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Effects of establishment of grazing areas on diversity of amphibian communities in tropical evergreen forests and mountain cloud forests of the Sierra Madre Oriental
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Abstract

The establishment of grazing areas in humid tropical environments is one of the causes of amphibian population decline. This work evaluates the consequences of the establishment of grazing areas on amphibian communities in an area of the Sierra Madre Oriental using analyses of alpha and beta diversity. Sampling was conducted at 28 locations, 7 in tropical evergreen forest (TEF), 7 in mountain cloud forest (MCF), 7 in tropical grazing areas (TGA), and 7 in cloud forest grazing areas (CFGA) using the method of direct sampling. Amphibian diversity is reduced when the grazing areas are established in tropical environments (TGA, 8 species; 7.1 effective species and TEF, 10 species; 7.7 effective species); in contrast, the CFGA has a greater diversity (11 species; 9.3 effective species) compared with MCF (8 species; 3.9 effective species). The most dissimilar composition was found between the 2 communities of undisturbed forests (MCF-TEF; Jaccard dissimilarity 0.78). Establishment of grazing areas in TEF strongly influences the reduction of amphibian populations when compared with MCF.
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Resumen

El establecimiento de áreas de pastoreo en ambientes húmedos tropicales es una de las causas de la reducción de las poblaciones de anfibios. Este trabajo evalúa las consecuencias del establecimiento de las áreas de pastoreo en las comunidades de anfibios en un área de la Sierra Madre Oriental por medio del análisis de diversidad alfa y beta. El muestreo se realizó en 28 localidades: 7 de bosque tropical perennifolio (TEF), 7 de bosque mesófilo de montaña (MCF) no alterado, 7 en áreas de pastoreo tropicales (TGA) y 7 en áreas de pastoreo de bosque mesófilo (CFGA), usando el método de muestreo directo. La diversidad de anfibios se reduce cuando las áreas de pastoreo se establecen en ambientes tropicales (TGA, 8 especies; 7.1 especies efectivas y TEF, 10 especies; 7.7 especies efectivas); en contraste, el CFGA presenta una mayor diversidad (11 especies; 9.3 especies efectivas) comparado con el MCF (8 especies; 3.9 especies efectivas). La composición más disímil se encontró entre las comunidades de los bosques conservados (MCF-TEF, 0.78 disimilitud de Jaccard). El establecimiento de áreas de pastoreo en los TEF influye con mayor intensidad en la reducción de las poblaciones de anfibios, en comparación con el MCF.
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Introduction

Habitat transformation directly affects the entirety of diversity (Pavlov & Bukvareva, 2007). Because of habitat disturbance, the majority of ecosystems have undergone great changes in landscape makeup; it is estimated that worldwide between 20 and 75% of the original vegetation has been altered (Pavlov & Bukvareva, 2007). Such disturbance relegates an increasing number of species to live in fragmented landscapes dominated by anthropogenic activities (Bawa et al., 2004; Foley et al., 2005). Alterations of the environment cause important ecological changes at all levels (Glor, Flecker, Benard, & Power, 2001). For example, local deforestation due to agriculture or livestock production produces degradation and loss of soil, changes in microclimate, and biodiversity loss (De Sá, 2005; Wanger et al., 2010). Because of such simplification of natural environments, there is a great reduction of areas for preservation and development of biodiversity (Cardinale et al., 2012); this process particularly might affect the presence and abundance of amphibians (Jansen & Healey, 2003).

On a global scale, the creation of grazing areas and hydrologic alteration has been shown to have adverse effects on amphibian communities, principally in tropical moist sites (Jansen & Healey, 2003; Pineda & Halffter, 2003). In these areas, local effects created by the transformation of natural environments to grazing areas form barriers that prevent the natural flow of amphibian populations (De Sá, 2005). These barriers prevent gene flow among populations, in addition to increasing mortality rates and infections, and the reduction of the ability for re-colonization of amphibians (Cushman, 2006; Jansen & Healey, 2003).

