



Conservation

Current distribution status of Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) in Northwestern Baja California, Mexico

Estatus de distribución actual del águila real (Aquila chrysaetos) en el noroeste de Baja California, México

Gonzalo de León-Girón^a, Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella^a, Gorgonio Ruiz-Campos^{b,*}

^a Planeación Ambiental y Conservación, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste S.C., Carretera a San Juan de La Costa “El Comitán” Km. 1, Playa Palo de Santa Rita Sur, 23096 La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico

^b Colección Ornitológica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Carretera Transpeninsular Ensenada-Tijuana Núm. 3917, Colonia Playitas, 22860, Ensenada, Baja California, Mexico

Received 29 December 2015; accepted 21 June 2016

Available online 4 November 2016

Abstract

The current distribution status, abundance and nesting of the Golden Eagle in Northwestern Baja California, Mexico, based on samplings during 2 years (January 2013–December 2014) throughout a series of scanned transects of 100 km that resulted in a total of 1634 km in length is documented. The average number of individuals detected/100 km was statistically similar between 2013 and 2014, with an average value of 1.5 ± 0.6 during both years. Number of eaglets per nest showed to be statistically independent ($p > 0.05$) from the abundance of potential prey, altitude, types of vegetation and lineal distance to urban zones. One hundred and one individuals of the Golden Eagle that have been historical (1843–1995) or recently recorded (2000–2014) for 11 localities of Baja California (3 historical and 9 recent) were reported. Five priority areas were identified for habitat conservation of this species in Baja California (Tecate, Valle de Guadalupe, Sierra de Juárez, Valle de Santo Domingo, and Sierra de San Pedro Mártir). Chronologically, the population of the Golden Eagle in Baja California has maintained active its distribution and nesting areas at least during the last 2 decades.

© 2016 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: Distribution status; Abundance; Nesting

Resumen

Se documentó el estatus de distribución actual, abundancia y anidación del águila real en el noroeste de Baja California, México, basados en muestreos durante 2 años (enero 2013 a diciembre 2014) a través de un conjunto de trayectos de 100 km escudriñados que resultaron en un total de 1634 km de longitud. El número promedio de individuos detectados/100 km fue estadísticamente similar entre 2013 y 2014, con un valor promedio de 1.5 ± 0.6 individuos en ambos años. El número de pollos por nido resultó ser estadísticamente independiente ($p > 0.05$) de la abundancia de presas potenciales, altitud, tipos de vegetación y distancia lineal a zonas urbanas. Se reportaron 101 individuos de águila real que ha sido histórica (1843–1995) y reciente (2000–2014) registrados para 11 localidades de Baja California (3 históricas y 9 recientes). Se identificaron 5 áreas prioritarias de conservación del hábitat para esta especie en Baja California (Tecate, valle de Guadalupe, sierra de Juárez, valle de Santo Domingo y sierra de San Pedro Mártir). Cronológicamente, la población de águila real en Baja California ha mantenido activa su distribución y sitios de anidación al menos durante las últimas 2 décadas.

© 2016 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Biología. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Palabras clave: Estatus de distribución; Abundancia; Anidación

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gruiz@uabc.edu.mx (G. Ruiz-Campos).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Introduction

The Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) is a top predator widely distributed throughout the world in terrestrial ecosystems, with populations in America from Alaska, Canada, the United States, Northern México, including the Baja California peninsula, to central México (Kochert & Steenhof, 2002; Kochert, Steenhof, McIntyre, & Craig, 2002; Newton, 1979; Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002; Watson, 2010). This species receives special concern in North America (Good, Nielson, Sawyer, & McDonald, 2007; Katzner et al., 2012; Kochert & Steenhof, 2002) and Europe (Watson, 1992; Whitfield, Fielding, McLeod, & Haworth, 2008).

In the United States, the Golden Eagle is listed as a species of concern by numerous states and federal agencies, including the California Department of Fish and Game, who considers it as “fully protected” since 1918. In spite of protection, Golden Eagle populations have shown a decrease in southern California (Harlow & Bloom, 1989) and in the U.S. eastern region (Boal, Haralson, & Howe, 2008; Hoffman & Smith, 2003; Katzner et al., 2012; Kochert & Steenhof, 2002; Millsap et al., 2013). Although some populations occurring in locations with no anthropogenic influence suggest a stable trend (Millsap et al., 2013).

