Ab initio study of structural, electronic and magnetic properties of iron clusters Fe\textsubscript{n} (n=2-13)
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Structures, binding energies, and magnetic moments of Fe\textsubscript{n} (n = 2–13) clusters have been obtained by pseudopotential density functional theory. A Troullier-Martin scheme was used to generate the iron’s pseudopotential and we have successfully reproduced results (lattice constant and magnetic moment) for the bulk BCC iron. The results indicate that the magnetic moment per atom varies slowly around a mean value 3.0 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\). With increasing atom number the mean binding energy monotonically decreases.
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En este trabajo se obtienen estructuras de energías de enlace y momentos magnéticos de cúmulos de Fe\textsubscript{n} (n = 2–13) mediante la teoría funcional de la densidad con pseudopotenciales. Se usó el esquema de Troullier-Martin para generar el pseudopotencial del fierro, lo que ha permitido reproducir resultados exitosamente (constante de red y momento magnético) para el fierro en configuración BCC. Los resultados indican que los momentos magnéticos por átomo varían lentamente alrededor del valor central de 3.0 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\). Al incrementar el número atómico la energía central de enlace decrece monótonamente.

Descriptores: Cúmulos de fierro; pseudopotenciales; teoría funcional de densidad; densidad de estados.
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1. Introduction

Nanometer clusters are the focus of a fascinating interest in recent years because they constitute a new state of matter with new physical and chemical properties, as well as for their potential applications in a diverse range of new materials, electronic-devices and chemical sensors [1,2]. In contrast to bulk materials, the properties of nanomaterials are also correlated to their large fraction of surface atoms, or their high surface/volume ratio.

The electronic and magnetic properties of small iron clusters exhibit a large variation with cluster size. They have been targeted by several studies both experimentally and theoretically. On the experimental side, the information about iron clusters is limited. Only a few data is collected about dimer and trimer clusters [3-7]. On the theoretical side, several calculations have been performed to predict the geometries and electronic structures of iron clusters. Chen et al. [8] attributed a ferromagnetic behavior in their study of Fe\textsubscript{n} (n from 2 to 7) clusters using the all-electron and linear combination of atomic orbitals method. For larger clusters (up to n=7), we can cite the work of Castro and Salabub [9], Ballone and Jones [10] Gutesv and Bauschlicher [11], Dieguez et al. [12] Kohler et al. [13] and S. Yu et al. [14]. The theoretical results of these authors except Fe\textsubscript{2}, agree well but there are controversies for larger clusters about the assignment of the ground-state structures.

The present research work is devoted to study the electronic and magnetic properties of iron clusters using the Siesta code based on pseudopotentials method. It will be noted that no such study was cited elsewhere in our knowledge.

2. Computational method

Our calculations were performed using the SIESTA [15-17] package, which implements DFT with the pseudopotential approximation and a basis set of linear combination of atomic orbital. We used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation functional parameterized by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof [18]. The pseudopotentials were constructed using the Troullier and Martin scheme [19] to describe the valence electron interaction with the atomic core.

The atomic-orbital basis set employed throughout was a split-valence double zeta polarized basis for iron atom (Fe). The charge density was calculated in a regular real-space grid with a cutoff energy of 250 Ry. Structural optimizations were performed for all configuration using the conjugate gradient (CG) algorithm until the residual forces were smaller than 0.0016 Ry/Bohr. We used periodic boundary conditions and a supercell greater than 40\(^3\) Å to make sure that there was no additional interaction between image clusters. For a given cluster, several geometrical configurations are generated and tested.

In order to test the pseudopotential we have firstly, calculated the lattice parameter and the magnetic moment for the bulk BCC iron. Using a k-sampling with four thousand (4000) points, we have obtained the values of 2.87 Å and 2.35 \(\mu_B\) as lattice parameter and magnetic moment respectively, with good agreement with both experimental [20] and theoretical [21] data.
3. Results and discussion

The ground state (optimized geometries) of iron clusters, Feₙ (n≤13), obtained in our calculations is shown on Fig. 1. Only the most relaxed geometries are illustrated below.