Some surveys have shown that amphibians can be good models to assess environmental quality, because they present physiological and ecological characteristics that make them susceptible to changes that occur as a result of the disturbance, for example deforestation processes (Cushman, 2006; Jansen & Healey, 2003; Pineda & Halffter, 2003). Studies show that there is a positive relationship between canopy cover and amphibian diversity (Pineda & Halffter, 2003). Cushman (2006) also observed that the reduction in canopy cover reduces vigilacy, especially in the juvenile stages. These stages are crucial for the reproduction of amphibians, because this is when movement occurs into new water bodies for reproduction (Cushman, 2006; Jansen & Healey, 2003). Therefore, the adverse conditions generated by grazing areas restrict amphibians to sites with high humidity (Jansen & Healey, 2003), thus limiting their mobility. In addition, reduction in the populations of some amphibians species is directly related to the intensity of grazing on pastureland; therefore, amphibian diversity decreases when grazing intensity is high (Jansen & Healey, 2003). This relationship results because cattle directly influence the compaction and erosion of soil, growth and selection of grasses in the grazing areas, and the quality and quantity of water available for amphibians during the breeding season (Cushman, 2006; Jansen & Healey, 2003).

In Mexico, the process of land conversion of forests to grazing areas has a lengthy history and recently it has increased significantly in humid tropical landscapes (Lira-Noriega, Guevara, Laborde, & Sánchez-Ríos, 2007). In this country, however, there are few studies that aim to assess the effects of grazing areas on the diversity of amphibians (Pineda & Halffter, 2003; Urbina-Cardona & Reynoso, 2005), particularly in areas where mountain cloud forests and evergreen tropical forests converge. Therefore, the goal of this study is to assess the consequences of grazing areas on the amphibian communities in tropical evergreen forests and mountain cloud forests in an area of the Sierra Madre Oriental in northern Hidalgo by analyzing the alpha (Hill’s numbers; Jost, 2006) and beta diversity (Replacement and richness difference; Legendre, 2014). Studies show that these analyses have proved useful for comparing the diversity among 2 or more communities (Legendre, 2014; Moreno, Barragán, Pineda, & Pavón, 2011).

Materials and methods

The sampling sites were located within an area of the Sierra Madre Oriental (SMO) in northern Hidalgo, specifically in the area comprising the municipality of Tepehuacán de Guerrero (Fig. 1; 20°56’ and 21°12’ N, 98°44’ and 98°58’ W). This area is dominated mainly by a warm humid climate with rainfall throughout the year, elevational cline ranges from 200 to 2,200 m, with an annual rainfall of 1,100 to 2,200 mm and a mean annual temperature of 18 °C in the highlands and 28 °C in the lowlands (Inegi, 2009). The study area was originally covered by continuous forest. Tropical evergreen forest dominates the hillside and lower parts of the mountains, whereas the higher parts are covered by mountain cloud forest; however, in the last few decades these forests have been destroyed by anthropic effect to establish grazing areas, which has generated vegetation patches in various stages of regeneration (Ponce-Vargas, Luna-Vega, Alcántara-Ayala, & Ruiz-Jiménez, 2006).

Tropical evergreen forest is distributed in the northern portion of Hidalgo state (Villavicencio-Nieto & Pérez-Escandón, 2005), near the border with San Luis Potosí (Rzedowski, 2006). Puig (1991) referred to this as semi-deciduous tropical forest; however, Rzedowski (2006) grouped this vegetation type within tropical evergreen forest, because tropical evergreen forest and semi-deciduous tropical forest share similar structural elements. In our study area, this vegetation was characterized by trees over 20 m in height and a rich diversity of woody climbing plants, orchids, and bromeliads (Puig, 1991). In this type of vegetation, the patterns of abundance of tree species makes it difficult to determine the dominant tree species; however, the canopy is mainly composed by trees of the genera Brosimum, Bursera, Ceiba, Coccoloba, Ficus, and Dendropanax (Puig, 1991).

Mountain cloud forest is characterized by presence of fog during most of the year (Hamilton, Juvik, & Scatena, 1995); neartic and neotropical elements converge in this kind of forest; therefore, this area represents a highly complex environment in terms of vegetation, which also varies along the length of its geographic distribution (Conabio, 2010; Ruiz-Jiménez, Téllez-Valdez, & Luna-Vega, 2012). Thus, MCF from the northern region of the state of Hidalgo is characterized by a dense forest, with trees over 15–35 m height. The most common trees are of
the genera *Liquidambar, Alnus, Clethra, Corpinus, Sauravia, Podocarpus*, and *Quercus* (Ruiz-Jiménez et al., 2012).

For selection of grazing areas, we considered those with surrounding arboreal elements or remnants, as well as the altitude at which they were found; therefore, the grazing areas in tropical environments (TGA) were those that were covered in TEF at an elevation <800 m asl, whereas the grazing area of cloud forest (CFGA) were those that were embedded within the cloud forest at an elevation >800 m asl.