In México, the Golden Eagle is considered as a threatened species (NOM-059- Semarnat-2010; Semarnat, 2010) due to population decline resulting from habitat loss, pesticide poisoning, poaching and electrocution, among other hazards (Rodríguez-Estrella, Llinas, & Cancino, 1991; Semarnat, 2008). Due to its legal status and importance in ecosystems, several specific conservation programs have been implemented in México (Semarnat, 2008). However, little is known about historical and current records of the Golden Eagle in México, particularly in the Baja California peninsula (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002).

Historical records of the presence of Golden Eagles in Baja California date back to the late 19th century (Anthony, 1893), with subsequent occasional and sporadic records, particularly for the central region of the peninsula (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002). In this paper, we document the distribution, abundance and nesting records (both historical and recent) of Golden Eagles in northwestern Baja California, in order to assist in evaluating its current conservation in the most southwestern region of North America. Information obtained here might be useful for the development of research lines focused on restoration and conservation, and could also be used in the formulation of public policies aimed at the conservation of this species at federal and regional levels.

Materials and methods

The Baja California peninsula is 1,250 km long and 100 km of average width, with a mosaic of climatic conditions along a latitudinal and altitudinal gradient with temperatures ranging from 1 °C to 25 °C (Mediterranean climate) in the highlands, and from 25 °C to 30 °C in lowland deserts (Inegi, 2001; Rzedowski, 1978). The study area encompasses the northern part of the peninsula (Fig. 1), with dominant vegetation composed

of chaparral and coastal sage scrub in lowlands, and coniferous forest in high mountains (Delgadillo, 1998). Coastal sage scrub vegetation ranges from sea level to 600 m, dominated by *Artemisia californica* and *Rhus integrifolia*. The chaparral vegetation occurs from 600 to 1,800 m and is composed mainly by perennial plants of the genera *Ceanothus*, *Arctostaphylos* and *Adenostoma*. Coniferous forest is represented in the Sierra de Juárez and Sierra de San Pedro Mártir along elevations ranging from 1,200 to 3,000 m; being the dominant species in both mountains *Pinus jeffreyi* and *Pinus quadrifolia*. In Lower Colorado River and Central Deserts districts of Baja California, the vegetation is dominated by desert elements such as *Larrea tridentata* and *Fouquieria splendens* (Peinado, Alcaraz, Delgadillo, & Aguado, 1994).

Occurrence records of the Golden Eagle in Baja California were compiled from 6 sources: (1) historical sighting records published prior to 1995; (2) voucher specimens or egg records in museums, both, in Mexico and in the USA; (3) unpublished sighting records generated by the authors between 1995 and 2007; (4) occasional photographic records obtained by the authors during 2002–2014; (5) recent sighting records through systematic monitoring (January 2013 to November 2014), and (6) records filed in the North American Birds database (R.A. Erickson, pers. comm.).

We used the definition of active nest as defined by Postupalsky (1974) by referring to the place where: (1) the young were raised, (2) eggs were laid, (3) 1 adult was observed and in incubation position, (4) 2 adults were observed on or near the nest, (5) 1 adult and 1 eagle in subadult plumage were observed in or near the nest and mating behavior also was observed, and (6) the nest was repaired and fresh sticks and Golden Eagle feathers molted that year were present.

Information of egg records and voucher specimens of the Golden Eagle from Baja California was consulted or requested in the following bird collections: Colección Ornitológica de la Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Ensenada, Baja California, México (CO-UABC); Museo de Zoología “Alfonso L. Herrera” from the Departamento de Biología Evolutiva from Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (MZFC-UNAM); The Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology, Camarillo, California (WFVZ); The Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Berkeley, California (MVZ); The San Diego Natural History Museum, San Diego, California (SDNHM); The Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM); and The Field Museum of Natural History (Birds), Chicago, Ill. (FMNH).

Recent sighting records of the Golden Eagle in the study area were obtained through the scanning of 8 transects (100 km-long) during breeding seasons (January–March 2013 and 2014) and non-breeding seasons (August–December 2013 and 2014). Each transect covered the same routes and was performed from a car on roads and trails in the study area, driving at an average velocity of 40 km/h. We traveled approximately a total of 1,634 km during 2 years, covering most of the state below 360 km of the south international border (Table 1). For each individual observed, habitat type, activity, age (subadult/adult) and sex (when possible) was recorded. In the case of detected nests, we analyzed them based on the characteristics proposed




Figure 1. Locations of historical (1970 and 1992) and recent (2002–2014) records of Golden Eagle in Baja California, Mexico, including the historical (1893–2002) and recent (2011–2014) nesting records.

