Study of the Surface Charge of a Porous Clay Heterostructure (PCH) and Its Adsorption Capacity of Alkaline Metals
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Abstract: A Porous Clay Heterostructure (PCH) was prepared by chemical modification of a natural clay, by intercalation of a cationic surfactant. Its surface charge properties as well as its adsorption capacity for alkali metals were studied using a potentiometric titration method. The PCH developed a pH-dependent charge (σH), probably due to the presence of amphoteric silanol groups (SiO2) coming from the pillars of silica interlayered during the pillared process. The PCH presented a region of zero charge in the range of pH of 4.0 to 7.7. The σH acquired by the PCH was adjusted with good correlation to the electric double layer model of Gouy-Chapman (r² = 0.9925). The surface charge conferred the PCH ion exchange capacity for the studied metals (Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) at high pH values (10 < pH), in comparable quantities (3.5 to 6 meq/g) to some Dowex resins. The results of this study suggest that PCH could have applications in the recovery of toxic metals from waste waters.
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Introduction

It is known that contamination of aquatic media damage the ecosystems and human life. Among the highly dangerous inorganic contaminants are the heavy metals, which are able to bioaccumulate in the human organism reaching toxic levels that harm nervous central system, liver and kidneys [1].

A wide variety of techniques to remove toxic metals from water are available, such as ion exchange, reverse osmosis and nanofiltration, precipitation, coagulation, oxidation and adsorption. This last technique is very popular due to its high efficiency and low cost. Several adsorbents can be used to remove metal ions, including activated carbons, alumina, silica, bentonite, peat, chitin and ion exchange resins [2,3].

Recently, the progress in the synthesis of nanostructured materials opens a new area for developing functional adsorbents that can remove toxic metals from aqueous solutions. Since the discovery of Mobil Catalytic Material in 1992 (MCM) [4], a lot of research has been conducted on mesoporous materials. In 1995 Galarrneau et al. [5] applied the MCM technology on natural fluorohectorite clay, obtaining a large pore clay derivative, designated as Porous Clay Heterostructure (PCH) material. In the synthesis of a PCH, the clay is firstly opened up by the introduction of an ionic surfactant (via a cation exchange reaction). Neutral amine co-surfactant molecules are then intercalated along with silica species, which leads to self assembly of the silica around micellar template of surfactant and co-surfactant. After calcination for the removal of organic material, the porous network within interlayer region is being formed [6,7]. PCH is of particular attention, due to its high surface area (250-1000 m²/g), a combination of micro and mesoporosity and also, a good thermal stability and mechanical strength [5].

Since the first preparation of the PCH material in 1995, research has been conducted mainly to study its potential as heterogeneous acid catalyst [5,7-11]. Also, hydrophobic derivatives of PCH have been reported, as a new class of inorganic-organic hybrid PCH, prepared through the surfactant directed assembly of organosilica in the galleries of montmorillonite [12]. These materials have demonstrated adsorption capacity of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [13].

pH-dependent ion exchange and adsorption of aqueous metals capacities of Pillared Interlayered Clay (PILC) and MCM materials, precursors of PCH, have been studied [3,14-20]. However, there are few studies of PCH’s surface charge and metal adsorption properties. In 1998, Mercier and Pinnavaja [21] prepared a functionalized 3-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane PCH that binds Hg²⁺ ions from solution. In 2001, Beijenhoun [22] studied the cation exchange capacitie (CEC) of two PCHs, derived from a natural montmorillonite...
and synthetic saponite. As well, the removal capacity of Cd, Cu, Mn, Ni and Pb with functionalized thiol grafted PCH, was demonstrated in 2008 by Tassanapayak [23].

This work contributes to the evaluation of the surface charge of a PCH, explaining the experimental results by application of the electric double layer theory of Gouy-Chapman. Also its adsorption capacity of alkaline metals from aqueous solutions was estimated [24,25].

Results and Discussion

CEC results

The CEC of the original clay obtained was 75 meq/100 g, this value falls within the range reported for the smectite clay (70 to 130 meq/100g) [26], which suggest that the clay used belongs to this group. The PCH presented a residual CEC of 20 meq/100 g, because most of the exchangeable cations were displaced by the surfactant molecules during the preparation process. The residual CEC represents the net permanent structural charge of the PCH (s_0) [27].

pH-dependent charge (σ_H)

The adsorption isotherms of Na^+ and Cl^- for two ionic strengths of NaCl, are shown in figure 1. The profile of the curves suggests the presence of pH-dependent charge (σ_H), which maybe due to the superficial silanol groups (Si-OH) in the silicon oxide pillars intercalated during the preparation of the PCH; the presence of these groups have been demonstrated on the surface of MCM material [17] and in the silica (SiO_2), precursors of the PCH.

