

[dx.doi.org/10.17488/RMIB.39.1.4](https://doi.org/10.17488/RMIB.39.1.4)

'In Vitro' Comparison of K3XF and TFA Cyclic Fatigue Resistance in Continuous Rotation and Adaptive Motion

Comparación In Vitro de resistencia a la fatiga cíclica de K3XF y TFA en rotación continua y movimiento adaptativo

A. G. Carrillo-Vázquez¹, L. H. Carrillo-Vázquez¹, B. González-Vizcarra², L. J. Villarreal-Gómez^{2,3}, R. Valdez-Castro⁴, M. A. Cadena-Alcántar¹

¹Facultad de Odontología, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Tijuana, México

²Escuela de Ciencias de la Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Tijuana, México

³Facultad de Ciencias Químicas e Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Tijuana, México

⁴Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico en Electroquímica S.C. -Querétaro, Querétaro, México

ABSTRACT

Among the most common problems within dental professionals, is the fracture of rotary instruments of nickel and titanium root canal files (NiTi), when performing a procedure on a patient. Therefore, the objective of this work is to compare the *in vitro* resistance to cyclic fatigue of K3XF and TFA rotatory instruments, in continuous rotation and adaptive motion. For this, a static and cyclic fracture test was used and a fracture pattern was searched. The numbers of cycles until the fracture and the length of the fragments were determined. Of all the groups evaluated, K3XF-A exhibited the best resistance to cyclic fatigue. In future research, it will be performed an autoclaving and immersion cycles in sodium hypochlorite to be able to determine the separation causes of the rotary instruments. As a recommendation, the operator could significantly influence the decrease in resistance to cyclic fatigue due to the misuse of rotary instruments.

KEYWORDS: Cyclic Fatigue, K3XF and TFA rotary instruments, Continuous rotation, Adaptive motion.

RESUMEN

Entre los problemas más comunes entre los profesionistas dentales, es la fractura de los instrumentos rotatorios de limas de canales radiculares de níquel y titanio (NiTi), cuando se lleva a cabo el procedimiento al paciente. Es por eso, que el objetivo de este trabajo es el comparar la resistencia *in vitro* de la fractura cíclica entre los instrumentos rotatorios K3XF y TFA, en rotación continua y movimiento adaptativo. Para esto, se utilizó la prueba de fractura cíclica y estática y el patrón de fractura fue evaluado. El número de ciclos hasta la fractura y la longitud de los fragmentos fue determinado. De todos los grupos evaluados, K3XF-A presentó la mejor resistencia a la fractura cíclica. Como trabajo a futuro, se utilizará ciclos de inmersión en hipoclorito de sodio y autoclaveado, para ser capaces de determinar las causas de separación de los instrumentos rotatorios. Como recomendación, el operador puede influenciar significativamente la disminución de la resistencia a la fractura cíclica utilizando de una manera errónea el dispositivo.

KEYWORDS: Fatiga cíclica, instrumentos rotatorios K3XF y TFA, rotación continua, movimiento adaptativo.

Correspondencia

DESTINATARIO: Luis Hernán Carrillo Vázquez
INSTITUCIÓN: Facultad de Odontología, Universidad
Autónoma de Baja California
DIRECCIÓN: Calzada Universidad #14418, Parque
Industrial Internacional, C. P. 22427, Tijuana, Baja
California, México
CORREO ELECTRÓNICO: hernan.carrillo@uabc.edu.mx

Fecha de recepción:

4 de septiembre de 2017

Fecha de aceptación:

8 de diciembre de 2017

INTRODUCTION

Fracture of rotary instruments of nickel and titanium root canal files (NiTi) can be a huge problem during the treatment of dental root canal, since it can compromise the prognosis when it is not possible to remove the fragment. Cyclic fatigue and torsional fatigue play important roles to induce the fracture of such rotating instruments; these physical phenomena can interact so that a combined process of both forces can enhance the risk to fracture [1-3].