Table 1
Number of Golden Eagles recorded during terrestrial transects during breeding seasons (January–March 2013–2014) and non-breeding seasons (August–December 2013–2014), in northwestern Baja California, México.

Number of route	Name of the route	Length (km)	2013	2014	Total number of individuals detected per 100 km (2 years combined)	Mean number of individuals per 100 km
1	El Rosario to Colonia Vicente Guerrero	100	2	1	3	1.5
2	Colonia Vicente Guerrero to National Park Sierra de San Pedro Mártir	100	1	2	3	1.5
3	San Telmo to Valle de La Trinidad	98	2	1	3	1.5
4	Valle de La Trinidad to Ensenada City	111	2	1	3	1.5
5	Ensenada City to Tecate City	106	4	2	6	3.0
6	Tecate City to National Park Constitución de 1857	103	1	1	2	1.0
7	San Telmo to Ensenada City	88	1	1	2	1.0
8	El Mármol to El Rosario	111	1	1	2	1.0
	Total (for 2 years combined)	1,634	14	10	24	
	Average	102.1	1.7	1.2	3	1.5 ± 0.6

by Postupalsky (1974): (1) activity in the nest, (2) presence of eggs or chicks, (3) number of alternate nests, (4) type of structure where the nest is built, and (5) anthropogenic activity near the nesting site. All records were spatially located using a GIS. Sites records were classified in 11 areas including: Valle de los Cirios, Sierra de San Pedro and vicinity, Sierra de Juárez, Valle de San Vicente, Valle de Santo Tomás, Ensenada town and vicinity, Bahía San Quintín, Valle de Guadalupe, Valle de Ojos Negros, and vicinities of Rosarito and Tecate.

In order to quantify the density of potential prey in the areas adjacent to nesting sites, we sampled plots of 300 m in length and 200 m wide (Ruiz-Campos et al., 2014). In each nesting site, the composition and density of prey were determined twice

a day, from 6:00 to 10:00 h and 18:00 to 20:00 h. The density of prey for each nesting site was determined as number of prey per hectare. All plots were sampled during the nesting and non-nesting seasons. The number of plots for the eleven nesting sites in 2013 were as follows: December (2), February (2), May (2), August (2), and November (2); likewise, the same number of plots were sampled for 2014.

Several habitat variables associated to the sites of detection or nesting were determined, considering altitude, nest structure, type of vegetation, abundance of potential prey, and lineal distance from urban activities. We classified 3 intervals of altitude (0–400 m, 401–800 m, and 801–1,200 m). All nests detected in the study area were found on cliffs. Types of vegetation present

Table 2

Location of historical (H) and recent (R) nesting records of Golden Eagle in northwestern Baja California, México, monitored from February 2013 to April 2014, indicating the content of detected nest (a = chicken, b = fledged, and 0 = inactive nest or not used), structure where the nest was built, vegetation type of the nesting site, and human activity into a 10 km radius around the nest.

Type of record	Location	Established nests		Total	Altitude (m)	Nest structure	Vegetation type	Human activity	Reference
		2013	2014						
H	San Telmo	0	0	0	200	Cliff	Chaparral	Suburban and agriculture	Anthony (1893)
H	Santo Domingo	1b	2b	3	427	Cliff	Chaparral and riparian vegetation	Suburban and agriculture	Erickson et al. (2002)
H	Sierra de San Pedro Mártir	1b	1b	2	2,226	Cliff	Mix forest, with coniferous forest and chaparral	Absent	This study
R ^a	Valle de Ojos Negros	0	0	0	330	Cliff	Secondary vegetation	Agriculture	This study
R	Santo Tomás	0	1b	1	527	Cliff	Coastal sage scrub	Agriculture	This study
R	Sierra Juárez	0	1b	1	958	Cliff	Coniferous forest and chaparral	Absent	This study
R	Valle de Guadalupe	1b	2a	3	662	Cliff	Coastal sage scrub and secondary vegetation,	Agriculture	Gallo-Corona and Rodríguez-Estrella (2010)
R	El Rosario	1b	0	1	500	Cliff	Desert vegetation	Absent	Rodríguez-Estrella (2014)
R ^a	San Quintín	0	0	0	167	Cliff	Coastal sage scrub	Agriculture, urban	This study
R	Tecate	1a	1b	2	956	Cliff	Chaparral, secondary vegetation	Agriculture, urban	This study
R	San Vicente	1a	1b	2	348	Cliff/man-made structure	Coastal sage scrub	Agriculture	This study
Total		5	8	13					