This study was carried out March, May, August, and November of 2011 and April, July, and October of 2012. We sampled 7 sites for each of the TEF, MCF, TGA and CFGA habitats for a total of 28 locations. Each of the localities was separated from the others by approximately 1 mile (1.5 km) in order to obtain independent samples, taking into consideration the natural history of the resident amphibians (Cushman, 2006). During each survey in the study areas, we visited all analyzed environments. In these, we selected grazing areas and patches of native vegetation that were of similar size (≥10 ha). At each site, we randomly selected 4 transects of approximately 900 m in length with a variable width. Due to differences in arboreal complexity, the limit of search was limited to 2 m above ground level. We conducted 2 sampling regimes, one in the morning (09:00–14:00 h) and the other later in the day (16:00–21:00 h), and avoided the edges between habitat types. Each site was sampled by 4 people by a direct sampling method, which consisted of a review of all potential microhabitats (below 2 m) used by amphibians, according to their known natural history (Amador, 2010; Manzanilla & Péfau, 2000). For each habitat type, we invested a sampling effort of 280 man-hours, obtaining a total sampling effort of 1,120 man-hours.

Most species were identified during the fieldwork. Those species we were unable to identify in the field were transported to the Laboratorio de Ecología de Poblaciones of the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo (UAEH). Specimens were collected under the scientific permit provided by Semarnat (# SGPA/DGVS/02726/10). The small samples collected were euthanized by the freezing method and later were fixed in formalin (10%), and finally were stored in alcohol 75% in the collection of amphibians and reptiles of UAH. Specimens were identified with dichotomous keys and the scientific names were updated following the most recent literature on the study group, for example, Wilson, Mata-Silva, & Johnson (2013), Wilson, Johnson, & Mata-Silva (2013) and Streicher et al. (2014).

**Data analysis**

Species accumulation curves were performed using the program EstimateS V 9.1 (Colwell, 2005), in order to assess species richness of TEF, MCF, TGA, and CFGA, and to determine whether the completeness of the inventory allowed comparisons among them (Carabajal-Cogollo & Urbina-Cardona, 2008). Completeness of the inventory was assessed with the non-parametric estimator ACE. This estimator divides species into 2 categories: abundant, when a sample has more than 10 individuals recorded; and rare, when a sample contains 10 or fewer individuals. The ACE estimator is based on the proportion of
rare species in the sample (singletons) to determine the missing species in the community, so abundant species do not provide information about the missing species (Chao & Shen, 2003).

Rarefaction curves were performed with the version 3.1 PAST program (Hammer, Harper, & Ryan, 2001) to evaluate the amphibians richness, taking into account the same number of individuals to standardize data (Moreno, 2001). To measure equity in each habitat, curves of rank-abundance were developed using the relative abundance of each species ($pi$), with the goal of observing species dominance and the species turnover among habitats. The inverse of the Simpson index ($C_{inv}$) was obtained to determine the dominance for each community; this kind of index was selected because it is influenced by the abundance of the species and because it is independent of species richness (Feinsinger, 2003).

In order to calculate alpha diversity, we used the diversity index of Hill’s numbers first order ($D_1$; Jost, 2006; Moreno et al., 2011), which is based on the effective number of species and considers the relative abundance of the species in the community by using the Shannon exponential index expressed by the formula $D_1 = \exp(H)$. We evaluated beta diversity with the Jaccard dissimilarity index based on presence–absence data; this index ranges from zero (identical communities) to 1 (different communities; Legendre, 2014). Likewise, we analyzed the beta diversity, dividing it into 2 components, replacement and richness difference (Legendre, 2014), with the purpose to observe in a more intuitive way the species turnover and the composition of amphibian communities.

Results

In this study, we recorded 157 individuals of amphibians belonging to 18 species included in 11 genera, 6 families, and 2 orders (Anura and Caudata; Table 1). Higher species richness was recorded in CFGA (11 species) with a completeness inventory of 100%, whereas the lowest richness was obtained in the TGA (100%) and the MCF (80.4%), with 8 species each (Table 2).

In assessing species richness considering the same number of individuals, we observed that the most diverse community is the CFGA. The result for MCF, however, should be taken with caution because for this vegetation type we did not observe that the curve reached an asymptote (Fig. 2); in addition, the inventory completeness was below 80%.