**Fe₂, Fe₃ and Fe₄ clusters**

Fe₂ cluster is a complicated molecule. The bond length in iron dimer Fe₂ is 1.99 Å, in good agreement with many other results such as those obtained by J.L. Chen et al. [8] using LSDA method and Calaminici [22] based on a DFT calculation. The magnetic moment equals 3.00 μ_B per atom.

There are controversies about the assignment of the ground-state structure for Fe₃ cluster. Most previous DFT calculations on Fe₃ [8-10,12,13] proposed an equilateral triangle with eight unpaired electrons as the most stable isomer. Gutsev and Bauschlicher [11] have predicted an isosceles triangle as ground state. In our computational study, we have tested all possible geometric configurations for Fe₃ cluster. The lowest-energy structure is the Cᵥ structure (a distorted form of D₃dh) with a magnetic moment of 3.33 μ_B per atom. The two values of bond lengths are 2.07 and 2.38 Å. These results agree well with those obtained by Ma et al [23].

Most theoretical calculations for Fe₄ have predicted that the most stable isomer is a regular [8,9] or distorted [10,35,12] tetrahedron with μₐ = 3.0 μ_B/atom. In the present work, we have investigated the tetrahedral structures D₃dh, D₁dh and D₂h. The D₂dh structure is the most stable of them. The second and the third one belong energetically above 0.14 and 0.08 eV/per atom, respectively. D₂dh and D₁dh exhibit a magnetic moment equal to 3.00 and the D₁dh form gives 3.50 μ_B. Ballone and Jones [10] were the first to show that the energy can be lowered when the symmetry is broken. They found the same results by molecular dynamics simulations. Elsewhere, Yuan et al. [42], starting from a free regular tetrahedral structure, have obtained as the ground state the distorted tetrahedral structure (D₂dh symmetry). The Jahn-Teller effects on ground state geometries of iron clusters was extensively studied by Castro [43].

In our calculations, D₂dh ground state isomer has two short and four longer bonds of 2.19 and 2.41 Å. These lengths seem to be longer than those obtained by Chen et al. [8] and Ballone et al [10]. Comparing with Fe₂ and Fe₃, it becomes evident that bigger cluster are favored with bigger interatomic distances and higher number of bonds [14].

**Fe₅ to Fe₈ cluster**

It should be pointed out that among all the candidates for Fe₅, four configurations are found and tested. The most stable of them is Cᵥ structure with a magnetic moment equal to 3.21 μ_B/atom with excellent agreement with several studies (see the Table I). Contrary to Boyakata et al. [40] the energy of D₃h is higher by 0.016 eV. They retrieved a trigonal geometry for the Fe₅ clusters with 1.31 eV/atom. The minimum displacement of pair atoms is 2.49 Å. The Cᵥ (distortion of D₃h) is the most stable structure for Fe₅ with bond length of 2.34-2.41 Å and magnetic moment of 3.21 μ_B/atom.

In the case of Fe₆ cluster, two geometries seem to be as the most stable possible configurations. Regular octahedron (O₆h) structure with atomic displacement equals to 2.40 Å with good agreement with Boyakata et al. [25] work. The obtained results contradict the results of Ballon et al. [10] who found that capped trigonal bipyramid is the minimum energy structure, but agrees with that of Gutsev and Bauschlicher [11] and Dieguez et al. [12].

The second stable Fe₆ cluster is the capped trigonal bipyramid which is isoenergetic with the first configuration and agree well with references [31,13]. Both geometries exhibit a magnetic moment equal to 3.33 μ_B/atom.

Three stable structures are obtained for Fe₇: D₂h, C₃v, and the Cᵥ structures. On Table I, we saw that the bicapped trigonal bipyramids (D₂h) and the capped octahedron (C₃v) are above the most stable structure (the pentagonal bipyramid) (Cᵥ) by 0.02 and 0.4 eV, respectively. Our results for Fe₇ can be compared only with those obtained by Dieguez et al. [12] and Kohler et al. [13]. The bond lengths are 2.28 and 2.89 Å. Similar results are obtained elsewhere [12,40,10].