^a Nest inactive or not used.

in the site study were classified as follows: (1) natural vegetation, (2) natural vegetation-secondary vegetation, (3) secondary vegetation, and (4) urban zone. In each site we sampled the abundance of potential prey by means of the strip census method (Ruiz-Campos et al., 2014), where 4 plots (300 m long × 200 m effective width) were made; abundance ranges were defined as: 0–1 prey/ha, 2 prey/ha, and ≥3 prey/ha. Lineal distances from the nesting sites to urban zones were obtained from Google Earth maps, which were classified in 4 categories: 1–5 km, 6–10 km, 11–20 km, and 21–40 km.

Independence X^2 tests ($\alpha=0.05$, Zar, 1999) were used to determine the relationship between the reproductive success categories (0 chicks, 1 chick and 2 chicks) and each one of the habitat components (altitude, nest structure, type of vegetation, abundance of potential prey, and lineal distance to urban activities). We used a Mann–Whitney rank sum test (Siegel & Castellan, 1988) to compare the abundance of individuals observed per transect between years (2013 and 2014). All the statistical analyses were at a level of significance of 0.05.

Results

We compiled information for 101 individuals of the Golden Eagle recorded for Baja California between 1843 and 2014 (37 historical records plus 64 new records). The individuals detected




Figure 2. Comparison of the total number of sightings (historical and recent) for Golden Eagle in Baja California, Mexico. ENS (Ensenada and vicinity), SQ (San Quintín), SJ (Sierra de Juárez), SV (Valle de San Vicente), ST (Valle de Santo Tomás), VG (Valle de Guadalupe), TCT (Tecate), ROSRT (Rosarito) and MXLI (Mexicali).

correspond to 17 adults, 24 subadults and 60 unknown age (Fig. 2).

In this analysis, we also documented 11 nesting records (3 historical and 9 recent) for the Golden Eagle in Baja California (Table 2). Two of the historical nesting sites (Santo Domingo [Erickson, Patten, Palacios, & Carmona, 2002] and Sierra de San Pedro Mártir, J. Vargas, pers. comm.) remain active with young. Altitude range of current nesting records varied from

350 to 2,200 m, where the nesting distance from human settlements ranged between 1 and 11 km (Table 2).

The 9 active nests of the Golden Eagle detected in this study differed in the geographic orientation. Four nests had a northeast exposure (Sierra de Juárez, Valle de Guadalupe, San Vicente and Valle de Ojos Negros), 2 with a northwest (Sierra San Pedro Mártir and Tecate), 2 with a southeast (Santo Domingo and El Rosario), and finally 1 with a southwest exposure (Santo Tomás). All these nests were built on cliffs (6 of them on platforms with roofs) throughout an elevation range from 167 to 2,226 m asl, except one that was located on an artificial platform (Table 2).

We found 7 voucher specimens of the Golden Eagle from Baja California from the following museums: 1 specimen, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology at Berkeley (MVZ-50475) from Laguna Hanson, Sierra de Juárez, 21 October 1926 (<http://mvz.berkeley.edu/bird-collection.html>); (2) 1 specimen, The Field Museum of Natural History at Chicago (FMNH-338722) from Isla San Lorenzo, Baja California [Norte], 1 March 1973 Collection, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, at Ensenada, Baja California: UABC-092, Rosarito town, 01 March 1996; UABC-820, Rosarito town, 12 October 1995; UABC-1783, Bahía San Quintín, 29 April 2007; UABC-1989, Valle de Ojos Negros, 18 September 2013; and uncataloged specimen collected in Valle de Ojos Negros, 20 January 2014. The UABC Bird Collection contains the highest number of voucher specimens for the Golden Eagle from the Baja California peninsula.

The highest number of historical (HR) and recent (RR) records of the Golden Eagle in Baja California was found in coastal valleys of the northwest region (70); while a smaller number of sightings occurred in highland biotopes (20) and deserts (11). Based upon vegetation types in the study area, most of sightings for this species were made in coastal sage scrub (54), while 9 in coniferous forest, 27 in chaparral, and 11 in desert scrub. Most records were made between 2002 and 2014, especially in the Valle de Guadalupe (HR: 3 vs RR: 8) and in the vicinity of Tecate (HR: 2 vs RR: 12; Fig. 2). In the study area, *Lepus californicus*, *Sylvilagus audubonii* and *Otospermophilus beecheyi* were commonly detected during sampling of potential prey in the sites adjacent to nesting sites of the Golden Eagle.

