Dimeric Boronates Derived from the Reaction of Schiff Bases and Boronic Acids
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A síntese one-pot de complexos diméricos de boro é descrita. Os compostos foram obtidos pela reação de bases de Schiff (ligantes tridentados) com ácido trans-\(\beta\)-fenilvinilborônico, ácido 3-tiofeninoborônico e ácido metilborônico. A construção das estruturas diméricas é favorecida pela presença de ligações de coordenação N\(\rightarrow\)B intramoleculares, resultando na formação de anéis heterocíclicos de dez membros. A análise cristalográfica de raio X de um deles confirmou a natureza dimérica desses compostos.

The one-pot synthesis of dimeric boron complexes is reported. The compounds were obtained by reaction of Schiff bases (tridentate ligands) with trans-\(\beta\)-phenylvinylboronic acid, 3-thiopheneboronic acid and methylboronic acid. Building of the dimeric structures is favored by the presence of intramolecular N\(\rightarrow\)B coordination bonds, resulting in the formation of ten-membered ring heterocycles. An X-ray crystallographic analysis for one of them confirmed the dimeric nature of these compounds.
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Introduction

Schiff bases have been used for a long time in the formation of complexes with a variety of transition metals or main group elements, mainly because they can increase the stability of complexes by means of chelate formation.\textsuperscript{1} Tridentate ligands extensively studied contain the ONO donor set atoms, which form strong covalent bonds with the oxygen atoms and coordinative bonds with nitrogen.\textsuperscript{2} Our studies on the reactivity of this class of ligands towards boronic acids have shown that formation of the different oligomeric compounds depends on the structure of the ligand.

For instance, the reaction of arylboronic acids with the Schiff base derived from salicylaldehyde and 2-aminophenol (\(H_2\)SAP), leads to monomeric compounds,\textsuperscript{3} while the ligands derived from ethanolamine (\(H_2\)SAE), give dimeric compounds in good yields (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{4} It has been noticed that depending on the substituent at the ethanolamine, monomeric or dimeric compounds can be obtained;\textsuperscript{5} in contrast, substituents at the aromatic moiety of the boronic acid do not alter the course of the reaction.\textsuperscript{6} Ferrocenyl groups attached to the boronic acid lead to stable dimeric compounds,\textsuperscript{7} however, when the boron atom is joined to -OH, -NMe\textsubscript{2} or -C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5} groups, the dimeric compounds decompose in the presence of water to give an oxo-dimeric compound whereby an oxygen atom connects the two boron atoms.\textsuperscript{8}
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As an extension of our studies, we synthesized nine new boron complexes derived from Schiff base ligands and boronic acids. The ligands used were derived from ethanolamine and salicylaldehyde (\(2a\)), 2-hydroxyacetophenone (\(2b\)) and 2-hydroxybenzophenone (\(2c\)). Ligands (R'LH\textsubscript{2}) were reacted with trans-\(\beta\)-phenylvinylboronic acid (\(3a-3c\)), methylboronic acid (\(3d-3f\)), and...
3-thiophenylboronic acid (3g-3i), to give the corresponding dimeric boron complexes (R’LBR”)2.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of boron complexes (3a-3i)**

The reaction of ligands 2a-2c with boronic acids was carried out under reflux of methanol. After 1h under stirring, boron complexes 3a-3i were obtained in moderate to good yields (55-93%) (Scheme 2). The dimeric nature of these compounds was established first by mass spectrometry. In all nine cases, the EI-Mass Spectra showed the [M-R]+ ion which corresponds to the loss of the group attached to the boron atom; this pattern is well established in the literature and is characteristic for this type of compounds.5 In contrast to other dimeric boron complexes,8 compounds 3a-3i are stable to hydrolysis, this is attributed to the presence of covalent and coordinative bonds around the boron atom, as well as the non labile nature of the substituent attached to the boron atom. The new compounds are slightly more soluble in organic solvents than similar systems,4 the most soluble ones are the acetophenone derivatives. It should be mentioned that acetophenone derivatives have the lower melting points for the series (below 200 ºC) while those of the other compounds are in the range from 240 ºC to 300 ºC.