Recently, Y. Shen, *et al.*, studied the effect of several degrees of cyclic fatigue on torsional fracture and torsional preload on K3 and K3XF heat treated instruments. The fatigue behavior of the NiTi instruments was tested with the rotary instruments immersed in an aqueous medium. Therefore, to determine the resistance to cyclic fatigue, new instruments 25/04 K3XF and K3 (n = 15 for each group) were used in an apparatus for folding in 3 points, with a radius of 7 mm and a curvature of 45 degrees in deionized water. To evaluate the effect of cyclic fatigue on torsion, cyclic preloads were performed in the files under 4 conditions. 15 new instruments from each group were exposed to 0%, 25%, 50% and 75% of their respective average of number of revolutions to fracture (Nf). Among the obtained results in the cyclic fatigue test, the average number of rotations to the fracture of K3XF without torsional preload was 2 times more than Nf of K3 under similar conditions.

However, when the base torque values of both instruments were evaluated, the torque and angle of rotation to the fracture of K3XF were like those of K3. The length of the fragments varied between 2.7-3.2 mm. The K3 instruments after 75% preload had less torque and distortion angles than new instruments. However, in the K3XF groups, there was no significant difference between torque value and distortion angle among groups with and without preload. The length of the fragments varied between 2.7-3.0 mm [4].

Besides, the NiTi instruments are processed by a thermal treatment after finishing their fabrication with the objective of improving their flexibility, strength, and modify the crystalline structure of the alloy to accommodate some of the internal stress caused by the manufacturing process. Clinically, endodontic instruments are designed to prepare the root canal in the presence of an irrigating solution and these environmental conditions can modify the origin of the fracture that occurs when initiating a cyclic fatigue process and its propagation [5].

In addition, the heat treatment of NiTi instruments, cross-section, rotational speed, and manufacturing methods are known parameters that influence the resistance of the instruments, however; there is a debate among which is the best action movement for rotary systems.

Several studies propose a greater resistance to the cyclical fatigue of the instruments when they are used in reciprocal movement. For example, in one study, the resistance to cyclic fatigue of three Nickel-Titanium instruments (K3, K3XF, and TF) under continuous and reciprocating rotation (at 300 rpm both movements) was compared; 210 files (30/06), 60 K3, 60 K3XF, and 90 TF, were divided into 7 groups (30 files in each group).

It was evaluated the resistance to cyclic fatigue within preformed steel ducts (60 degrees, r = 3 mm) at 5 mm from the tip. The diameter at this length is 0.60 mm. K3-continuous, K3XF-continuous and TF1-continuous were rotated at 300 rpm in continuous rotation; TF2-continuous were rotated at 500 rpm in continuous rotation; and K3-reciprocal, K3XF-reciprocal, and TF1-reciprocal were used in reciprocal motion at the same rate at turns of 144-72 degrees. The results showed a better resistance to cyclic fatigue under reciprocating motion for the three rotary systems, overlapping K3XF and TF. Under continuous motion, K3XF was more resistant than K3 and TF [6].

In 2013, E. Pedullà, *et al.*, published the influence of continuous and reciprocating movements on the resistance to cyclic fatigue of 4 different nickel-titanium rotary instruments. The objective of the study was to evaluate the resistance to flexural fatigue of Reciproc R25, WaveOne Primary, Mtwo, and Twisted File used in continuous rotation or in 2 different reciprocating movements, including a total of 180 files in the study, for use in reciprocating motion (Reciproc and WaveOne) and continuous rotation (Mtwo and TF). The results showed that there are significant statistical differences among the 3 groups of the same brand when considering the rotation/reciprocating type as the independent variable. On the other hand, there were significant differences in the same groups when the brand was considered as the independent variable. Post-Hoc analysis showed a significant difference than cyclic fatigue resistance between 2 types of reciprocating motions ("RECIPROC ALL" and "WAVEONE ALL") when compared with continuous rotation. There was no significant difference between the 2 different reciprocating movements tested for resistance to cyclic fatigue [7].

