THE AFFECTIVE DOMAIN IN LEARNING MATHEMATICS
ACCORDING TO STUDENTS’ GENDER

RESUMEN
El rendimiento en matemáticas, la disminución de vocaciones en los estudios universitarios de ciencias, así como la desmotivación y las actitudes desfavorables hacia las Matemáticas que se generan en la Educación Secundaria son motivo de preocupación. Esta investigación se centra en las implicaciones afectivas vinculadas con el aprendizaje de las Matemáticas durante la Educación Secundaria y profundiza en variables explicativas tales como actitudes, motivación y creencias y sus diferencias en función del sexo. Los resultados obtenidos por las chicas muestran peor motivación y autoconcepto matemático, así como una actitud hacia las matemáticas más desfavorable que los chicos. Además, de un total de 42 creencias sobre las matemáticas, 10 de las 13 que muestran diferencias de género pertenecen a la categoría de creencias sobre la enseñanza de las matemáticas y de ellas cinco se consideran de efecto mediano.
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ABSTRACT
The performance in mathematics, the reduction of science as a vocation in university studies, as well as the demotivation and unfavourable attitudes towards mathematics generated in Secondary Education are a reason for concern. This research focuses on the affective implications tied to learning mathematics during Secondary Education, with an insight into explanatory variables such as attitudes, motivation and beliefs and their differences according to sex. The results obtained by girls show worse motivation and mathematical self-concept, as well as a less favourable attitude towards mathematics than boys. Out of 42 beliefs about mathematics, 13 show a gender difference; 10 of which belong to the category beliefs about teaching of mathematics, and of these, 5 have median effect size.
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RESUMO

Matemática realização, em declínio vocações na ciência faculdade e desmotivação e atitudes desfavoráveis em relação matemática gerados no ensino secundário, são motivo de preocupação. Esta pesquisa enfoca as implicações emocionais associados com a aprendizagem da matemática para o ensino secundário, aprofundando variáveis explicativas, como atitudes, motivação e crenças e suas diferenças por sexo. Os resultados obtidos pelas meninas mostram pior auto-conceito motivação e matemática e atitude para o pior matemática do que os rapazes. Além disso, um total de 42 crenças sobre matemática, 10 das 13 diferenças de gênero mostrando pertencem à categoria de crenças sobre o ensino da matemática e de que 5 tem tamanho médio efeito.

RÉSUMÉ

Les résultats en mathématiques, le déclin des vocations dans les études universitaires en sciences et la démotivation et les attitudes défavorables envers les mathématiques générées dans l’enseignement Secondaire sont préoccupantes. Cette recherche porte sur les implications affectives liées à l’apprentissage des mathématiques pour l’enseignement Secondaire, et en approfondissant des variables explicatives telles que les attitudes, la motivation et les croyances et leurs différences par sexe. Les résultats obtenus par les filles montrent pire concept de soi motivation pour les mathématiques, et attitude envers les mathématiques, que les garçons. En outre, d’un total de 42 croyances sur les mathématiques, 10 des 13 qui présentant des différences entre les sexes, appartiennent à la catégorie des croyances sur l’enseignement des mathématiques et dont, cinq ont une taille d’effet moyenne.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics education has a great presence in all educational systems throughout the world. As a consequence, it has a significant position in the reports that contrast academic performance between different countries, such as the PISA or the IEA reports. In both reports, Spain obtained the lowest score in mathematics. The Latin American countries analysed obtain results clearly below the OECD average.
In the case of the most recent PISA report (2015), Spain is ranked 32 in the list with a score of 486 points, slightly below the OECD average (490) and well below Singapore, who led the ranking with a score of 564 points. All Latin American countries included in the report are ranked below the OECD average, Argentina being in the lead in 42nd position with 456 points.

The difficulties in performance seen in primary education, and especially in secondary education children, have turned mathematics education into a cause for concern. This concern increases when we deal with socially disadvantaged contexts, and in particular when we refer to women, who habitually suffer these consequences to a greater extent; in the 2015 evaluation (INEE, 2015) there is a 16-point difference in favour of boys; this was double the OECD average. For this reason this research seeks to delve deeper into this field, doubtlessly relevant not only to Spain but also to disadvantaged contexts in Latin American countries.

