



















































ecological law. The analysis shows that the Mining Law provisions challenged by the *Juba Wajín Amparo* pose important obstacles to ecological law; and even if tenuously, it shows synergies between indigenous rights and ecological law. From the perspective of ecological law, it is not justifiable for mining to be a priority land use over any other use: giving mining such pre-eminence is profoundly anthropocentric since by definition it gives primacy to economic interests, treating ecological considerations like operational concerns aimed at minimizing—not preventing—ecological harm. Finally, it is incompatible with ecological justice because it interferes with indigenous communities' and other life forms' and systems' access to the Earth's sustaining capacity, potentially over several generations. That the merits of this *amparo* were not examined by the SCJN because the concessions it was based on were cancelled makes it no less important as an example of the struggle for indigenous rights to territory and self-determination. Moreover, it illustrates the challenges facing a shift away from the current legal paradigm.

*Received:* February 23<sup>th</sup>, 2017.

*Accepted:* April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2017.