We surveyed 634 km of routes for detection of the Golden Eagle during 2 consecutive years combined (2013–2014), where 24 individuals were registered (1.5 ± 0.6 individuals/100 km). The Ensenada-Tecate route recorded the mean highest abundance with 3 individuals/100 km. The total number of chicks or fledged recorded in the study area was 5 and 8 for 2013 and 2014, respectively, with an average of 1.4 chicks per nest for both combined years (Fig. 3). The number of Golden Eagles detected/100 km in the 8 routes of sampling was statistically similar between 2013 and 2014 (Mann–Whitney Rank Sum Test, $T = 59.0$, $p > 0.06$).

Density of potential prey items per plot (300 m long $\times$ 200 m width) in 10 sampling sites varied from 0.23 (El Rosario) to 2.33 (San Vicente), with an average density of 1.34 ± 0.73 prey. The relationship of number of chicks per nest and habitat variables resulted to be statistically independent ($p > 0.05$) for density of potential prey ($X^2 = 1.89$, 4 d.f.), types of vegetation ($X^2 = 4.79$,




Figure 3. Number of Golden Eagles registered in 100 km for terrestrial transects during breeding season (January–March 2013–2014) and non-breeding season (December 2013–2014) in Baja California, Mexico.

6 d.f.), altitude categories ($X^2 = 4.16$, 4 d.f.), and lineal distance to urban areas ($X^2 = 6.75$, 6 d.f.) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The Golden Eagle is one of the most emblematic vertebrate species found in Mexico with reports of occurrence in the Baja California peninsula for over 100 years. One hundred and one individuals were recorded from 1843 to 2014 (Erickson et al., 2002; Gallo-Corona & Rodríguez-Estrella, 2010; Grinnell, 1928; Rodríguez-Estrella et al., 1991; Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002; Ruiz-Campos, Palacios-Castro, Castillo-Guerrero, González-Guzmán, & Batche-González, 2005; Wilbur, 1987; this study). Most of the records of the Golden Eagle in the State of Baja California, both historical and recent, were recorded in the northwest region during autumn and winter conditions, and were mainly sub-adult individuals (North American Birds database; this study).

Biogeographically, the Golden Eagle has not exhibited historical changes in distribution in the Baja California peninsula. Our recent records of this species (2000–2014) allow to confirm its presence for most historical sites such as San Pedro Mártir and vicinities, Sierra de Juárez, and Valle de los Cirios (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002). These sites are still characterized by having little or no anthropogenic disturbances and favorable ecological conditions for nesting of this species. Two of the known nesting sites (Sierra de San Pedro Mártir and Santo Domingo) have been active during at least the last 20 years.

Mean number of Golden Eagle chicks per nest recorded in this study was 1.4 for 2013 and 2014, combined. This last value was comparatively higher than those reported for a period of 10 years in Oregon (1.08, Thompson, Johnstone, & Littlefield, 1982), Utah (0.82, Bates & Moretti, 1994), Montana and Wyoming (0.78, Phillips, Wheeler, Lockhart, McEneaney, & Forrester, 1990), southwest Idaho (0.79, Steenhof, Kochert, & McDonald, 1997), and Alaska (0.66, McIntyre & Adams, 1999).

We found that the number of Golden Eagle chicks in the study site during a period of 2 years (2013–2014) was independent of some habitat variables such as density of potential prey, altitude



Figure 4. Photographs of Golden Eagle in northwestern Baja California. (A) Pair, Valle de Guadalupe, 01 Febrero 2015, Gonzalo De León-Girón; (B) adult (unknown sex), Valle de San Vicente, 4 April 2002, Gorgonio Ruiz-Campos; (C) sub-adult, Valle de San Vicente, 8 August 2014, Gonzalo De León-Girón; (D) adult (unknown sex), near Colonet town, 25 March 2011, Gorgonio Ruiz-Campos; (E) adult (female), Valle de Guadalupe, 20 May 2015, Gonzalo De León-Girón; and (F) sub-adult (first year), Valle de Guadalupe, 21 February 2014, Gonzalo De León Girón and Ricardo Rodríguez-Estrella.

categories, types of vegetation, and distance to urban sites. In this sense, Kochert and Steenhof (2002) mentioned that Golden Eagle populations in the United States fluctuate on a 10 year cycle, concurrent with jackrabbit populations, so studies over periods of less than 10 years may not accurately reflect trends.