It may be possible that an ion exchange process can be carried out similar to that observed in amphoteric hydrous oxides [27,28]:

\[ \text{Si-OH} + \text{Cl}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Si-OH}^2+ + \text{Cl}^- \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \text{Si-OH} + \text{Na}^+ + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Si-O}^- + \text{Na}^+ \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

Anion exchange (case 1) is favored by low pH, while cation exchange (case 2) is favored at high pH.

It is remarkable that the curves do not cross over in a point, as it happens in the case of oxides [28] and Al-PILCS [18]. Rather, they overlapped in a pH range (4.0 to 7.7), which would represent the region of zero charge, in which the silanol groups would mainly conserve their neutral proton form.

These results are in accordance with those reported by Goyne [27] who found that silica (SiO_2) showed negligible ionic adsorption in the pH range of 2.82 to 7.0, and non anion exchange capacity at any pH. However, the PCH exhibited anion exchange capacity (figure 1), with a maximum value of 3.1 meq/g for Cl^- at equilibrium pH of 1.82 (ionic strength of NaCl 0.1N), which could be due to the high basic character developed by the silanol groups of the PCH in comparison with the silica.

At high pH, the maximum ion exchange capacity of the PCH was 3.8 meq/g for Na^+ at pH 10.7. Therefore, residual CEC (0.2 meq/g) contributed only 4.8% to the total cation exchange capacity of the PCH.

The maximum cation exchange capacity reported for the SiO_2 was 9.1 meq/g at pH of 9.7 [27]. It is probably that the lower ion exchange capacity of the PCH was due to its lower surface area (305.5 m^2/g) with respect to that reported for the silica (700 m^2/g).

Adsorption of alkaline metals by PCH

The profile of the adsorption isotherms for the alkaline metal series was characteristic of a pH-dependent charge (figures 2 and 3). Figure 4 shows the comparison of maximum cation exchange capacities of the studied metals and the equilibrium pH at which they were obtained. PCH presented larger capacity of adsorption for cesium and rubidium, with values of 5.2 and 5.9 meq/g, respectively, compared with the other metals (in meq/g): K^+ (4.7), Na^+ (3.8) and Li^+ (3.5). The order of adsorption capacity found was inverse to the hydrous radii of ions (in Å): Cs^+ (3.29), Rb^+ (3.29), K^+ (3.31), Na^+ (3.58) and Li^+ (3.82) [29], thus indicating that the solvation water molecules, can diminish the electrostatic interactions of the cations with the silanol groups.

The cation exchange capacity of the PCH for the studied metals was higher that the maximum capacity of silica gel reported by Tran [30] for the exchange of metals Pb (II), Cu
Applying the Gouy-Chapman Model

The adsorption isotherm for NaCl 0.1N was adjusted to the electric double layer theory of Gouy-Chapman (equation 3) [16,29]:

$$\sigma_H = \left(2n^0DkT / \pi \right)^{1/2} \sinh(z\psi_o / 2kT)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where:

- $\sigma_H$: pH-dependent surface charge in esu/cm² (esu = electrostatic units).
- $n^0$: counter ion concentration in ions/cm³ = normality $\times$ 10⁻³ × 6.02 × 10²³.
- $D$: dielectric constant = 80 esu²/dm·cm².
- $z$: ion valence.
- $k$: Boltzmann constant = 1.38 × 10⁻¹⁶ erg/ion·K.
- $T$: temperature in K.
- $\psi_o$: surface potential in erg/esu.
- $e$: electron charge = 4.8 × 10⁻¹⁰ esu/ion.
- $\pi$: 3.14159

The surface electric potential ($\psi_o$) was calculated by the Nernst relationship (equation 4) [16,29], assuming that the surface charge was originated only from the adsorption of H⁺ and OH⁻:

$$\psi_o = (RT / F) \ln \left( [H^+] / [H^+]_{ZPC} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Fig. 5. Comparison of the surface charge of PCH as determined by potentiometric titration for NaCl 0.1N with that calculated by the Gouy-Chapman theory. \( r^2 \): determination coefficient; \( \sigma_H \): pH-dependent charge (meq/g); \( \psi_e \): surface potential (mV).

Where:

- \( \psi_e \): surface potential in mV.
- \( R = \) gas constant = 8.314 J/mol K.
- \( F = \) Faraday constant = 96500 J/mol.
- \( T = \) temperature in K.
- \([H^+]=\) proton concentration in mol/l.
- \([H^+]_{ZPC}=\) proton concentration at the zero point of charge in mol/l.

Where 1 mV = 3.336 x 10^-6 erg/esu and 1 meq/cm² = 2.89 × 10^-11 esu/cm².

The adsorption isotherm for NaCl 0.1N (figure 5) presented a good correlation \( (r^2 = 0.9925) \) with the calculated curve using the Gouy-Chapman model. In agreement with this theory, the H⁺ and OH⁻ ions originating by the silanol groups, will supposedly conform the fix layer and will determine the \( \sigma_H \). This superficial charge can acquire positive (pH < 4.0) and negative values (7.7 < pH), which is reflected in the surface potential (\( \psi_0 \)). The Cl⁻ and Na⁺ ions will be attracted when \( \sigma_H \) acquire positive or negative values, respectively, and due to its kinetic energy, they will be distributed to a certain distance of the surface forming the diffuse layer of counter ions [16,29,32].