Attempts to explain the performing difficulties in mathematics, traditionally resort to cognitive aspects mainly, such as the person’s knowledge and capacities. However, the topic’s difficulty, the effort required and the cumulative nature of such difficulties with age, do not suffice to explain the poor performance, let alone the students’ rejection of the topic, since some students adore it. The truth however is that rejection is resulting in academic failure, a cause for concern due to its educational and vocational repercussions. From an educational point of view, the explanation is associated to the methodology, but also to some social beliefs and emotional experiences in learning maths.

Hence, in this research we deal with the affective domain of learning maths, with the aim of analysing the possible gender differences related with the psychological constructs that configure such domain in secondary education students living in disadvantaged social contexts. This research topic may offer support in designing more effective teaching practices, committed to guaranteeing gender equality in maths education in adverse circumstances, which habitually affect women to a greater extent.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Since the 1980s, especially since McLeod’s studies (1988, 1992), we have witnessed a gradual increase of the value given to the affective dimension of knowledge acquisition, especially with regard to mathematics learning, at the expense of all that is rational and cognitive (Campos, 2003; Gómez Chacón, 1999, 2000; Hart, 1989; Hidalgo, Maroto & Palacios, 2000a, 2000b; Mandler, 1984; Mcleod, 1988, 1992, 1994).
Given the complexity of the affective domain, it should be noted that when we talk about this domain in this study, we are referring essentially to the beliefs, attitudes and motivation (Gómez Chacón, 1997; Mcleod, 1989, 1992).

2.1. **Attitudes towards mathematics**

An attitude is usually defined as an evaluative feeling (good-bad) of the person towards objects, problems, people or any other aspect identifiable in our context (Perlman & Cozby, 1985).

Regarding the components that conform attitudes, some authors (Cooper & Fishman, 1974; Fishbein & Azjen, 1980) outline the following: a) perceptive or cognitive component, related to ideas and beliefs; b) affective or sentimental component, related to emotions and feelings and, lastly, c) behavioural or conative component, which is related to actions. Other authors suppress the behavioural component and reduce attitudes to two-dimensional constructs (Bagozzi & Burnkrant, 1979; Zajonc & Markus, 1982). In any of these interpretations, beliefs are considered as a part of the attitudes.

Among the factors influencing the shaping of attitudes within the educational context, Munne (1980) includes the very educational contents, the direct experience with the discipline, attitudes that manifest within the context and the fulfilment of personal expectations, or lack thereof.

Within the concept of attitude towards mathematics education, two main concepts can be discerned (NCTM, 1989; Callejo, 1994): attitudes towards mathematics and mathematical attitudes. **Attitudes towards mathematics** are related to the value, appreciation and preference given to this discipline, placing more emphasis on the affective than the cognitive side, and is manifested in terms of interest, satisfaction, curiosity, appreciation, etc. (Callejo, 1994; Gómez Chacón, 2000; Hidalgo, Maroto & Palacios, 2004). **Mathematical attitudes**, on the contrary, are more related to the use of general abilities that are relevant in the mathematical tasks (such as open-mindedness, flexibility in the solution-seeking of problems and reflective thinking), aspects more related to cognition than affection.

We will focus on attitudes towards mathematics whose relevance in the teaching-learning process and the mathematical performance of students is openly acknowledged today (Miñano & Castejón, 2011; Miranda, 2012; Sakiz, Pape & Hoy, 2012). It is necessary to make clear that no dependence relationship has been demonstrated to exist between the two (McLeod, 1992), although Mato & Muñoz (2010) obtained a predictive value of the performance in mathematics from the attitudes towards this topic, and Castañeda & Alvarez (2004) found a significant incidence of attitudes of rejection towards mathematics in the solving of problems, working with students in teacher-training at the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México.
Regarding gender, Fennema and Sherman (1977) in their first studies found gender differences related with success in mathematics. These researchers also studied the relationship with affective and attitudinal variables, with findings clearly showing that men showed greater confidence than women, and stated that mathematics was more useful to them. Thomas (2000), Willis (1995) and Fullarton (1993) among other authors, stated that the negative attitude that women showed towards learning mathematics contributes to their lower level of engagement and lesser success in disciplines with a mathematical content. More recent studies confirm this idea among secondary school students: a more favourable attitude towards mathematics in boys, and less confidence in mathematical achievement and less perceived competence for learning mathematics among girls (Barbero, Holgado, Vila & Chacón, 2007; Devine, Fawcett, Szűcs, & Dowker, 2012; González-Piendra \textit{et al.}, 2012; Ursini, & Sánchez, 2008; Sax, Kanny, Riggers - Piehl, Whang, & Paulson, 2015).