It has been proposed that the elastic memory and super elasticity of the NiTi instruments are highly dependent on the thermomechanical process during their manufacture, because of which it has been reported that the additional heat treatment of the instruments during autoclave sterilization may increase its flexibility. To demonstrate this, one study evaluated the effect of autoclaving on the cyclic fatigue strength of four rotary systems: K3, Mtwo, Vortex, and K3XF. In this research, the instruments of the same size and taper (40/04) were subjected to 10 cycles of sterilization at a temperature of 134 °C for 35 *min* (20 *min* of sterilization and 15 *min* to dry) and the resistance to cyclic fatigue later. Twelve files of each subgroup were chosen to perform the test, with a total of 96 instruments. The K3XF instruments that were subjected to the sterilization cycles proved to be more resistant to cyclic fatigue than those that were not

sterilized, with a significant difference of 111 cycles. The other rotating systems showed no significant difference between those who were sterilized and those who did not (K3, 424 vs. 439, Mtwo, 409 vs. 419, Vortex, 454 vs. 480) [8].

During the instrumentation of the root canal system, different arrangements can be presented. When curvatures exist, the preparation becomes more difficult, in addition to that, the instruments undergo greater or lesser loads depending on the morphology of the instrument's geometric design and root canal morphology [5].

Moreover, such excessive loads or stress to the rotary instrument can lead to a cyclic fatigue fracture, a torsion fracture or a combination of both, which is an aspect that should always be considered when using rotating instruments [9-11].

An unexpected fracture of the NiTi rotary instrument within the root canal during root canal treatment is a major concern since the difficulty in removing the fragments may adversely affect the prognosis of treatment. For example, if the fragment remains inside the root canal, it may interfere with the three-dimensional sealing, and sometimes it implies the need of a surgery to remove them, considering that these are the main problems if this kind of accident occurs during the procedure. That is why it is asked which of the two rotary NiTi systems; K3XF or TFA, exhibits greater resistance to cyclic fatigue during adaptive movements and continuous rotation [12].

Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the cyclic fatigue strength of two systems of nickel-titanium instrumentation, comparing the K3XF system and the TFA system (both supplied by SybronEndo), each during two different movements: continuous rotation and adaptive movement. In addition, knowing if there is a relationship with the manufacturing process and the type of movement to which the rotat-

ing instruments are subjected is one of the main points of this investigation and thus to promote the reduction of accidents during the treatment of root canal that can compromise the prognosis.

METHODOLOGY

Samples

TFA rotary file was chosen since it is the only one on the market that is used in adaptive motion. K3XF was chosen because it is manufactured with the same heat treatment as TFA (R-Phase) [6]. Both instruments were subjected to continuous rotation and adaptive movement since it has been shown that the latter increases the resistance to cyclic fatigue.

A total of 24 rotating nickel-titanium instruments of K3XF and TFA were used. Four experimental groups were assigned as follow: Group 1 (K3XF-C): 6 K3XF instruments rotated in continuous rotation. Group 2 (TFA-C): 6 TFA ML2 instruments rotated in continuous rotation. Group 3 (K3XF-A): 6 K3XF instruments rotated in adaptive movement, and Group 4 (TFA-A): 6 TFA ML2 instruments rotated in adaptive movement.

Before the experiments, an ultrasonication step was carried out with the purpose of eliminating adhered residues on the surface of the instruments. In this way, it also served to observe that these were absent of microcracks and/or factory imperfections, which could lead to fractures.

Ultrasound protocol consisted of immersing the instruments into an ultrasonic bath for at least 25 *min*, cleaned with soaked gauze in 70% ethanol before drying in air. All instruments were inspected using a clinical microscope to perform the morphological analysis and determine signs of deformations due to factory defects. Six magnifications were used to observe them under the microscope (Global Dental Microscope): 0.33X, 0.5X, 0.8X, 1.25X, 2.0X, and 3.0X. We also per-

formed a morphological inspection with an inverted metallographic microscope Nikon Eclipse MA-100 under 3 magnifications: 50X, 100X, and 200X.