We observed the greatest evenness in the CFGA community ($C_{inv} = 0.902$), in which rare species occur, such as Pseudoeurycea gigantea and P. cephalica, as well as common

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Amphibian species recorded within 4 study areas located in northern Hidalgo, Mexico, arranged according to families. See text for explanations of abbreviations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Study areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Bufonidae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incilius nebulifer</td>
<td>1 5 5 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. vaillantii</td>
<td>– 7 6 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinella marina</td>
<td>0 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Craugastoridae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craugastor decoratus</td>
<td>– 3 – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. rhodopis</td>
<td>20 7 – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Eleutherodactylidae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleutherodactylus longipes</td>
<td>– 4 – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. verrucipes</td>
<td>– – 2 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Hylidae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charadradsylla taeniopus</td>
<td>6 – – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomiohyla miotympanum</td>
<td>1 7 14 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyra charadricola</td>
<td>– – 5 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilisca baudinii</td>
<td>2 2 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachycephalus typhonius</td>
<td>– – 1 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Ranidae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithobates berlandieri</td>
<td>2 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. johni</td>
<td>– – 5 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. spectabilis</td>
<td>1 3 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Plethodontidae</td>
<td>MCF CFGA TEF TGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoeurycea bellii</td>
<td>1 – – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. gigantea</td>
<td>– 1 – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. cephalica</td>
<td>– 1 – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total individuals/Species</td>
<td>34/8 44/11 47/10 32/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Species richness (observed species), completeness percentage according to the estimator ACE, and alpha diversity (effective species) for each vegetation type.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Observed species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFGA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEF</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Rarefaction curves. The black line indicates the minimum number of individuals among communities. The MCF data should be regarded with caution since it did not reach an asymptote.
species, such as *Craugastor rhodopis* and *Ecnomiohyla miotympanum*. We determined that the community with the lowest evenness is the MCF ($C_{inv} = 0.631$), with a single very abundant species (*Craugastor rhodopis*) and 4 rare species (*Ecnomiohyla miotympanum*, *Incilius nebulifer*, *Lithobates berlandieri*, and *Pseudoeyreus bellii*). The communities with the greatest evenness were observed within grazing areas from both the TGA ($C_{inv} = 0.879$) and CFGA (Fig. 3). One species is lost (*Charadrahyla taeniopus*) when grazing areas are set in MCF, and 3 species (*Plectrohyla charadricona*, *Eleutherodactylus verrucipes*, and *Trachycephalus thyonius*) in TEF. On the other hand, CFGA showed 5 species (*I. vaillceps*, *C. decoratus*, *E. longipes*, *P. gigantea*, and *P. cephalica*) that were not detected within MCF; whereas in TGA we recorded 1 species (*Smilisca baudini*) that was not observed in TEF (Fig. 3). The greatest diversity of species was recorded in the CFGA, with 9.3 effective species (Table 1).

The most dissimilar species composition occurred between the preserved forests MCF-TEF, whereas the least dissimilar composition was between the communities of TEF-TGA (Fig. 4). The higher replacement was observed within communities of MCF-TGA that showed 100% of the beta diversity, whereas within communities corresponding to the sets of MCF-CFGA and TGA-CFGA the lowest percentage richness difference (42.85%) was exhibited.

**Discussion**

Habitat loss is the most important factor in reducing amphibian populations (Jansen & Healey, 2003). In this regard, disturbed environments such as grazing areas restrict amphibian distributions (Cushman, 2006), reducing the ability of juveniles to disperse to search for new water bodies in which to reproduce eventually, consequently reducing gene flow among populations (Cushman, 2006). In the northern regions of the state of Hidalgo, the establishment of grazing areas for extensive livestock raising has had different effects on amphibian communities. On one side, the disturbance seems to increase the diversity of species in the mountain cloud forest; on the other side, amphibian diversity decreases in the tropical evergreen forest. In both cases, however, exclusively interior forest species, such as *Charadrahyla taeniopus*, which is considered a restricted cloud forest species are declining with the creation of grazing areas, (Canseco-Márquez & Gutiérrez-Mayén, 2006), as well as species of the family Eleutherodactylidae that live in the interior of tropical forests (Canseco-Márquez & Gutiérrez-Mayén, 2010).

Inside tropical forests, like TEF, the climatic conditions, such as humidity and temperature, are more stable than in the grazing areas (Urbina-Cardona & Lodoño, 2003). In these environments, the allocation of resources among amphibian species is determined by the availability of water; therefore, species are interacting or competing along a space-time gradient within the habitat (Cáceres-Andrade & Urbina-Cardona, 2009). Due to the evaporation process that occurs during the day within the grazing areas, these interactions are restricted only to those species that can withstand these kind of conditions, for example, *Rhinella marina*, which is characterized by skin properties and reproductive habits that allow them to live and reproduce in dry and highly-polluted environments (Cáceres-Andrade & Urbina-Cardona, 2009). Other species well suited for living in grazing areas are *Lithobates berlandieri*, *Smilisca baudini* and *Incilius vaillceps* (Canseco-Márquez & Gutiérrez-Mayen, 2006). Therefore, establishment of grazing areas reduces species richness, particularly affecting species that show preference for sites with abundant leaf litter (Urbina-Cardona & Lodoño, 2003; Cáceres-Andrade & Urbina-Cardona, 2009).