2.2. \textit{Motivation for mathematics learning}

The concept of motivation is a complex one and depends on the theoretical approach adopted. There seems to be a certain consensus when we refer to those cognitive and affective factors that have a direct influence in the choice, direction, persistence, reiteration and quality of an action (Pardo & Alonso Tapia, 1990). The idea of motivation has evolved from being considered as a dimension of the person that could be activated, to being understood as a concatenation of moments or states, or as a change in the person’s priorities that generates new motives thanks to the interaction of a series of variables, most of them related to the context (Hernández, 1991).

Since there is consensus in attributing motivation a dispositional role in the process of teaching-learning, this can be explained in terms of reciprocal interactions between the class context, students’ feelings and beliefs on their motivations and, on this basis, the corresponding behaviour. In this way, the class context conditions the feelings about motivation and the latter conditions the actions that take place in the classroom (Pintrich, 2006). As a consequence, research oriented to studying the motivation of students has emphasized both, the context and nature of implicit knowledge and the beliefs in the different ways of accessing knowledge that humans develop according to the culture we belong to.

From a contextualist approach, both cultural and individual aspects present in the teaching-learning process have been taken into account. Motivation is conceived as the process that accounts for all the driving forces involved in the way students are oriented and committed to the learning tasks, as well as the choice of targets with a certain emotional component (Díaz-Barriga, 2012). This
entails the need to know the degree of interaction between students and teachers, the teacher’s dynamics to foster students’ motivation, and the most stimulating strategies used. In addition, a number of factors can be controlled by teachers through actions and messages that enhance students’ motivation (Arends, 1994). These elements refer to the level of involvement of students in the classroom and their feelings of empathy with the other people. An affective classroom climate and the students’ interest and commitment during the teaching-learning process are also related factors.

From the perspective of implicit knowledge and beliefs, these are considered as a set of experiences that take shape implicitly in general and act in a latent manner. From these beliefs, subjects infer, predict and plan actions to take in certain situations and, as a consequence, tend to construct motivational patterns of action to adapt to the different learning situations.

Motivation is thus tied to the student’s personal history, but also a developing ability that can be educated (Escaño & Gil, 2001, 2008). Hence we say motivation is tied to the classroom’s educational approach and does not seem to make sense unless inserted into the learning process, in relation with the remaining variables involved (Paris & Turner, 1994; Pintrich & Schunck, 2006). Thus, the dispositional character of motivation is not something inherent to the subject exclusively, but is part of the educational process, and even a consequence of it. From this perspective, motivation in education is related to the interaction of the variables present within the educational situation and is related to the context (Pintrich, 1999; Zimmerman & Kintasas, 1997).

Motivation is still considered a fundamental variable to lead students towards proper, long-lasting knowledge acquisition (Pintrich & Schunk, 2006). It is therefore imperative to keep students committed to the study of a subject, and for students to have a better understanding of their learning process. This contributes to an enrichment and improvement of educational practices, and to students getting more personal, permanent learning.

Some studies reach similar conclusions regarding the role of motivation in learning mathematics in secondary education (Gavilán, 2002). Moreover, Cubillo & Ortega (2002) carried out research with students aged 15 to 17 years, and found a positive correlation between student’s valuation of mathematics and the degree of motivation, through a pretest/posttest analysis.