To determine the stable structure of Fe₈, four most probable geometries are studied. After relaxation, we have found surprisingly that there are two isoenergetic stable configurations having Cᵥ and C₂v, as a point groups symmetry with bond lengths of 2.27 to 2.68 Å. These atomic distances seem to be shorter than those found by Dieguez et al. [12]. We can conclude that the Cᵥ and C₂v structures are two energetic isomers with the same magnetic moment (3.00 μ_B/atom).

**Fe₉ to Fe₁₂ clusters**

Three most probable structures for Fe₉ cluster are studied. The lowest energy structure obtained is Cᵥ. The second isomer exhibits C₁ point group with very slightly different atomic distances (2.27-2.73 Å), energetically above the most stable with 0.02 eV. The third cluster is crystalized in the C₂v structure with an energy higher with 0.15 eV. All these seeds have the same magnetic moment (equal to 2.89 μ_B/atom).

For Fe₁₀, the Cᵥ structure has the lowest energy. Three other candidates can be found having C₁, C₂ and D₂ symmetries, energetically less stable, and have sensitively the same magnetic moment. The results concerning the magnetic moment (3.00 μ_B/atom) are different with those obtained by Kohler et al. [13].

Icosahedral like structure of Fe₁₁ cluster is the lowest energy configuration (C₁) with a binding energy per atom equal to 4.999 eV and an average magnetic moment of 3.25 μ_B/atom. The C₂ structure (which is a distortion of D₃h) and another isomer with C₁ symmetry seem to be a probable geometry of the Fe₁₁ cluster. Both clusters have the same value of magnetic moment (2.91 μ_B/atom). This value is bigger than those obtained by Pastor et al. [29], Kohler [13] and Bobadova et al. [28].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>bond lengths</th>
<th>Comparison with other works</th>
<th>Binding Energy</th>
<th>Magnetic moment/atom</th>
<th>Comparison with other works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fe2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.09&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;, 1.98&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;, 1.96&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.01&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.02&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.00&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.03&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-1552.83</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00&lt;sup&gt;28,29,31,13,34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe3-a</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.07 - 2.38</td>
<td>2.10&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.60&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3280.86</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.67&lt;sup&gt;28,31,13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fe3-b</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.07 - 2.39</td>
<td>2.31-2.18&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3280.86</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.53&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe3-c</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.2 - 2.36</td>
<td>2.28-2.27&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3280.86</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.87-3.33&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe4-a</td>
<td>D2d</td>
<td>2.19 - 2.41</td>
<td>2.28-2.18&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3110.08</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fe4-b</td>
<td>D4h</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.22&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3109.52</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.00&lt;sup&gt;28,29,31,13&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe4-c</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>2.22-2.43</td>
<td>2.22-2.61&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.23-2.63&lt;sup&gt;41&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.07&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.52&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.28&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.30&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3109.76</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.01&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.5&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe5-a</td>
<td>C4v</td>
<td>2.31 – 2.44</td>
<td>2.43-2.37&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3889.32</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.20&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.00&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe5-b</td>
<td>D3h</td>
<td>2.32 – 2.63</td>
<td>2.49&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3889.44</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.8&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.31&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe5-c</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.30 – 2.77</td>
<td>2.37&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3889.25</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.41&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe5-d</td>
<td>D3h</td>
<td>2.36-2.54</td>
<td>2.38&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3889.46</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe6-a</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>2.30 – 2.70</td>
<td>2.48&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-4669.68</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.33&lt;sup&gt;28,13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fe6-b</td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>2.40 – 2.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4669.67</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.30&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe7-a</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.48</td>
<td>2.46&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-5446.28</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe7-b</td>
<td>C3v</td>
<td>2.26 – 2.67</td>
<td>2.42-2.52&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-5449.29</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.14&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.00&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe7-c</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>-5449.45</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.14&lt;sup&gt;28,31,32&lt;/sup&gt;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe8-a</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>2.27 – 2.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>-6229.19</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fe8-b</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>-6229.19</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00&lt;sup&gt;28,13,29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe8-c</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.23 – 2.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>-6228.06</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe8-d</td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>-6226.41</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe9-a</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.27 – 2.73</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7007.96</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fe9-b</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.22 – 2.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7007.83</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.89&lt;sup&gt;28,13,29&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.33&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe9-c</td>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7007.98</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe10-a</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7787.28</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fe10-b</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.31 – 2.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7787.24</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.80&lt;sup&gt;28,13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe10-c</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>2.28 – 2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7787.20</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe10-d</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.33 – 2.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7798.41</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe11-a</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.33 – 2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8567.08</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fe11-b</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.31 – 2.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8567.11</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.73&lt;sup&gt;28,13&lt;/sup&gt;, 3.00&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe11-c</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.32 – 2.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8579.00</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe12-a</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>2.35 – 2.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9346.27</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fe12-b</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.21 – 2.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9339.22</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.67&lt;sup&gt;28,13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe12-c</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>2.33 – 2.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9359.28</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe13-a</td>
<td>C2v</td>
<td>2.29 – 2.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>-10126.27</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fe13-b</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2.27 – 2.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>-10125.69</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.62&lt;sup&gt;28,13&lt;/sup&gt;, 2.54&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe13-c</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.33 – 2.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>-10140.33</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figure 1.** Structural sketches and their symmetries for Fe\textsubscript{n} (n = 2–13) clusters.
The lowest energy structure obtained for Fe\(_{12}\) has the D\(_{2h}\) symmetry which is a distortion of I\(_h\). It is necessary to note that this distortion has completely lifted the fivefold degeneracy of the HOMO state, though the binding energy does not show much reduction [14]. The value of magnetic moment is about 3.17 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\).

