Influence of Polar Solutions on the Extraction of Phenolic Compounds from Capulín Fruits (*Prunus serotina*)
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**Abstract.** The phenolic compounds extraction of *Prunus serotina* Erhr with different ratios of acetone:water, methanol:water and ethanol:water was optimized using the Taguchi method. The factors evaluated were the solvent mixture and stirring time. The total phenolic content in extracts was assessed by the Folin-Ciocalteu method using gallic acid (GA) as the standard. The maximum value was 20.3 ± 0.86 mg GAE g⁻¹ using acetone:water (7:3, v/v) and 30 min of stirring time. 
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**Introduction**

*Prunus serotina* Erhr belongs to the Rosaceae family [1]. It is a native North American tree, widely distributed in Mexico, commonly called “capulín” or American black cherry [2, 3]. Medicinal properties are attributed to this species, including expectorant, sedative and antispasmodic effects, among others [2]. “Capulín” fruits are also part of the Mexican diet and are consumed fresh, dried or prepared in jam. The infusion is used as a cough remedy, and it has a phenolic content higher than strawberries [4]. The decoction of *P. serotina* leaves has beneficial effects for the treatment of hypertension [2]. In one of the first studies of capulin fruit, cyanidin-3-glucoside, cyanidin-3-rutinoside and rutinose were identified by spectral analyses, HPLC and mass spectroscopy [5]. It was also demonstrated that the *P. serotina* inflorescences and leaves are an excellent source of antioxidants [6]. Additionally, it was found that capulin seed oil contains highly polyunsaturated fatty acids [7]. The antioxidant and antimicrobial activity from polar extracts of *P. serotina* fruits have been assessed previously, and the results indicated that the ethanolic extract showed the highest antioxidant and antimicrobial effect [8]. The studies of the nutraceutical value and antihypertensive properties of *P. serotina* fruits suggest that polar extracts may be used to prevent hypertension and to aid in its treatment [9].

Among optimization methods, the Taguchi method makes it possible to design and optimize the product yield or improve the processes. This method can be applied when there are a considerable number of factors and interactions because it provides a smaller number of assays than others [10]. This procedure has been employed to optimize processes such as food quality [11], improvement of the yield of chemical reactions [12], the extraction of flavonoids [13] and the formulation of processes to elaborate nanoparticles [14]. Currently, no optimal extraction conditions, such as the type of solvent and stirring time, have been reported for the extraction of phenolic compounds from the fruits of *P. serotina*. The objective of this study was to apply the Taguchi method to determine the optimal solvent type and stirring time for phenolic content extraction from capulin fruits.

**Experimental**

**Plant material**

*P. serotina* fruits were hand-harvested in June 2014 in Huejotzinco, Puebla, Mexico. Three servings of seedless fruit, previously
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**Resumen.** La extracción de fenoles de frutos de *Prunus serotina* Erhr con mezclas de acetona:agua, metanol:agua y etanol:agua en diferentes proporciones se optimizó por el método de Taguchi. Los factores evaluados fueron mezcla de disolventes y tiempo de agitación. El contenido fenólico en los extractos fue determinado por el método de Folin-Ciocalteu, usando ácido gálico (GA) como estándar. El valor máximo de fenoles totales fue de 20.3 ± 0.86 mg GAE g⁻¹ con acetona:agua (7:3, v/v) y un tiempo de agitación de 30 min.
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crushed, were taken to determine the moisture content according to the AOAC method [15]. The remaining fruit was stored at -40 °C in polyethylene bags until used.

Extract preparation

Portions (100 g) of fresh capulin fruit were ground using a mechanical grinder. A sample of 1.0 g was kept in contact with the test solvents (10 mL), namely, acetone/water (7:3 v/v), methanol/water (4:1 v/v), ethanol/water (7:3 v/v) ethanol/water (4:1 v/v) and ethanol/water (1:1 v/v). Based on literature methodology (8), each sample was adjusted at pH ~3.5 with 5% HCl, and then stirred by vortex for 1 minute at 1000 rpm (Vortex Synergy, WYR International). The sample was then treated under sonication for 15 min at 20 °C, using ice as needed to maintain this temperature (Ultrasonic Cleaner 8890, Cole-Palmer). After that, the samples were shake in an incubator at 130 rpm (Orbital incubators Prendo INO-650 M) for 30, 40 and 50 min. Finally, the samples were sonicated again for 15 min and then filtered.

Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content was assayed using the filtered samples, applying the Folin-Ciocalteau method adapted to microplates [16]. Microplates from 96 wells were used, each containing an aliquot (25 μL) of a suitably diluted sample, 125 μL of deionized water and 20 μL of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent. The mixture was shaken and allowed to stand for 5 min, and then 30 μL of 20 % Na₂CO₃ solution was added. After incubation for 60 min, the absorbance versus a prepared blank was read at 760 nm in a microplate reader (Synergy 2 Microplate reader, Biotek International, software Gen5). The total phenolic content of dried P. serotina fruit (three replicates) was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram on a dry weight basis, using a calibration curve with gallic acid. The calibration curve range was 2.5 to 29.0 μg GA mL⁻¹.