With regard to motivation according to gender, several studies have demonstrated that boys present with a greater extrinsic motivational orientation (Anderman & Anderman, 1999; Midgley & Urdan, 1995; Roeser, Midgley & Urdan, 1996; Urdan et al., 1998), while girls present with a greater intrinsic motivation (Meece & Holt, 1993; Nolen, 1988).
In a study carried out with secondary school children, González-Torres & Torrano (2013) reached the conclusion that boys are more oriented towards performance goals than girls. Boys perceive themselves as more competent than girls; this was also found in the area of mathematics in other studies (Patrick et al., 1999; Pintrich & Zusho, 2002). Although they tend to undervalue their capacities more than boys, girls for their part, orientate their goals to deep and significant knowledge (learning goals).

2.3. Beliefs about mathematics

Beliefs about mathematics are considered as one of the components of individual’s implicit subjective knowledge, based on experiences about mathematics and its teaching and learning (Gómez Chacón, 2000).

The student’s beliefs are categorized in terms of the object of belief: beliefs about mathematics; about oneself; about teaching mathematics; and beliefs about the context within which mathematical education takes place (McLeod, 1992).

From the perspective of Mathematical Education, beliefs have been analysed with two different orientations, one related to the acquisition of new concepts based on previous knowledge and beliefs (Azcárate, 1997; Pecharromán, 2009; Socas, 2007) and the other based on the so-called mathematical emotional profile (Hidalgo, Maroto, Ortega & Palacios, 2013). The idea of this emotional profile assumes the existence of a bidirectional relation between emotions, attitudes and beliefs on the one hand, and performance on the other; in the sense that the experience of learning mathematics provokes reactions and influences beliefs, and conversely, the latter influence in the capacity of learning (Gómez Chacón, 2000; Guerrero, Blanco & Vicente, 2002). Hidalgo, Maroto & Palacios (2004, 2005) obtained relations between beliefs and the rejection of students towards mathematics, when attempting to identify their mathematical emotional profiles.

Since the end of the last century, research has been carried out on students’ beliefs about mathematics and their influence on their views on the subject and on learning it. The different studies carried out to assess the students’ evolution in Primary and Secondary Education have seldom yielded any details about the evolution of beliefs on mathematics in Secondary Education, although such beliefs last into old age. More recently some studies have attempted to detect what beliefs can have a negative repercussion on such learning, while analysing whether these differences varied at all according to sex and age (Alomar, 2007; Hidalgo et al., 2013; House, 2007; Poulou, 2007). Other studies have sought to relate mathematical beliefs with the type of methodology used Warfield, Wood & Lehman (2005) or with performance (Alomar, 2007; Chen & Zimmerman, 2007; Simpkins, Davis-Kean & Eccles, 2006).
There is research about the incidence of gender in learning mathematics where there seems to be no significant difference until the age of 12 or 13 years (Fennema & Sherman, 1977). In addition, when these differences take place, they could be attributed to the changes associated with puberty and adolescence, except they continue with age. However, other previous studies indicate the tendency of girls to be less confident about their mathematical abilities and to have an inferior mathematical self-concept than boys (Devine, Fawcett, Szűcs, & Dowker, 2012; Sax, Kanny, Riggers-Piehl, Whang, & Paulson, 2015). It has also been reported that girls’ performance happens to be worse, as they perceive that seeking help in the classroom becomes more difficult (Kessels, & Steinmayr, 2013); this could be related to some belief within the group ‘Beliefs related to the teaching of mathematics’.

Ultimately, the results obtained in previous research show the relevant role of the student’s affective dimension in learning mathematics and justify the need for further in-depth investigation. There seems to be a mutual influence between attitudes, beliefs, motivation and performance and there seem to be differences according to gender and the passing of time (Hidalgo et al., 2013). These results reinforce the need to foster the students’ motivation to weaken negative beliefs and encourage positive attitudes, as well as the need to delve deeper into the significant differences in the affective domain of adolescents according to their gender, with the aim of obtaining conclusions about their educational implications. These conclusions can therefore support the design of more affective educational practices engaged with guaranteeing the gender equality in mathematics education in adverse circumstances that habitually affect women more.

In accordance with the previous theoretical background, this study aims to look into the possible gender differences on the affective domain related to mathematics learning of a sample of secondary school students characterised by belonging to dysfunctional families and with important performance difficulties, sharing the same educational context. Crucially, the specific objectives of the study are posed as follows:

1. To examine the motivation and the attitudes towards learning mathematics expressed by a sample of secondary students based in the same disadvantaged educational centre with a view to analysing whether any differences between girls and boys concerning these variables might be identified.

