Additive and multiplicative noises acting simultaneously on Ermakov-Ray-Reid systems
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We investigate numerically the effect of additive and multiplicative noises on parametric oscillator systems of Ermakov-Ray-Reid type when both noises act simultaneously. We find that the main perturbation effects on the dynamical invariant of these systems are produced by the additive noise. Different from the separate action of the multiplicative noise when the dynamical invariant of these systems is robust, we also find a weak effect that can be attributed to the multiplicative noise.
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Se investigan numéricamente los efectos de los ruidos aditivos y multiplicativos sobre los sistemas dinámicos de osciladores paramétricos de tipo Ermakov-Ray-Reid cuando los dos tipos de ruidos actúan de manera simultánea. La mayor parte de la perturbación del invariante proviene del ruido aditivo. A diferencia del caso cuando el ruido multiplicativo actúa por separado y el invariante dinámico presenta robustez, encontramos que en la acción simultánea de los dos ruidos hay también un efecto pequeño atribuible al ruido multiplicativo.
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PACS: 05.40.Ca; 02.60.Cb; 02.70.-c

Ermakov oscillator systems are couples of second-order oscillator equations of the same operatorial structure of which one is linear and the other has an inverse cubic nonlinearity. The integrability link between the two equations has been known in Mathematics since the second half of the 19th century [1, 2] and a general nonlinear superposition connection between the solutions of the two equations has been found by Chini [3] and rediscovered much later in a different format by Pinney [4]. Another valuable feature of this type of systems of differential equations is the existence of an invariant quantity, usually known as the Lewis-Ermakov invariant, which is expressed in terms of the solutions of both equations [5]. On the other hand, the Ermakov-Ray-Reid oscillator systems have been introduced in [6] as an important generalization and proved to have extended applications in nonlinear optics [7, 8], hydrodynamics [9–11], magnetogasdynamics [12], and general relativity [13].

It is a fact of nature that oscillator systems, as any other dynamical systems, are affected by noises and to evaluate their effects is an important task [14]. Our previous paper [15] was the first one in the classical context to include the effects of the multiplicative noise upon Ermakov systems. For this case there are no big difficulties since the multiplicative noise can be treated as an intrinsic noise of the system associated to the frequency [14]. In a second paper [16], we studied the effect of the additive noise, which proved to be more difficult than expected. Because of its additive feature, this common noise acts like a forcing term and then the Ermakov system should be extended with a second auxiliary nonlinear equation which is more complicated than the standard auxiliary equation. Moreover, we noticed that the noisy system is still tractable even if a forcing term of the Ermakov-Ray-Reid (ERR) type were included. However, the situations encountered both in natural environments and laboratory display the simultaneous presence of the two kinds of noise. Thus, in this work, we evaluate the cumulative noise effect on the generalized Ermakov invariant of forced ERR systems. This is done through the Euler-Maruyama numerical treatment used in our previous works which is recasted in the appropriate form for this more general case.

The starting point is the system of equations corresponding to the ERR system with an arbitrary forcing term \( f(t) \) [17].
where (1), (2), and (3) in the previous works, the equations are solved numerically by means of frequency function that should be introduced in the forced oscillator.

\[ \frac{dY}{dt} = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{\rho(x)}{\rho}, \quad \rho^{2} = \frac{dx}{dt} + \int_{t}^{\infty} f(t) d\tau - \rho^{2} x f(t) + \int g(t) d\tau. \]

By means of this extension to the forced case, it is possible to study the ERM systems with additive noise, taking the noise as the forcing term \( f(t) \) [16,18]. On the other hand, the multiplicative noise can be included as part of the parametric frequency \( \Omega(t) \) [15]. Following the same steps as in our previous works, the equations are solved numerically by means of the Euler-Maruyama method [19-21] rewriting the system (1), (2), and (3) in the form

\[ dY_{t} = a_{Y_{1}}(t) dt + b_{Y_{1}}(t) dB_{at} + c_{Y_{1}}(t) dB_{mt}, \]

where \( B_{at} \) and \( B_{mt} \) are the stochastic variables for the cases of additive and multiplicative noise, respectively. \( dY_{t} \) stands for the following two-component vectors

\[ g/(\rho/x) = 0 \quad g/(\rho/x) = \rho/x \]

\[ \Omega(t) = 2, \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.499955 \pm 0.000032 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.499954 \pm 0.000036 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.599290 \pm 0.088445 \quad I = 0.959079 \pm 0.050927 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.591198 \pm 0.088984 \quad I = 0.958036 \pm 0.051410 \]

\[ \Omega(t) = 2 \sin(t), \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.500054 \pm 0.000041 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.500057 \pm 0.000044 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.329377 \pm 0.146162 \quad I = 1.014450 \pm 0.132004 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.331951 \pm 0.145768 \quad I = 1.011310 \pm 0.128516 \]

\[ \Omega(t) = 2t^{2}, \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.501049 \pm 0.00162 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.500958 \pm 0.001466 \quad I = 1.000000 \pm 0.000000 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0 \quad I = 0.381289 \pm 0.140435 \quad I = 0.972160 \pm 0.070072 \]

\[ \alpha_{m} = 0.1, \alpha_{m} = 0.1 \quad I = 0.352197 \pm 0.152171 \quad I = 0.969367 \pm 0.063428 \]
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Figure 1. Plots of the dynamical invariant (4) for the case \( g(\rho/x) = 0 \) in the interval \( t \in [0, \pi] \). Top panel: the case with \( \Omega(t) = 2 \); middle – for the case \( \Omega(t) = 2 \sin(t) \); bottom – for the case \( \Omega(t) = 2t^2 \). (blue) noiseless case with both \( \alpha_a = 0 \) and \( \alpha_m = 0 \); (magenta) \( \alpha_a = 0.1 \) and \( \alpha_m = 0 \); (green) noises \( \alpha_a = 0.1 \) and \( \alpha_m = 0.1 \) together. The case \( \alpha_a = 0 \) and \( \alpha_m = 0.1 \) is not shown because it is similar to the noiseless case.

In Fig. 1, we display the dynamical invariants in the case \( g(\rho/x) = 0 \) for the frequencies \( \Omega(t) = 2 \), \( \Omega(t) = 2 \sin(t) \), and \( \Omega(t) = 2t^2 \). The curves in the blue color correspond to the noiseless cases, those in magenta are for \( \alpha_a = 0.1 \) and zero multiplicative noise, and finally the green color corresponds to the amplitude of the additive noise \( \alpha_a = 0.1 \) and multiplicative \( \alpha_m = 0.1 \). In Fig. 2, the same cases are displayed for \( g(\rho/x) = k\rho/x \). The numerical calculations are performed by choosing \( k = k' = 1 \). In Table I, we show the mean values and the standard deviations of the invariant for each of the cases.

These results lead us to conclude that for ERR systems, the additive and multiplicative noises can be included in the usual way, \textit{i.e.}, placing the additive noise in the position of the forcing term and the multiplicative one as a perturbation of the frequency parameter of the system. The two noises should be treated as independent stochastic processes when they are included simultaneously in a parametric system. We notice that the dynamical invariant is sensitive to the additive noise as already found previously [16] and robust to the in-
clusion of the multiplicative noise [15] when the two noise effects are considered separately. However, when they act simultaneously, we find out a weak effect of the multiplicative noise. Finally, we notice that ERR systems are slightly more robust when the external forcing term is of the Ray-Reid type, \( g(\rho/x) = \rho/x \).