Optimization of the extraction process of phenolic content

The treatments to optimize the extraction of phenolic content from capulin fruits are described in Table 1. For the statistical analysis, two controllable factors were considered: solvent ratio and stirring time. Both levels were determined based on previous investigations [8] and complemented by preliminary tests. Taking in account that the capulin extract can be used as a component in a food, it was decided to explore the extraction using ethanol/water mixtures.

An experimental design with asymmetric factorial arrangement (5X3) was used with three replicates. The statistical model is presented in Equation 1:

\[ SN_{Lij} = \mu + time_i + solvent_j + (time \times solvent) + e_{ij} \]  

Where

\[ SN_L = -10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{n_r \sum_{i=1}^{n_r} y_i^2} \right) \]  

\[ y = \text{Phenolic content expressed in mg GAE g}^{-1} \text{db} \]

\[ n_r = \text{number of replications of the treatment} \]

Equation 2 was applied to maximize the response variable [17]. This transformation maximizes the signal above the noise and has been denominated as “the larger the better”. \( SN_{Lij} \) is the effect of the \( j \)th level of time, of the \( j \)th level of solvent; \( \mu \) = general mean; \( time_i \) = time to the \( i \)th level, \( i = 1, \ldots, 3 \); \( solvent_j \) = solvent to the \( j \)th level, \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \); \( e_{ij} \) = error of the \( i \)th level of time, of the \( j \)th level of solvent. In this case, the objective of the process is to reach the maximum value, and therefore the maximum values obtained by SNL are the optimal ones [18].

To find the optimal levels of the factors evaluated (solvent and stirring time), the average of each run was first obtained. The \( SN_L \) values were obtained by substituting the average of each run into Equation 2. The values calculated according to the experimental design (\( SN_L \)) and the average of the response variable (\( y \)) are presented in Table 2. For ANOVA, the SAS package version 9.1 was used (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Equation 3 describes the general production model for the significant factors [19].

\[ \text{optimal } \hat{y} = y + (F_{11} - y) + (F_{21} - y) + \cdots + (F_{nm} - y) \]  

Where

\[ Optimal \hat{y} = \text{result of phenolic content expressed in mg GAEs}^{-1} \text{db, calculated under optimal conditions} \]

\[ F_{nm} = \text{factor } n \text{ at level } m \]

\[ y = \text{average of the runs} \]

For the prediction of the optimal value, the confidence interval was defined by Equation 4:

Table 1. Levels of the factors of the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>1 ( (a:w) (7:3) )</th>
<th>2 ( (m:w) (4:1) )</th>
<th>3 ( (e:w) (1:1) )</th>
<th>4 ( (e:w) (7:3) )</th>
<th>5 ( (e:w) (4:1) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: solvent ratio (v/v)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: stirring time (min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: acetone; w: water; m: methanol; e: ethanol.
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Where

\[ F(n_1 \times n_2) = \text{value of F for the degrees of freedom } n_1 \text{ and } n_2, \]  
with a confidence level of 0.05, obtained from the table for the F distribution [20].

\[ CI = \text{optimal } \hat{y} \pm \sqrt{\frac{F(n_1 \times n_2)V_e}{N_e}} \]  

(4)

Table 2. Orthogonal arrangement of the experimental design and response variables: \( y, \text{SNL} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( y = \text{mg GAE g}^{-1} \text{db} )</th>
<th>SNL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(a:w) (7:3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.27 ± 2.29</td>
<td>37.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(a:w) (7:3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16.24 ± 0.13</td>
<td>38.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(a:w) (7:3)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16.90 ± 0.81</td>
<td>38.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(a:w) (7:3)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14.94 ± 2.63</td>
<td>37.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(a:w) (7:3)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>(e:w) (4:1)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.72 ± 0.27</td>
<td>37.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>(e:w) (4:1)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.85 ± 0.08</td>
<td>36.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>(e:w) (4:1)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.63 ± 0.71</td>
<td>33.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>(e:w) (4:1)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.32</td>
<td>31.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>(e:w) (4:1)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.10</td>
<td>33.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: acetone; w: water; m: methanol; e: ethanol.

Results and discussion

Phenolic content

The average moisture content of the capulín fruits was 73.00 ± 0.05 %, lower than reported for fruits of *Prunus avium* L [21]. The Box and Whiskers diagram of the phenolic content is shown in Fig. 1. The phenolic content among the extracts ranged from 8.0 to 18 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) db. The highest yields were obtained with acetone:water (7:3, v/v), methanol:water (4:1 v/v) and ethanol:water (1:1). The extraction was favored when the stirring time was increased. The plant material, type of solvent used and extraction method are factors that may affect the yield of extraction of phenolic content [22].

![Graph showing phenolic content](image)

Fig. 1. Box and Whiskers diagram of GAE content in treatment extracts. a:w= acetone:water; m:w= methanol:water; e:w = ethanol:water.
Effect of the solvents and stirring time in the extraction of phenolic content

Tables 3 and 4 present an analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the experimentally obtained data ($y$) and the values calculated from Equation 2 ($SN_L$). Both the factors evaluated and their interaction were significant ($p<0.05$). In the case of the response variable ($y$), the transformation “larger is better” does not identify the significant signals of the noise existing in each run. However, when the variable is transformed $SN_L$, it is possible to detect the differences among the factors. The model used to identify the factors and significant interactions is presented in Equation 1.