2. To study possible gender differences that the secondary school students in the sample display regarding the following three sets of beliefs linked to
the process of learning mathematics: beliefs over the activity of learning mathematics, beliefs over the relationship with teachers and, finally, beliefs connected to the influence of personal learning paths in mathematics.

3. Method

3.1. Context characteristics

The school our sample belongs to is located in a town of about 30,000 inhabitants in the Greater Bilbao area (Spain). It is located in an area that underwent a strong process of industrialization during the 20th Century; and subsequently, as a consequence of the corresponding deindustrialization, it is undergoing a serious deterioration in living conditions. This town has an unemployment rate of 21.67%; half of the population have had only primary education or no formal education, and the immigrant population rate is 6.5% (EUSTAT, 2017). We can say that the school belongs to a socially disadvantaged context, with a significant proportion of dysfunctional families, school performance difficulties and a significant number of foreign students.

3.2. Sample

The sample under analysis comprises 202 secondary school students, 86 girls and 116 boys. All the participants in the study attended the same public school. Table I accounts for the distribution of the participants in the study in accordance with their academic year at the time of the study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic year</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Age of the students</th>
<th>Repeaters within academic year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First year</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>13-15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth year</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>15-18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. **Measuring tools**

The participants in this study answered two scales to assess, respectively, students’ attitudes and motivation towards learning mathematics and, also, a scale to weigh up their beliefs towards learning mathematics.

Attitudes were measured in more detail by means of the questionnaire proposed by Palacios, Arias & Arias (2014). This is a five-point Likert scale with 32 items developed on the basis of four psychological constructs: enthusiasm towards learning mathematics, sense of usefulness of mathematics, sense of lack of self-competence to learn mathematics, and mathematics self-concept. Apart from these four subscales, the questionnaire also provides a total score that enables the assessment of students’ global attitude toward the learning of mathematics as a whole. Internal reliability and consistency were demonstrated via average variance extracted, construct reliability and the McDonald’s Omega coefficients estimates corresponding to the four-correlated-factor model. The coefficients obtained in all four factors (Mathematical self-concept M = .630, Enjoyment of Mathematics M = .753, Self-perception of Mathematical incompetence M = .734 and Perception of usefulness M = .681) indicate that the scale shows sufficient evidence of reliability.

Furthermore, the study of the participants’ motivation was measured by means of the questionnaire designed by Rey, Hidalgo & Espinosa (1989). This is a five-point Likert scale consisting of 26 items related to key aspects of the motivation; such as interest and enthusiasm for academic activity, one’s aspirations, a perception of independence and needs and the usefulness of the subject and performance, amongst others. The questionnaire offers a measurement of the participants’ general motivation. The reliability test gave a value $\alpha = .91$.

Finally, the students’ beliefs towards learning mathematics were measured in accordance with the methodological approach proposed by Hidalgo *et al.* (2013). This consists of a battery of 41 statements about the process of learning mathematics in the classroom, about which participants have to express their agreement or disagreement by means of a five-point Likert scale. A Cronbach’s alpha value of $\alpha = 0.9$ has been obtained; therefore, we can be assured that the scale is validated and reliable. As for the statements appearing in the questionnaire, Table II describes the types of categories involved in this questionnaire, along with a summary of the topic of each category and some examples of the beliefs comprising the test.
TABLE II
Description of the categories of statements included in the questionnaire to study students’ beliefs about mathematics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Theme of the category</th>
<th>Examples of beliefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social beliefs about mathematics</td>
<td>Common social suppositions about leaning mathematics</td>
<td>– People who are keen on mathematics are a little strange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Learning mathematics is, generally speaking, boring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Mathematics are abstract and far from reality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs related to teaching</td>
<td>Presumptions on the influence that mathematics teacher’s teaching style has on one’s own learning process</td>
<td>– Good marks in mathematics are related to the support that students receive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td>– The teaching methods of mathematics teachers are usually more boring than those of other subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Students’ efforts are taken into consideration by mathematics teachers more often than by teachers of other subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs related to learning</td>
<td>Ideas on the connection that personal features, habits and family factors have with learning mathematics</td>
<td>– Bad grades in mathematics are linked to bad luck.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td>– One’s own capacities lead to success in mathematics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– Learning mathematics demands daily study.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The abovementioned questionnaires were filled out anonymously by the participants in the study and except for academic level and gender, no personal information was gathered during the data collection. The members of the research team handed out the questionnaires in two separate sessions in the students’ own classroom during school hours in March 2016. The research procedure was agreed upon and approved by the Academic Board of the school involved in the study.