The orientation of nest exposure of Golden Eagles in our study area was similar to those reported for Alaska (Hayes, Mossop, & Barichello, 1980; Mosher & White, 1976; Ritchie & Curatolo, 1982) and other regions such as the Northwest Territories and Quebec (Morneau, Brodeur, Décaire, Carrière, & Bird, 1994; Poole & Bromley, 1988). Also, it is important to note that nests on platforms with roofs provide protection of the eggs or chicks against solar radiation, heat and strong winds (Morneau et al., 1994; Mosher & White, 1976; Poole & Bromley, 1988; Watson & Dennis, 1992); additionally, this type of nest also decreases the time spent by parents caring for the chicks (Collopy, 1984; McGahan, 1968).

Ecologically, 2 populations of Golden Eagles reside in Baja California, one permanent with resident breeding pairs, and the other, presumed migratory, with sub-adults and adults

coming from the north, most likely from San Diego and Oregon (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002; Rodríguez-Estrella et al., 1991; Watson, 2010). The presence of presumed migratory individuals and nonbreeding adults (“floaters”) of Golden Eagles in Baja California may be part of a process of succession of the resident breeding pairs in this region (Hunt, 1998). This pattern described here is congruent with the known status of Golden Eagle populations in México, which contains resident and non-breeding migrant populations (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002).

Although the northern Baja California region is considered the southern limit of the distribution range of Golden Eagles in the western Pacific region (Rodríguez-Estrella, 2002; Watson, 2010), where peripheral populations are characterized by having low numbers of individuals. Several authors (e.g., Brown, Mehlman, & Stevens, 1995; Parmesan et al., 2005; Sergio et al., 2008; Schweiger, Fünfstück, & Beierkuhnlein, 2015) have assumed that the central population of this species is more stable and abundant than peripheral populations, and functioning as source populations for a sinking process at distribution edges. However, our recent records of Golden Eagles

in the southwestern part of its distributional range in North America (Watson, 2010) could indicate the presence of an active breeding population. It is important to consider in future studies to carry out synchronous comparisons of the abundance, nesting success and homing ranges of Golden Eagles between northern Baja California and southern California in order to determine the population structure and dynamics in both regions.

The effective conservation of Golden Eagles in northern Baja California will always depend on a blend of different approaches, including species protection, site protection and conservation/management in protected areas and the broader environment (Watson & Whitfield, 2002). Therefore, we recommend strictly that the following areas of northern Baja California such as in vicinities of Tecate, Valle de Guadalupe, Valle de Santo Tomás and the 2 mountain systems (Juárez and San Pedro Mártir) be considered as priority regions for the permanent monitoring of nesting and sighting of Golden Eagles in Baja California, along with assessments of reproductive success, use and availability of potential prey in the environment.

Acknowledgements

To J. Vargas, C. Porras, E. Prieto, G. García, A. Peralta, G. Villavicencio, A. Martínez, B. Bermúdez, I. F. Dávila, E. Zavala, L. Cruz, and R. Aguiar for field support and information. To K. Garret, P. Unitt, R. Corado, and A. Navarro-Sigüenza for providing information on records of voucher specimens for Golden Eagle where they are curators. Also, to R.A. Erickson for providing access to the North American Birds database. Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas and Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad (JB004, 155956) for financial support of the field monitorings. The first author received a Ph.D. fellowship (No. 168972) from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (México). Finally, 2 anonymous reviewers made useful comments that improved the clarity and content of the manuscript.