Fig. 2 shows the behavior of the mean $SN_L$ of the levels of each factor, as well as their interactions. There was no significant difference among the amount of phenolic content extracted with acetone:water (7:3, v/v), methanol:water (4:1, v/v), and ethanol:water (1:1, v/v). This set of treatments was statistically significantly different from the extracts obtained with ethanol:water (7:3 and 4:1, v/v), however, which had lower yield.

With respect to the stirring time, there was no significant difference between 30 and 40 min, and the lowest yield of phenolic content was obtained when the stirring time was increased to 50 min. Although only slightly lower, the 50 min value presents a statistically significant difference compared to the treatments for 30 and 40 min. This result could be explained by the effect of prolonged exposure to light and the extraction time on phenolic compounds stability [23, 24]. Fig. 3 shows significant interaction ($p \leq 0.05$) between the factors solvent ratio and stirring time.

Table 5 presents the maximum values of the optimal levels of extraction of phenolic content. The optimal value in terms of the experimentally calculated values ($y$) was obtained with Equation 6 derived from Equation 3.

![Fig. 2. Effects of the principal factors in $SN_L$ units. Means with different letters are significantly different (Tukey; $P \leq 0.05$). a:w = acetone:water; m:w= methanol:water; e:w = ethanol:water.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Analysis of variance of the response variable $y$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time x solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$y$ = experimental data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Analysis of variance of the response variable $SN_L$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time x solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$SN_L$ = Values calculated using Equation 2.
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Table 5. Optimal levels of significant factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Optimal process</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: solvent ratio (v/v)</td>
<td>a:w (7:3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m:w (4:1)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e:w (1:1)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Stirring time (min)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: acetone; w: water; m: methanol; e: ethanol

By substituting the significant values of the phenolic content extraction into Equation 6, the maximum level that can be obtained under optimal conditions was found.

\[
\hat{y}_{optimal} = 14.28 + (F_{11} - 14.28) + (F_{12} - 14.28) + (F_{21} - 14.28) + (F_{22} - 14.28) + (F_{31} - 14.28) + (F_{32} - 14.28) = 21.58 \text{ mg GAE g}^{-1}
\]

To calculate the confidence interval (CI), Equation 4 was used, and by substituting the values of the confidence interval at 95%, the following results are obtained:

\[
CI \text{ of optimal } \hat{y} = 21.58 \pm \sqrt{\frac{(4.171) \cdot (1.25)}{45}} \cdot \frac{1}{15} = 21.58 \pm 1.32 \text{ mg GAE g}^{-1}
\]

Where

\[
F (n_1 * n_2) = F_{0.05n_1} = 1, n_2 = \text{ d. f. of the error.}
\]

\[
V_e = \text{ mean squared error, 1.25, } N_e = 45/15(14 \text{ g.1.} + 1).
\]

Total phenolic content analysis

The general mean of the yield of phenolic content was 14.28 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\), and the value calculated for the optimal \( \hat{y} \) was 21.58 ± 1.32 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\). Once the optimal conditions of the process (Table 5) and the confidence interval (CI of optimal \( \hat{y} \)) had been established, the confirmation test was conducted under the optimal parameters. To confirm the efficiency of the process, the method indicates that the result should be found within the previously calculated CI and be higher than the general mean of the yield.

In this case, although the total phenolic content obtained using acetone:water (7:3, v/v), ethanol:water (1:1, v/v) and methanol:water (4:1, v/v) were statistically equal, the test was performed using acetone:water (7:3, v/v) because it gave the numerically highest yields, with a stirring time of 30 minutes. For the quantification of phenolic content under optimal conditions (acetone:water (7:3, v/v), 30 min stirring), a yield of 20.13 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) was obtained. The amount obtained was found to lie within the established interval and was higher than the mean, confirming that the extraction of phenolic content was optimal under these conditions.

The optimal value obtained in this study for phenolic content was higher than the values reported for juices of different cultivars of ripe raspberry (13.82 ± 1.66 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\)), blackberry (13.45 ± 1.43 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\)) and strawberry (12.29 ± 2.02 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\)) [25]; however, that research group used a different extraction procedure from this work. The other authors measured the phenolic content in cranberry fruits using acidified ethanol. The resulting total phenolic content was 1.046 ± 0.16 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\), lower than the value found in this study for capulín fruits using the three ethanol solutions applied.

Conclusions

The Taguchi method made it possible to determine the optimal conditions for the extraction of phenolic compounds from capulín fruit (P. serotina). These conditions were an acetone:water (7:3, v/v) mixture and a stirring time of 30 min. The optimal yield was 20.13 ± 0.86 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\). The stirring time and type of solvent used are factors that can increase or decrease the yields of the phenolic content obtained from the fruits of P. serotina.
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