.

We see four main studies that can be used to describe how fMRI is used to investigate cognitive processes in chess players. These studies have demonstrated differential activation of the brain during games of novices and experts.

One of these studies was carried out using novice chess players. fMRI results showed brain region associations that are activated during the analysis of game positions. These regions are the premotor areas, frontal lobes, parietal cortices, occipital lobe, and the left hemisphere of the cerebellum.

Another study showed that among expert chess players, there is no difference in the areas of brain activity; however, when their patterns of brain activity were compared to those of novice players, there were differences, since the novices produced activation among the posterior areas of both hemispheres, which did not occur among the expert players.

The third scientific study was carried out using both novice and expert chess players. This study aimed to determine whether the subjects recognize general and specific patterns in chess. The experiment consisted of putting the game pieces on the board at random and instructing the subjects to recognize and analyze the patterns of the pieces using their eye movements. The results showed that while novice players examine irrelevant aspects, the expert chess players focused immediately on relevant aspects of the task. To corroborate this difference, the experiment was done using fMRI, which allowed the description of differences in patterns of general and specific recognition. In the novice players, there was activation in the temporal-occipital area, while in experts, who were able to recognize specific objects.
during the test, there was bilateral activation in the middle part of the collateral sulci. This experiment showed evidence suggesting that subjects are able to identify general and specific patterns in chess; in addition, it describes the cognitive process they used. As such, this work could help describe essential perceptive mechanisms in humans.

The last study we will comment on was carried out with novice players who had been training for only a short time and expert players with years of intensive training. fMRI was used to characterize their neuronal activity. The results suggest that specific training activated or inhibited specific brain structures, such as the bilateral caudate nucleus. At the same time, the volume of brain activity in that area was significantly smaller in experts than in novices.

Despite the costliness of fMRI testing, the effects of playing chess have been investigated for many years. As a consequence, the recent discoveries in neurosciences using neuroimaging techniques could allow the description of the underlying neural mechanisms of chess playing, establish a correlation in the theory of the mind and identify common high level areas in cognitive processes, which could result in new theories of cognitive process or exploration of higher functions of the human brain. In any case, we believe that there is a link between playing chess, increased academic performance in school, and the fMRI results.

Finally, we believe that the studies described above could lay the neuroscientific foundation for new scientific research on chess and other board games.

**Brain activation in games other than chess**

It is possible that the academic improvement in boys and girls could be achieved not only through playing chess but also through other similar games. It is, therefore, important to consider what is known about the effects of other games on activation of different brain areas.

For example, one study analyzed the brain activity of 28 professional and amateur players of the board game Shogi. The average age of the subjects was 30.6 years. In the professional players, there was activation in specific brain areas, particularly in the precuneus of the parietal lobe during the perception of patterns on the board, and in the caudate nucleus of the basal ganglia when the players were carrying out their “best move.” Considering these results, the researchers suggest that the precuneus-caudate circuit is involved in the automatic patterns of the process of pattern perception on the board and the perception of the next move.

Another study was carried out among university students (not professionals) with the aim of describing which brain areas are activated when subjects participate in the game GO. The fMRI results showed that the activated areas were the cortical, prefrontal, parietal, occipital posterior temporal, primary somatosensory system, and motor areas. It is thought that this type of activation may be due to the fact that the game emphasizes an overall strategy rather than a specific strategy, as occurs in chess.

Although analyzing the rules and other characteristics of Shogi, Go, and chess, reveal clear differences among them, it is important to emphasize that the studies described used fMRI and have helped to describe which brain areas are most active while these games are being played. In the near future, it is possible that a cognitive model of learning could be described for games other than chess from the perspective of neuroscience or other cognitive models.

**Conclusions**

In this review, we have described the benefits and favorable academic effects in boys and girls in the school setting, as well as the neuronal activation that occurs when playing chess. As such, we consider that playing this game could be a viable strategy for improving expected learning and relevant knowledge in boys and girls, as long as the educator that works with them keeps them motivated in a fun, collaborative environment.

In several countries, this strategy has been used to improve academic performance, for example, in Mexico, where ENLACE academic evaluations during primary school and Programme for International Student Assessment evaluations in the secondary school show that girls and boys are below the worldwide average. In addition, we believe that using the foundations described here, new lines of research could be generated, considering the game of chess as a potential catalyst for academic improvement in boys and girls.
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