Cloud forest from this region shows stable humidity conditions and low seasonal variation throughout the year (Ruiz-Jiménez et al., 2012), which creates favorable conditions for the establishment for many amphibians species (Gual-Díaz & Goyenechea, 2014). In this habitat, the moisture present in all canopy levels allows amphibians to inhabit several microhabitats. In Mexico, there are 183 species of amphibians that inhabit...
this forest type, with the families Hylidae and Plentodontidae the best represented (Gual-Díaz & Goyenechea, 2014); these families show preference for arboreal microhabitats. In this paper, the MCF presented a smaller amphibian richness compared to that seen in other studies (Canseco-Márquez & Gutiérrez-Mayen, 2006; Gual-Díaz & Goyenechea, 2014; Pineda & Halffter, 2003) and the lowest richness when compared with the other habitats assessed in this study (3.9 effective species). However, this phenomenon could be due to the sampling method used because we only sampled up to 2 m in height in the canopy, and some arboreal species recorded in previous studies in our area may not have been recorded in this study, such as Chiropoterotriton sp. (Mendoza-Quijano, Quijano-Manilla, & Mendoza-Paz, 2006).

Therefore, the results obtained in this work for the cloud forest should be taken with caution. In contrast, in the CFGA, diversity seems to increase because within this environment there are some species with tropical affinities that are able to inhabit this environment, such as Incilius valliceps and I. nebulifer (Canseco-Márquez & Gutiérrez-Mayen, 2006; Fig. 3), which are resource-generalist species, and are able to withstand disturbed environments (Santos-Barrera & Urbina-Cardona, 2011). In addition, there are native forest species that can tolerate the conditions present in grazing areas, for example Craugastor rhodopsis that inhabits both the MCF and CFGA and is one of the dominant species (Fig. 3). Although these results are contrary to those reported by Pineda and Halffter (2003), who found that amphibian diversity is reduced by grazing areas in cloud forests in northern Veracruz. Our results could be due to the proximity of the MCF and the TEF, which was not the case in northern Veracruz. These results suggest that the composition of amphibian communities present in grazing areas embedded in the cloud forests may be strongly influenced by the landscape (Pineda & Halffter, 2003).

In the MCF and the TEF, the modification of the sites with original vegetation promotes an exchange in richness and abundance of amphibian species compared to the forest interior (Fig. 4). For example, in the MCF established grazing areas promote replacement that could increase species richness. This pattern is similar to those seen in other biological groups from this region; for example, in tropical environments, the greatest richness of beetles occurs within the forest, whereas in the cloud forests richness increases within the grazing areas (Barragán, Moreno, Escobar, Bueno-Villegas, & Halffter, 2014). In temperate and arid environments, where amphibian populations are naturally low, the consequences of the establishment of grazing areas are inconclusive (Jansen & Healey, 2003; Mensing, Galatowitsch, & Tester, 1998). Studies conducted to evaluate the effect of grazing areas on amphibian communities, have shown that physiological and ecological variations unique to each species produced diverse results (Pineda & Halffter, 2003). Therefore, it is necessary to conduct studies that consider physiological and ecological aspects of each amphibian species, because each species responds in different ways to the disturbed environments (Cáceres-Andrade and Urbina-Cardona, 2009; Jansen & Healey, 2003).

According to our results, amphibians are greatly affected when grazing areas are established in tropical evergreen forest environments, whereas in cloud forests further studies using complementary methods of sampling are needed to confirm whether the species richness increases or decreases. The establishment of grazing areas in the MCF in the northern region of Hidalgo allows colonization by species with tropical affinities (Fig. 4). The establishment of grazing areas, however, could be detrimental to species exclusively found in the interior of the forests (Charadrhyta taenioropus; Fig. 3), and long-term effects of cattle on the ground could prevent the establishment of viable populations of amphibians due to soil compaction which affects water holding capacity. The local populated areas, therefore should consider legal steps to protect and keep intact the remaining forest patches to preserve the exclusive species living in the interior of these forests.
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