The adsorption curves of the other studied metals, could also be adjusted to the Gouy-Chapman model. Figure 6 shows an example for the CsCl 0.1N \( (r^2 = 0.9818) \). Therefore, the mechanism of ion exchange is likely similar in all the cases, but the diffuse layer will be conformed by cations of the studied metal.

Fig. 6. Comparison of the surface charge of PCH as determined by potentiometric titration for CsCl 0.1N with that calculated by the Gouy-Chapman theory. \( r^2 \): determination coefficient; \( \sigma_H \): pH-dependent charge (meq/g); \( \psi_e \): surface potential (mV).

Conclusion

The prepared PCH developed pH-dependent charge probably due to the presence of amphoteric silanol groups forming during the pillared process. The PCH had the capacity to bind alkaline metals (Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) at high values of pH by means of electrostatic interactions. Further studies must carry out to support these findings in the case of heavy metals.

Experimental

Host Clay

A bentonite from Mexico was used in this work as host clay for the preparation of a PCH. This clay was chosen because its abundance and low cost in Mexico. In addition, this clay has cationic exchange and swelling properties, suitable for the chemical modification. The sample was supplied by Arcillas Industriales de Durango, S.A. (Durango, México).

Preparation and Characterization of a Porous Clay Heterostructure (PCH)

The procedure used to prepare and characterize the PCH was described in a previous paper [24]. The PCH was prepared using hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (HDTMA), dodecylamine (as co-surfactant) and sodium silicate solution;
all reactants were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (U.S.A.).

The structural formula obtained from the chemical analysis of the PCH was $\text{Ca}_{0.1_{2}}\text{Na}_{0.0_{4}}\text{K}_{0.0_{4}}\text{Si}_{0.4_{8}}(\text{Al}_{2.9}\text{Fe}_{0.2_{0}}\text{Mg}_{0.4_{4}})\text{O}_{2(0)}\text{(OH)_{4}}$.

Characterization of the PCH by X-Ray diffraction and low temperature nitrogen adsorption isotherms, showed a basal spacing $d(001)$ of 2.32 nm, a BET specific surface area of 305.5 $\text{m}^{2}/\text{g}$ and BJH average pore diameter of 3.72 nm.

**Determination of the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)**

The CEC determination was carried out by the ammonium acetate method [25]. 5 g of clay was mixed with 20 mL of 1.0N ammonium acetate solution (pH = 7.0). The suspension was stirred for 24 h with a mechanic stirrer at 25 °C. The mix was filtrated using Whatman paper No. 42, and the clay was washed several times with the same 1.0N ammonium solution and finally with anhydrous ethanol. The filtrates were eliminated. The ammonium saturated clay was leached with 10% potassium chloride solution and the leachates were transferred quantitatively to a 100 mL volumetric flask. An aliquot of 10 mL of this last solution was added to 6 mL of 1.0N sodium hydroxide into a Kjeldhal flask and distilled. 40 mL of distilled were collected in a flask containing 5 mL of 2% boric acid solution. This solution was tritated with standard 0.1N chlorhidric acid in the presence of red methyl-methylene blue indicator. Blanks of the reagents were also analyzed. The CEC was calculated as milliequivalents of the ammonium by 100 g of clay. All reactants were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (USA).

**Potentiometric Titration Curves**

The determination of the pH-dependent charge ($q_{H}$) of the PCH was obtained by the potentiometric titration method described by Dyer and Gallardo [15]. Serial titration curves were made mixing 0.2 g of PCH with 25 mL of aqueous solutions containing (NaCl + HCl) or (NaCl + NaOH), adjusting the final concentration at 0.1N. The process was repeated to obtain a final concentration of 0.01N in order to calculate the zero point of charge. All reactants were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (USA). The mixtures were continuously stirred by an orbital action shaker for 24 h, at 25 °C (preliminary kinetic experiments demonstrated that adsorption equilibrium was completely reached within 24 h). At equilibrium, the suspension pH of each sample was measured with a pH-meter Hanna HI 2210 (HANNA Instruments, USA). Also, the pH of solution blanks was determined following the same approach.

The amount of Na+ or Cl− adsorbed by the PCH sample at any given pH value, was calculated from the differences in the concentrations of OH− or H+, between the blank and the sample suspension at the same amount of alkali or acid added to the sample.

The process was repeated for the other single (salt + acid/ base) solutions (LiCl, KCl, RbCl and CsCl) adjusting the final concentration at 0.1N. All reactants were from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (USA).

The reported results are the average of triplicate measurements. The error bars shown in the figures represent the standard deviation values.
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