References

- Anthony, A. W. (1893). Birds of San Pedro Mártir, lower California. *Zoe*, 4, 228–247.
- Bates, J. W., & Moretti, M. O. (1994). Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) population ecology in eastern Utah. *Great Basin Naturalist*, 54, 248–255.
- Boal, C. W., Haralson, C. L., & Howe, W. H. (2008). Status of golden eagles in the Texas panhandle. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 42, 220–224.
- Brown, J. H., Mehlman, D. W., & Stevens, G. C. (1995). Spatial variation in abundance. *Ecology*, 76, 2028–2043.
- Collopy, M. W. (1984). Parental care and feeding ecology of Golden Eagle nestlings. *Auk*, 101, 753–760.
- Delgadillo, J. (1998). *Florística y ecología del norte de Baja California*. Mexicali, México: Universidad Autónoma de Baja California.
- Erickson, R. A., Patten, M. A., Palacios, E., & Carmona, R. (2002). Baja California Peninsula. *North American Birds*, 56, 489–490.
- Gallo-Corona, S., & Rodríguez-Estrella, R. (2010). Nesting of the Golden Eagle in the Guadalupe Valley, Baja California, México. *Western Birds*, 41, 186–187.
- Good, R. E., Nielson, R. M., Sawyer, H., & McDonald, L. L. (2007). A population estimate for Golden Eagles in the western United States. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 71, 395–402.
- Grinnell, J. (1928). A distributional summation of the ornithology of Lower California. *University of California Publications in Zoology*, 32, 1–300.
- Harlow, D. L., & Bloom, P. H. (1989). Buteos and the Golden Eagle. In B. G. Pendleton (Ed.), *Proceedings of the western raptor management symposium and workshop* (pp. 102–110). Washington, DC: Natl. Wildl. Fed. Scien. Tech. Ser. No. 12.
- Hayes, R., Mossop, D., & Barichello, N. (1980). Birds of prey inventory project, the Nahoni Raptor Inventory. In D. Mossop, & R. Hayes (Eds.), *1980 Annual report, inventory, population studies, and management projects, birds of prey*. Whitehorse, YT: Yukon Dep. Renewable Resour.
- Hoffman, S. W., & Smith, J. P. (2003). Population trends of migratory raptors in western North America, 1977–2001. *Condor*, 105, 397–419.
- Hunt, W. G. (1998). Raptors floaters at Moffats equilibrium. *Oikos*, 82, 191–197.
- Inegi. (2001). *Síntesis de información geográfica del Estado de Baja California*. México: Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática. Aguascalientes. Retrieved from: <http://www.inegi.org.mx>. Accessed 10.11.15
- Katzner, T., Smith, B. W., Miller, T. A., Brandes, D., Cooper, J., Lanzone, M., et al. (2012). Status, biology and conservation priorities for North America's eastern Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) population. *Auk*, 29, 168–176.
- Kochert, M. N., & Steenhof, K. (2002). Golden Eagles in the U.S. and Canada: status, trends, and conservation challenges. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 36, 32–40.
- Kochert, M., Steenhof, K., McIntyre, C. F., & Craig, E. H. (2002). Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*). In A. Poole, & F. Gill (Eds.), *The birds of North America No. 684* (pp. 1–44). Philadelphia, PA: The Birds of North America, Inc.
- McGahan, J. (1968). Ecology of the Golden Eagle. *Auk*, 85, 1–12.
- McIntyre, C. L., & Adams, L. G. (1999). Reproductive characteristics of migratory Golden Eagles in Denali National Park, Alaska. *Condor*, 101, 115–123.
- Millsap, B. A., Zimmerman, G. S., Sauer, J. R., Nielson, R. Y., Otto, M., Bjerre, E., et al. (2013). Golden Eagle population trends in the Western United States: 1968–2010. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 77, 1436–1448.
- Morneau, F., Brodeur, S., Décarie, R., Carrière, S., & Bird, D. M. (1994). Abundance and distribution of nesting Golden Eagles in Hudson Bay, Quebec. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 28, 220–225.
- Mosher, J. A., & White, C. M. (1976). Directional exposure of Golden Eagle nests. *Canadian Field Naturalist*, 90, 356–359.
- Newton, I. (1979). *Population ecology of raptors*. Vermillion, South Dakota: Buteo Books.
- Parmesan, C., Gaines, S., Gonzalez, L., Kaufman, D. M., Kingsolver, J., Peterson, T., et al. (2005). Empirical perspectives on species borders: from traditional biogeography to global change. *Oikos*, 108, 58–75.
- Peinado, M., Alcaraz, F., Delgadillo, J., & Aguado, I. (1994). Fitogeografía de la península de Baja California, México. *Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid*, 51, 255–277.
- Phillips, R. L., Wheeler, A. H., Lockhart, J. M., McEneaney, T. P., & Forrester, N. C. (1990). *Nesting ecology of Golden Eagles and other raptors in south-eastern Montana and northern Wyoming*. Washington, DC: Tech. Rep. 26. U.S. Dep. Int., Fish Wildl. Serv.
- Poole, K. G., & Bromley, R. G. (1988). Interrelationships within a raptor guild in the central Canadian arctic. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 66, 2275–2282.
- Postupalsky, S. (1974). Raptor reproductive success: some problems with methods, criteria, and terminology. *Raptor Research Report, Part 4*, 21–31.
- Ritchie, R. J., & Curatolo, J. A. (1982). Notes on Golden Eagle productivity and nest site characteristics, Porcupine River, Alaska, 1979–1982. *Raptor Research*, 16, 123–127.
- Rodríguez-Estrella, R. (2002). A survey of Golden Eagles in northern México (1984), and some recent records in central and southern Baja California Peninsula. In M. J. McGrady, R., Rodríguez-Estrella, M. Bechard, & E. Inigo-Elias (Eds.), *The Golden Eagle: its status, conservation and management in 1999*. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 36(Supplement), 3–9.
- Rodríguez-Estrella, R., Llinas, J., & Cancino, J. (1991). New Golden Eagle records from Baja California. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 25, 68–71.
- Ruiz-Campos, G., Martínez-Gallardo, R., Guevara-Carrizales, A., Alaníz-García, J., González-Guzmán, S., Escobar-Flores, J., et al. (2014). *Manual de técnicas selectas para la evaluación de poblaciones de fauna silvestre de interés cinegético en Baja California*. Mexicali: Universidad Autónoma de Baja California.