For Fe\(_{13}\), there are many potential modes for constructing the cluster: icosahedral, hexagonal, cuboctahedral and decahedral isomers. The C\(_2\) structure seems to be the most stable. Atomic distances are between 2.33 and 2.66 Å and the magnetic moment is 3.07 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\), exactly the same value obtained by Aguilera-Granja et al [44].

In Fig. 2 we present the mean magnetic moments of Fe\(_n\) (n = 2–13) clusters versus number of atoms in the cluster. The diagram shows only small variations in the magnetic moment per atom over this size range and shows that the magnetic moment remains in the vicinity of 3.0 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\) [36,37]. Billas et al. [36,37] in Stern–Gerlach deflection experiments indicated that the magnetic moment of small Fe\(_n\) clusters was around 3.0 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\) and decreased to about the bulk value. We can understand this large value of n from geometrical effects as follows: increasing interatomic spacing enhances magnetic moments and increasing coordination number has the reverse effect as a function of the cluster size [38-40].

In Fig. 3 we present as an example the density of states of Fe\(_{13}\) cluster (C\(_{2v}\) symmetry). Both spin curves (sp and d orbitals) are illustrated in this figure with a well visible energy shift. One can directly see that the mean contribution of the total DOS becomes from d orbitals. Also the DOS curve is mainly shaped from this orbital.

Electronic composition of the different peaks was investigated. We have performed a Mulliken analysis per eigenstate, atom and basis function. Below the fermi level located at -1.24 eV, the total DOS is mainly composed by 3d\(_{xy}\), 3d\(_{yz}\), 3d\(_{xz}\) and 3d\(_{x^2-y^2}\) functions. Above the Fermi level, the DOS is predominantly composed by the sp orbitals.

In this paper, we have studied the Fe\(_n\) (n = 2–13) clusters using the DFT based on pseudopotential method. All possible configurations are investigated and the ground states are depicted and agree well with several precedent works. We note also that the same cluster with different geometries can exhibit the same magnetic moment and the same energy. Electronic study shows that only small variations in the magnetic moment per atom over this size range, is obtained and the magnetic moment remains in the vicinity of 3.0 \(\mu_B/\text{atom}\) [36,37]. With increasing number of atoms, the mean binding energy monotonically decreases. Mulliken analysis demonstrates that below the fermi level, the total DOS is mainly composed by 3d\(_{xy}\), 3d\(_{yz}\), 3d\(_{xz}\) and 3d\(_{x^2-y^2}\) orbitals. Above the Fermi level, the sp orbital dominates the DOS.