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ABSTRACT

An exact solution for the spatially flat scale-invariant cosmology, recently proposed by Maeder (2017a) is deduced. No deviation from the numerical solution was detected. The exact solution yields transparency for the dynamical equations, and faster cosmological constraints may be performed.

RESUMEN

Se obtiene una solución exacta para la cosmología espacialmente plana de escala invariante propuesta recientemente por Maeder. No se encuentran diferencias respecto a la solución numérica. La solución exacta le da transparencia a las ecuaciones dinámicas y permite obtener límites cosmológicos más rápidamente.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Maeder (2017a) proposed that the accelerating expansion of the Universe (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999) may be explained by the scale invariance of the empty space. It was argued that in empty space there is no preferred scale of length or time. He applied the theoretical framework developed for a scale-invariant theory of gravitation (Weyl 1923; Eddington 1923; Dirac 1973; Camuto et al. 1977) and found, as a result, that the effects of scale invariance are smaller when there is a greater quantity of matter present in the Universe.

This model has some interesting consequences. The cosmological constant, for example, is replaced by a variable term involving the scale-invariant scale factor. There is no need for a cosmological constant nor for dark energy in this context. The scale-invariant effects over the CMB temperature $T_{CMB}(z)$ were analysed by Maeder (2017b), where he found that if galactic corrections are applied, scale invariance may not be discarded. By analysing the Milky Way rotation curve in the context of scale-invariant model, Maeder (2017c) argued that the flat rotation curves may be seen as an age effect and indicated that no dark matter was needed in this theoretical context.

This paper is focused on finding exact solutions of his equation of cosmological dynamics. Exact solutions provide transparency for the cosmological equations, may provide insights and can be used for a faster determination of cosmological constraints.

In § 2 the equations of the scale-invariant cosmology are briefly discussed. In § 3, the exact solution for the spatially flat scale-invariant cosmology is deduced and compared with the numerical results by Maeder (2017a). In § 4, the conclusions are presented.

2. SCALE-IN Variant COSMOLOGY

Maeder (2017a) shows that the general relativity (GR) metric is related to the scale-invariant one by $ds' = \lambda(x^t)ds$, where $\lambda$ is the scale factor which connects both line elements. By applying this theory to the empty space, he finds that $\lambda$ relates to the Einstein’s cosmological constant, $\Lambda_E$, by

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda_E}}c^t,$$

where $c$ is the speed of light, which will be assumed to be unity elsewhere.

By applying the Robertson-Walker metric to a Universe filled with pressureless matter, he finds for the scale-invariant cosmology:

$$\ddot{R}^2Rt - 2R\dot{R}^2 + kRt - Ct^2 = 0,$$

where $R$ is the scale factor, time is expressed in units of $t_0 = 1$, $k$ is the curvature constant, which can be 0,
−1 or +1 for a spatially flat, open or closed Universe, respectively, $C = \frac{8\pi G \rho_m}{3}$ and $\rho_m$ is the density of pressureless matter. The density parameters are defined in the usual form, $\Omega_m = \frac{\rho_c}{\rho}$, where $\rho_c = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}$, $\Omega_k = -\frac{k}{H^2}$, but $\Omega_\Lambda$ is now replaced by $\Omega_\Lambda$:

$$\Omega_\Lambda \equiv \frac{2}{H^2},$$

(3)

such that the normalization condition:

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_k + \Omega_\Lambda = 1,$$

(4)

is valid.

Our focus here is to find exact solutions of (2). As nonflat exact solutions could not be found, we aim to solve the case where $k = 0$.

3. FLAT SCALE-INVARIANT COSMOLOGY

For the spatially flat Universe, the equation for scale-invariant Universe evolution (2) reads:

$$\dot{R}^2 R - 2\dot{R}^2 - C t^2 = 0,$$

(5)

where the time is expressed in units of $t_0 = 1$, and it is assumed that $R_0 = 1$. By evaluating (5) today, the relation for the Hubble constant $H_0 = \frac{\dot{R}_0}{R_0}$ is $H_0^2 - 2H_0 = C$. This yields $H_0 = 1 + \sqrt{1 + C}$ and we choose the positive sign since the Universe is expanding ($H_0 > 0$).