Cyclic fatigue test

Instruments were distributed in 4 experimental groups ($n = 6$). The static test was performed using a lab-made apparatus to keep the micromotor reduction counter set. Also, a stainless-steel block was fabricated, where the simulated canal roots were placed. The artificial canal root was designed reproducing the size and conicity of the instrument, with this was given a trajectory that coincided with each instrument and thus respect the features of the selected curvature. The simulated canal was made with a curvature angle of 60° and 5 mm of the radius of curvature, according to the technique proposed by Schneider [13,14].

Static test

An 8:1 reduction contra-angle was driven by a motor-controlled torch engine (SybronEndo, Orange, CA, USA). For the K3XF-C group, the preset configurations of K3XF (350 *rpm*) and TF-Adaptive function were used for the K3XF-A group. For the TFA-C group, the specific configurations of TF (500 *rpm*) and TF-Adaptive function were used for adaptive movement [15].

All instruments were activated following the manufacturer's instructions until the fracture occurred. For the static test, a block of stainless steel was fabricated, where the simulated canals were found; an acrylic lid was placed to observe the instruments in the canal and to stop the fragments.

All instruments were freely activated within the simulated canal, which was sprayed by synthetic oil (Midwest Plus) to reduce friction and heat production. Each instrument was placed in a reduction contra-angle and introduced into the canal to a length of 18 *mm*, which was secured by fitting a wrench in the upper portion of the appliance, and was repeated with the

rubber stop positioning on the rotating instrument. Moreover, time was recorded with the help of a stopwatch and stopped as soon as the visual and auditory fracture was detected. To avoid human error, a video recording was performed simultaneously, and the recordings were subsequently observed to ensure that the times matched the time of file separation.

Number of cycles to fracture (NCF) of each instrument was determined using the following formula:

$$\text{NCF} = \text{ttf} \times \text{rv} \quad (1)$$

Where *ttf* is the total time (seconds) for the fracture, and *rv* is the rotational speed (following manufacturer instructions).

Manufacturer mentions that in Elements Motor, the "K3XF" Speed of 350 *rpm* and in "TF" and "TFA" has a speed of 500 *rpm*. The tip length of the fractured file was measured using an electronic micro calibrator. The average length of the fragments confirmed that the instrument fractured at or just below the center of the curvature. This indicates the pre-clearance at the instrument position.

Fracture pattern

After the fracture, the instruments were observed under the scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM 7600F) to gain insight of the fracture pattern and the origin of the same. In addition, the fragments were observed with the aid of the scanning electron microscope to perform the fractography.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There is no internationally standardized specification or test to evaluate the cyclic fatigue resistance of endodontic rotary instruments, the same static model was used by Pérez-Higueras, J.J. *et al.* [6]. Since we wanted to eliminate factors other than cyclical fatigue that could alter the mechanisms of separation of the instruments [4].

Firstly, a morphological inspection was performed with an inverted metallographic Nikon Eclipse MA 100 microscope under 3 magnifications: 50X, 100X, 200X (Figure 1).

Figure 1a shows the general appearance of the longitudinal surface of a TFA-type file. Figure 1b shows a close-up view of the cross-sectional area of the file, in which the marks of the manufacturing process of the file are appreciated. Figure 1c can be seen in more detail, the manufacturing lines described above, see yellow arrows.




FIGURE 1. Morphological inspection of a TFA file.

- a) General view of TFA file (50 x).
- b) Cross-sectional area of a TFA file (100 x).
- c) More detailed amplification of a TFA file (200 x).

All the instruments that presented defects or microcracks were excluded from the study.

Number of cycles to fracture

Number of cycles to fracture (NCF) of each instrument was determined using formula (1).

For the K3XF-C instruments, the speed indicated by the manufacturer is 350 rpm. For TFA-C instruments, the speed is 500 rpm. For the K3XF-A and TFA-A samples, the TF-Adaptive function was used, which has a speed of 500 rpm.