Concerning the statistical procedures, the study of gender differences was carried out using the Mann-Whitney test (Siegel & Castellan, 1988) and Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r$) was chosen to account for the effect size (Tomczak & Tomczak, 2013). The reason why we used contrast statistics corresponding to non-parametric tests is that when analysing the distribution through the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, some attitude subscales, especially mathematical self-concept, were observed to not adjust to a normal distribution.
4. RESULTS

The results of this study are presented below. First, the figures related to the whole of the sample are introduced and subsequently, the results in connection with the study of the gender differences regarding motivation and attitudes towards learning mathematics. The final part of the section covers the analysis of the differences that girls and boys display in relation to their beliefs on learning mathematics.

Regarding the first point, Table III spells out the statistical descriptors of the scores that the participants in the study, regardless of gender, achieve in connection with their motivation and attitudes towards the process of learning mathematics. Along with this, the data resulting from the subscales that comprise the questionnaire of their attitudes is also broken down.

TABLE III
Statistical descriptors of the results achieved by the students in the sample regarding the scales of motivation and attitudes towards learning mathematics. Total sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Median (Mean)</th>
<th>Cronbach's α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation (total)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>85.03 (86.0)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude (total)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>77.01 (77.0)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale: enthusiasm towards learning mathematics</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>23.09 (22.0)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale: sense of usefulness of mathematics</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>12.18 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale: lack of self-competence to learn mathematics</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>15.43 (14.0)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscale: mathematics self-concept</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>9.17 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moving onto the study of gender differences, the girls in the sample display worse motivation towards learning mathematics ($Medn=83$) than their male counterparts ($Medn=87$), $U=3141$, $p=.045$, $r=.15$. Moreover, the overall attitude expressed by the girls ($Medn=74$) is also significantly lower than that of the boys ($Medn=80$), $U=2546$, $p=.035$, $r=.166$.

Regarding the examination of the gender differences linked to the four subscales comprising the attitude scale, significant differences have been found in connection with the mathematics self-concept subscale between girls ($Medn=8$) and boys ($Medn=10$) $U=2880$, $p=.001$, $r=.247$.

Finally, and with regard to the examination of possible gender differences linked to students’ beliefs on the issue of teaching and learning mathematics, thirteen of the forty-two beliefs comprising the questionnaire showed significant differences between boys and girls. Table IV details the statistical descriptors of the beliefs that display significant differences presented in descending order of effect size ($r$) within each of the categories of beliefs.
**TABLE IV**
Statistical descriptors of the beliefs displaying gender differences in descending order of effect size (r) within each type of belief

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beliefs related to</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Median (Mean)</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teaching</td>
<td>When I get bad grades in mathematics, it is mainly due to the lack of help from teachers</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.285</td>
<td>2613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mathematics</td>
<td>Mathematics teachers are always ready to clarify doubts and help in the resolution of difficulties in class</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>.262</td>
<td>2801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The difficulties that I have in mathematics, or that I could have in the future, are due to the lack of help</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.233</td>
<td>2890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics teachers are often less accessible than teachers in other subjects</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.232</td>
<td>2994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My belief is that there is a certain relationship between my dislike towards mathematics and mathematics teachers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.230</td>
<td>3032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When I get bad grades in mathematics, it is mainly due to the lack of help from those around me</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.174</td>
<td>3132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think that some mathematics teachers do not have confidence in the performance of a large number of their students</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>.171</td>
<td>3282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I have almost always had good mathematics teachers</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>3259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics teachers are often more boring than teachers of other subjects</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>.153</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics teachers are more interested in my evolution and performance in the subject than teachers of other subjects</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>3364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning</td>
<td>When tackling mathematics problems, I give up easily.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.174</td>
<td>3278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mathematics</td>
<td>When I get good grades in mathematics, it is mainly due to my own capabilities</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>3255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social</td>
<td>Mastering mathematics will enable me to succeed in further studies</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.152</td>
<td>3274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in this study indicate that, as far as the sample examined is concerned, girls and boys feel differently about the school experience of learning mathematics.