- Ruiz-Campos, G., Palacios-Castro, E., Castillo-Guerrero, J. A., González-Guzmán, S., & Batche-González, E. H. (2005). Spatial and temporal composition of the avifauna from small coastal wetland and adjacent habitat in northwestern Baja California, México. *Ciencias Marinas*, 31, 553–576.
- Rzedowski, J. (1978). *La vegetación de México*. México: Limusa.
- Schweiger, A., Fünfstück, H. J., & Beierkuhnlein, C. (2015). Availability of optimal-sized prey affects global distribution patterns of the Golden Eagle *Aquila chrysaetos*. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 46, 81–88.
- Semarnat. (2008). *Programa de acción para la conservación de la especie: águila real (Aquila chrysaetos)*. México: Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas.
- Semarnat. (2010). Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-059-SEMARNAT-2010. In *Protección ambiental-Especies nativas de México de flora y fauna silvestres-Categoría de riesgo y especificaciones para su inclusión, exclusión o cambio-Lista de especies de riesgo*. Diario Oficial de la Federación, Órgano del Gobierno Constitucional de Estados Unidos Mexicanos. Diciembre de 2010. Retrieved from: http://www.profepa.gob.mx/nom_059_semarnat_2010. Accessed 23.06.15
- Sergio, F., Caro, T., Brown, D., Clucas, B., Hunter, J., Ketchum, J., et al. (2008). Top predators as conservation tools: ecological rationale, assumptions, and efficacy. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 39, 1–19.
- Siegel, S., & Castellan, N. J. (1988). *Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Steenhof, K., Kochert, M. N., & McDonald, T. L. (1997). Interactive effects of prey and weather on Golden Eagle reproduction. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 66, 350–362.
- Thompson, S. P., Johnstone, R. S., & Littlefield, C. D. (1982). Nesting history of Golden Eagles in Malheur-Harney Lakes Basin, southeastern Oregon. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 16, 116–122.
- Whitfield, D. P., Fielding, A. H., McLeod, D. R. A., & Haworth, P. F. (2008). *A conservation framework for the Golden Eagle: implications for conservation and management in Scotland*. Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 193. Battleby: Scottish Natural Heritage.
- Watson, J. (1992). Status of the Golden Eagle *Aquila chrysaetos* in Europe. *Bird Conservation International*, 2, 175–183.
- Watson, J. (2010). *The Golden Eagle* (2nd ed.). London: T. & A. D. Poyser.
- Watson, J., & Dennis, R. H. (1992). Nest-site selection by Golden Eagles in Scotland. *British Bird*, 85, 469–481.
- Watson, J., & Whitfield, P. (2002). A Conservation framework for the Golden Eagle *Aquila chrysaetos* in Scotland. *Journal of Raptor Research, Supplement* 36, 41–49.
- Wilbur, S. (1987). *Birds of Baja California*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Zar, J. H. (1999). *Biostatistical analysis* (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.