In the case of the K3XF-C group, the average number of cycles up to the fracture at 350 rpm was 45,675 cycles. For the TFA-C group this number was 36,667 cycles at 500 rpm.

On the other hand, 60,083 cycles were reported for the K3XF-A group at a speed of 500 rpm. Finally, it was found that for the TFA-A group 53,583 cycles were required to reach its fracture at 500 rpm. The K3XF-A group was the most resistant of the 4 groups evaluated (Figure 2).




FIGURE 2. Comparison of means of the cycles until the fracture of the 4 groups.

With respect to the time in seconds (s) required to reach the fracture. The K3XF-C group needed a time of 131 s, the TFA-C group was fractured in only 73 s, with respect to the K3XF-A group, a time of 120 s was reported and the TFA group A took a time of 107 s to attain the fracture (Figure 3).

Length of fractured fragments

After the fracture of the rotating instruments, the length of the fractured fragments was measured to determine if mechanical damage occurred in the same

area of the instrument. For the K3XF-C group, the measured fragments had a length of 6.51 mm, for the group K3XF-A a mean of 6.29 mm, in the case of the group TFA-C a length of 5.02 mm and finally, the group TFA-A obtained the smallest length of 4.86 mm (Figure 4).




FIGURE 3. Comparison of means of time in seconds (s) until fracture of the 4 groups.




FIGURE 4. Comparison of mean lengths of the fragments of the 4 groups after being subjected to cyclic fatigue.

Statistical analysis

Time to fracture (seconds)

Because the obtained results cannot be compared in a single test, an ANOVA was performed, the result of which shows that there is a statistical difference between some of the groups ($p < 0.05$).

For the above, a pair of groups were compared at a time until the combinations were exhausted. A student t-test was used for two independent samples.

Regarding fracture time, the K3XF-C group had a mean of 130.5 ± 27.3 s and the TFA-C group averaged 73.3 ± 7.74 s, hence TFA-C group files break faster than the K3XF-C.

K3XF-A group has an average of 120.2 ± 29 s, compared to the K3XF-C group. There is not an important difference in time for fracture. Comparing the groups K3XF-C (130.5 ± 27.3 s) and the TFA-A group (107.2 ± 13.8 s). There is no statistically significant difference in time for fracture. On the other hand, TFA-C files break faster than the K3XF-A. Statistically evaluating the groups TFA-A and TFA-C, it was found that TFA-C group files break faster than the TFA-A.

Number of cycles at fracture

When comparing the groups K3XF-C (45675 ± 9541 cycles) and the TFA-C (36667 ± 3869 cycles). The difference is statistically significant, since the files of the K3XF-C group require a greater number of cycles for the fracture than the files of the TFA-C group. About the comparison between the K3XF-C group with K3XF-A (60083 ± 14572 cycles). There is not an important difference in the number of cycles for the fracture.

Among the groups K3XF-C and TFA-A (53583 ± 6873 cycles), the number of cycles for the fracture are similar. On the other hand, the difference is wide between the TFA-C and K3XF-A groups, since the K3XF-A files require a greater number of cycles for the fracture than the TFA-C group.

Finally, the comparison between the TFA-C and TFA-A groups showed that the difference is evident, the TFA-A files require more cycles for the fracture than the TFA-C files.

Fracture pattern

Figure 5a shows the general appearance of the fracture of a K3XF-C file in which a flat surface and multiple fracture beginnings (green arrows) are observed,

also the advancement of the fracture propagation lines by fatigue (yellow dotted lines). This image also highlights the rupture of one of the edges (yellow circle). Figure 5b shows a close view of the fragile fracture zone in which the grain fractures (green lines) can be seen. On the other hand, figure 5c shows grain breakage in which the flat fracture morphology (green dashed lines) is more obvious. It is important to note that these samples had an average rupture force of 6.84×10^9 GPa, with a fracture diameter of 0.75 mm and a fracture length of 6.51 mm. Whose average area was 4.4×10^{-7} m².