On the one hand, and in relation to objective 1, the overall attitude and motivation towards mathematics is worse in girls than in boys. However, the low effect size found (.166 and .15 respectively) suggests that the significant differences pinned down by the Mann-Whitney test should be considered with caution. More interestingly, the gender differences liaised with the construct mathematics self-concept, one of the four belonging to the attitude scale, displays a substantially higher effect size (.247). This fact indicates that the beliefs expressed by the girls in the sample when it comes to leaning mathematics are not only significantly worse than those of their male counterparts, but also that the strength of connexion between the variables (that is to say, between gender and their mathematics self-concept,) is fairly close to a medium threshold. These results obtained in a disadvantaged context are along the same lines as part of a previous research that also stresses the tendency of girls to express worse confidence in their mathematics skills and lower mathematics self-concept than boys (Devine, Fawcett, Szűcs, & Dowker, 2012; Sax, Kanny, Riggers-Piehl, Whang, & Paulson, 2015). The results could also be linked to a hypothetical difference in environmental pressure that students might suffer according to their gender, a subject worth examining in future research.

Regarding objective 2, the results related to the study of gender differences linked to the students’ beliefs about mathematics reveal that the boys and girls in the sample respond differently in 13 out of the 44 beliefs considered. It is also worth noting that almost all the beliefs showing gender differences (10 out of 13) belong to the category beliefs on teaching mathematics.

More interestingly, when considering the size effect in tandem with the gender differences, 5 out of 13 beliefs displaying gender differences, exceed the .02 threshold, which may be considered as a level coming close to a medium association between the variables. These 5 beliefs approaching a medium size effect, belong to the category of teaching mathematics and they all refer to the student’s conviction that the support received during their learning process and the quality of the relationship between teacher and learners affect their marks in mathematics. In other words, in contrast to their male classmates, the girls in the sample are significantly more prone to believing that the lack of support (within and outside the classroom) and also poor teacher-learner relationships are connected to their bad grades in maths.
These results seem to reveal a different way of experiencing educational support in general and in mathematics in particular, according to gender, and very likely according to the educational differences within the family context. This observation is in line with the findings provided by previous research (Ursini, & Sánchez, 2008) and highlights the need for teachers to take into account these possible differences in interpretation of educational support within the context of mathematics, as girls associate in a different, and apparently more intense manner, the affective dimension with the type of support given by teachers.

These ideas connect with previous research in a variety of ways. Thus, it has been reported that girls’ school performance happens to be worse as they perceive seeking help in the classroom as more difficult (Kessels, & Steinmayr, 2013). Besides that, it is described that male adolescents may be more reluctant to look for academic support (Ryan, Gheen, & Midgley, 1998; Ryan, Shim, Lampkins - uThando, Kiefer, & Thompson, 2009) and also more inclined to assess more favourably interpersonal relationships with their teachers (Kim, Fisher, & Fraser, 2000).

In sum, the data presented reveals two phenomena concurring synchronically in the sample under study, which might arouse the interest of those in charge of mathematics classroom management. On the one hand, the girls in the sample express significant lower general motivation and worse attitudes towards mathematics and, even more salient, they perceive themselves as less capable for learning mathematics than their male counterparts. On the other hand, the girls in the sample also stand out because they link more intensively their performance in the mathematics classroom with their perception of the support received from their teachers and the confidence these show in the learners.

The abovementioned ideas lead us to consider, in line with previous research, that the teaching activity might not be perceived equally by all students in the classroom (Den Brok, Fisher, Rickards, & Bull, 2006) and that different interventions for girls and boys might be required when the classroom environment is perceived differently by these two groups (Fraser, 2012).

Given that girls and boys might not perceive teaching activity in the same way, the study of the factors linked to both teacher’s characteristics and teaching strategies that improve learning mathematics for the two groups of students, seems to be a significant objective for further research.
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