In order to solve (5), we replace $R(t)$ by $R(t) = tv(t)$, so:

$$\dot{R} = v + tv,$$

(6)

and (5) may be written as:

$$\dot{v}^2 = \frac{v^3 + c}{vt^2} \Rightarrow \dot{v} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{v^3 + c}{vt^2}}.$$  

(7)

So, we have to choose a sign for $\dot{v}$ in order to integrate the separable equation (7). From (6), we have:

$$\dot{v} = \frac{R}{t^2}(Ht - 1).$$

(8)

In such a way that we have $\dot{v}_0 = H_0 - 1 = \sqrt{1 + C}$. So, we choose the positive sign in (7) in order to integrate it from the present time. The result is:

$$\sqrt{v^3 + C} + v^{3/2} = c_1 t^{3/2},$$

(9)

where $c_1$ is an integration constant. From (9), we find

$$c_1 = 1 + \sqrt{1 + C} = H_0.$$

(10)

We want to solve (9) for $v(t)$ in order to write $R(t)$. We write $v^{3/2} = c_1 t^{3/2} - \sqrt{v^3 + C}$ and square both sides. The result is:

$$v = \left[\left(\frac{c_1^2 t^3 - C}{2c_1 t^{3/2}}\right)^{2/3}\right].$$

(11)

Before writing the power as $2/3$ in (11), there was an ambiguity sign inside the parentheses after we squared the expression (9). We must choose the sign which is in agreement with the initial condition (10). By choosing the negative sign inside the parentheses, we find $c_1 = -1 \pm \sqrt{1 + C}$, which are both in disagreement with (10). By choosing the positive sign, we find $c_1 = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + C}$, where there is a solution in agreement with (10), so we choose the positive sign and:

$$v = \left[\left(\frac{c_1^2 t^3 - C}{2c_1 t^{3/2}}\right)^{2/3}\right].$$

(12)

Now:

$$R = tv = \left[\left(\frac{(2H_0 + C)t^3 - C}{2H_0}\right)^{2/3}\right],$$

(13)

where we have replaced the value of $c_1$. As explained by Maeder (2017a) and shown in equations (3)-(4), in a flat scale invariant cosmology, $\Omega_m$ can be written today as:

$$\Omega_m + \frac{2}{H_0 t_0^1} = 1;$$

(14)

then $H_0 = \frac{2}{1 - \Omega_m}$ in units of $t_0$ and $C = \frac{4\Omega_m}{(1 - \Omega_m)^2}$. So, by writing (13) in terms of $\Omega_m$:

$$R = \left[\left(\frac{\Omega_m^3 - \Omega_m}{1 - \Omega_m}\right)^{2/3}\right].$$

(15)

In Figure 1 we show some evolutions of the scale factor for different values of the density parameter, based on equation (15). It may be compared with Figure 2 of (Maeder 2017a), where the solutions were numerically obtained. No difference may be found between the exact and the numerical results.

As can be seen in Figure 1 and equation (15), with time in units of $t_0$ there is an initial time, $t_{in}$, where $R = 0$. From (15), this time is:

$$t_{in} = t_0\Omega_m^{1/3}.$$  

(16)

From this we may write for the total age of the Universe, $\tau_0 = t_0 - t_{in}$:

$$\tau_0 = (1 - \Omega_m^{1/3})t_0 = \frac{2}{H_0} \left(1 - \Omega_m^{1/3}\right).$$

(17)
By solving (15) for time, we may write for the age at redshift \(z\), \(\tau(z) = t(z) - t_{in}\):

\[
\tau(z) = \frac{2}{H_0(1-\Omega_m)} \left\{ \Omega_m + (1-\Omega_m)(1+z)^{-3/2}\Omega_m^{1/3} \right\}.
\]  

(18)

Calculating \(H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}\) from (15), we find:

\[
H(t) = \frac{2t^2}{t^3 - \Omega_m}.
\]  

(19)

We solve equation (15) for time, then replace in (19) to find:

\[
H = \frac{2[\Omega_m + (1-\Omega_m)R^{3/2}]^{2/3}}{(1-\Omega_m)R^{3/2}}
\]

\[
= H_0[\Omega_m R^{-9/4} + (1-\Omega_m)R^{-3/4}]^{2/3},
\]

(20)

or, in terms of redshift \(z\):

\[
H = H_0[\Omega_m(1+z)^{9/4} + (1-\Omega_m)(1+z)^{3/4}]^{2/3}.
\]  

(21)

In Figure 2 is plotted \(H(z)\) for some values of the matter density parameter from equation (21).

From equations (15), (18) and (21) the results of Tables 1 and 2 of Maeder (2017a) can be recovered. No difference between analytical and numerical results could be found.

4. CONCLUSION

An interesting theory of scale-invariant cosmology was recently proposed. While the original focus was on the numerics, here we focus on finding an exact solution, at least for the spatially flat case. We show that our exact solution does not deviate from the original numerical solution. Physical insights about the dynamical equations may now be developed and cosmological constraints can be obtained faster.
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