FIGURE 5. a) General appearance of the fracture surface of a K3XF-A file; this image also highlights the rupture of one of the edges. b) and c) Close views of the fracture surface in which the fracture after granularity is appreciated and many characteristic starts of fatigue fractures.

In the case of TFA-C files, Figure 6a shows the general appearance of the fracture of a TFA-C file in which a flat surface is well as the beginning of fracture (green arrows). It is important to note that the edges of the file are complete. Figure 6b shows a close view of the fragile fracture zone in which the fractures of the grains (green lines) can be seen.

Figure 6c shows in greater detail the transgranular morphology rupture, typical of fatigue fractures (green dashed lines). In this image, we can see some cavities (black dotted lines) which could act as stress concentrators. It is important to note, that these samples had

an average breaking stress of 1.18×10^{10} GPa, at a fracture diameter of 0.66 mm and a fracture length of 5.02 mm . Average area: $3.45 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2$ (Figure 6).




FIGURE 6. a) General appearance of the fracture surface of a TFA-C file. b) and c) Close views of the fracture surface in which the fracture after granularity is appreciated and many characteristic starts of fatigue fractures. It is important to note that the edges of the file are complete (200X).

Y. Shen, *et al.*, compared the cyclic fatigue strength between K3 and K3XF in continuous rotation in wet and dry environments. They concluded that K3XF in wet environment was more resistant than the other groups (1146 ± 192 revolutions to the fracture) [4].

In our study, the instruments were not evaluated in a humid environment, however, we agree with the results of these researchers, where K3XF presents greater resistance to cyclic fatigue in continuous rotation than TFA in continuous rotation.

Another study, compared the resistance to cyclic fatigue of three Nickel-Titanium instruments (K3, K3XF and TF) under continuous and reciprocating motion (at 300 rpm both movements); used a total of 210 files (30/06), 60 K3, 60 K3XF, and 90 TF, divided into 7 groups (30 files in each group). The results obtained were better resistance to cyclic fatigue under reciprocating motion for the three rotary systems, standing out K3XF. Under continuous movement K3XF

was more resistant than K3 and TF. There is no antecedent evaluation of continuous rotation and adaptive movement in two different rotary instruments [6]. However, this study, showed similar results compared to our experiments, since K3XF in adaptive movement has greater resistance to cyclic fatigue than the other groups. Among the groups of continuous rotation, K3XF was the one that achieved better results.

Finally, it was evaluated the effect of autoclaving on the cyclic fatigue strength of four rotary systems: K3, Mtwo, Vortex, and K3XF. The K3XF instruments that were subjected to the sterilization cycles proved to be more resistant than those that were not sterilized, with a significant difference of 111 cycles.

The other rotating systems showed no significant difference among those who were sterilized and those who did not. Although the samples from our study were not subjected to sterilization cycles, we coincided with the results between the continuous rotation group, where K3XF presented greater resistance to cyclic fatigue than TFA [8,16].

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we demonstrated that K3XF-C and K3XF-A instruments are more resistant to cyclic fatigue than TFA-C and TFA-A, with a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$). Also, between the TFA-C and TFA-A groups, TFA-A showed greater resistance to cyclic fatigue with a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$). Of all the groups, K3XF-A was the one that had the best resistance to cyclic fatigue.

Another conclusion is that the adaptive movement significantly improves the cyclic fatigue strength in either instruments, although the manufacturer recommends using only the TFA rotary system.

In future research, it will be performed an autoclaving and immersion cycles in sodium hypochlorite to

be able to determine by a fault and fractography analysis the separation origin of rotary instruments. It is worth mentioning that the operator could significantly influence the decrease in resistance to cyclic fatigue due to the misuse of rotary instruments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thanks to “18a. Convocatoria de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación”, PRODEP-SEP, with the Project number 3570, and SICASPI-UABC number 332/6/C/79/18 for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] McGuigan MB, Louca C, Duncan HF. "Clinical Decision-Making After Endodontic Instrument Fracture". *Br. Dent. J.*, vol. 214, no. 8, pp. 395-400, 2013.
- [2] McGuigan MB, Louca C, Duncan HF. "Endodontic Instrument Fracture: Causes And Prevention". *Br. Dent. J.*, vol. 214, no. 7, pp. 341-8, 2013.
- [3] Shenoy A, Mandava P, Bolla N, Vemuri S. "A Novel Technique for Removal of Broken Instrument from Root Canal in Mandibular Second Molar". *Indian J. Dent. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 107-10, 2014.
- [4] Shen Y, Zhou H, Campbell L, Wang Z, Wang R, Du T, et al. "Fatigue and Nanomechanical Properties of K3XF Nickel-Titanium Instruments". *Int. Endod. J.*, vol. 47, no.12, pp. 1160-7, 2014.
- [5] Shen Y, Riyahi AM, Campbell L, Zhou H, Du T, Wang Z, et al. "Effect of a Combination of Torsional and Cyclic Fatigue Preloading on the Fracture Behavior of K3 and K3XF Instruments". *J. Endod.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 526-30, 2017.
- [6] Pérez-Higueras JJ, Arias A, de la Macorra JC. "Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of K3, K3XF, and Twisted File Nickel-Titanium Files under Continuous Rotation or Reciprocating Motion". *J. Endod.*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 1585-8, 2017.
- [7] Pedullà E, Grande NM, Plotino G, Gambarini G, Rapisarda E. "Influence of Continuous or Reciprocating Motion on Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of 4 Different Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments". *J. Endod.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 258-61, 2017.
- [8] Plotino G, Costanzo A, Grande NM, Petrovic R, Testarelli L, Gambarini G. "Experimental Evaluation on the Influence of Autoclave Sterilization on the Cyclic Fatigue of New Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments". *J. Endod.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 222-5, 2017.
- [9] Medha A, Patil S, Hoshing U, Bandekar S. "Evaluation of Forces Generated on Three Different Rotary File Systems in Apical Third of Root Canal using Finite Element Analysis". *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 243-6, 2014.
- [10] Tavanafar S, Karimpour A, Karimpour H, Mohammed Saleh A, Hamed Saeed M. "Effect of Different Instrumentation Techniques on Vertical Root Fracture Resistance of Endodontically Treated Teeth". *J. Dent. Vol.* 16, no. 1, pp. 50-5. 2015.
- [11] Nur BG, Ok E, Altunsoy M, Tanriver M, Capar ID. "Fracture Strength of Roots Instrumented with Three Different Single File Systems in Curved Root Canals". *Eur. J. Dent.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 189-93, 2015.
- [12] Di Fiore PM. "A Dozen Ways to Prevent Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instrument Fracture". *J Am Dent Assoc.*, vol. 138, no. 2, pp. 196-201, 2017.
- [13] Plotino G, Grande NM, Cordaro M, Testarelli L, Gambarini G. A "Review of Cyclic Fatigue Testing of Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments". *J. Endod.*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 1469-76, 2017.
- [14] Higuera O, Plotino G, Tocci L, Carrillo G, Gambarini G, Jaramillo DE. "Cyclic Fatigue Resistance of 3 Different Nickel-Titanium Reciprocating Instruments in Artificial Canals". *J. Endod.*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 913-5, 2017.
- [15] Di Giuseppe I, Di Giuseppe D, Malagnino VA, Silla EP, Somma F. "Conditioning of Root Canal Anatomy on Static and Dynamics of Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments". *G. Ital. Endod.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 58-64, 2015.
- [16] Plotino G, Grande NM, Melo MC, Bahia MG, Testarelli L, Gambarini G. "Cyclic Fatigue of NiTi Rotary Instruments in a Simulated Apical Abrupt Curvature". *Int. Endod. J.*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 226-30, 2010.