**Spectroscopic trends**

IR spectra show bands between 1606 and 1640 cm⁻¹ assigned to the stretching band of the C=N group. The higher values (1638-1640 cm⁻¹) correspond to complexes of the salicylaldehyde derivatives, while those of 2-hydroxybenzophenone (1606-1612 cm⁻¹) appear at lower values. The lower shift observed in 3c, 3f and 3i, is attributed to electronic effects of the phenyl groups present in the azomethine group.7 In all nine cases the NMR spectra showed signals for only half of the molecule, owing to the symmetry of the compounds in solution. For instance, the ¹H NMR spectra show simple signals at δ 8.40, 8.46 and 8.29 ppm corresponding to the azomethine group of salicylaldehyde derivatives 3a, 3d and 3g, all of them shifted to lower fields in comparison to the ligand (δ 8.1), due to nitrogen – boron coordination. 2D NMR spectra were recorded when necessary to establish the correct assignment of the compounds. In contrast to the two triplet signals observed for the methylene groups in the ligands; complexes 3a-3i, showed diastereotopic signals for the CH₂ groups which are observed as an AA’XX’ system due to ring closure. NOESY spectra allowed the correct assignment and it was observed that the 6 is larger for the hydrogens assigned as H9 in comparison with H8. The ¹³C NMR spectra are similar when complexes derived from the same ligand are compared; however, there are significant differences in the chemical shift of the azomethine group when salicylaldehyde, acetophenone and benzophenone complexes are compared. For salicylaldehyde derivatives, this group is shifted to lower frequency (164.6-168.4 ppm) compared to acetophenone and benzophenone derivatives (170.7 to 181.6 ppm) due to the inductive effect of the methyl and phenyl groups. Furthermore, the signal assigned to C9 in salicylaldehyde derivatives, is shifted to low frequency (Δδ ~ 1 ppm), in comparison with the signal for C8. In contrast, for benzophenone and acetophenone derivatives, the signal for C8 is shifted to low frequency (Δδ ~ 10 ppm) in comparison with the signal for C9 due a γ-gauche effect.9

As can be seen in Scheme 2, ligands are joined to the boron atoms through three covalent bonds which include two oxygens and one carbon atom, additionally the nitrogen atom acts as a donor to form a coordination bond the boron atom, which is the Lewis acid. As a result, boron atoms are in a tetrahedral environment. The tetrahedral character of the boron atoms was evidenced from the chemical shifts observed in the ¹¹B NMR spectra, in all cases the signals appear from 2.7 to 11.5 ppm, which is characteristic for this type of complexes.4-8 The presence of the two N→B coordination bonds leads to formation of two six-membered and one ten-membered ring in the complexes.

**X-ray crystallographic analysis**

Crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis of compound 3g were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated C₆H₆ solution from the starting materials.10 Figure 1a shows the molecular structure for 3g and confirms the dimeric nature of this compound. The crystal structure contains two independent molecules and one molecule of...
benzene in the unit cell. As observed for analogous systems, the molecule has a crystallographic inversion center and belongs to the $Ci$ point group, which is in accordance with the symmetry observed in solution by NMR. Furthermore, the two 3-thiophenyl moieties are oriented in a trans disposition to each other, as a result of the symmetry. As shown in Figure 1b, the ten-membered heterocycle has a chair-boat-chair conformation, while the six-membered ring is almost planar. The planarity of the six-membered ring can be seen from the torsion angle values for the C6-C7-N1-B1 (6.37°) and C6-C1-O1-B1 (15.94°) fragments, which are larger than those of compound 1a (3.75 and 12.69°, respectively).

A comparison of bond distances and angles between 3g and compounds 1a and 1b shows no significant differences, therefore, the electronic or steric effects of the substituents attached to the boron atom should be relatively small. Selected bond distances and angles for 3g are depicted in Table 1. For example, the coordination bond between boron and the imino nitrogen atom has a bond distance of 1.625(4)/1.628(4) Å, which is comparable with that observed for compounds 1a and 1b (1.624(3) and 1.632 (5) Å, respectively). As described above, the boron atoms are in a tetrahedral environment, nonetheless it is distorted as deduced from the angles around the boron atom which are in the range from 106.9 to 112.4°. There are also some differences in the angle values, for instance, the N1-B1-C11 angle for compound 3g has a value of 112.4(2)/112.5(2)° which is larger in comparison with that observed for 1a and 1b (108.1(2) and 107.0(3)°, respectively). In contrast, the O2-B1-C11 angle decreases in 3g (109.5(2)/109.7(2)°) in relation to the angles observed for 1a and 1b (111.9(2) and 110.6(4)°, respectively).
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**Figure 1.** (a) Molecular structure of compound 3g. (b) View of the conformation for the ten-membered ring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond distances (Å)</th>
<th>Molecule 1</th>
<th>Molecule 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B(1) - O(1)</td>
<td>1.480(4)</td>
<td>1.477 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(1) - O(2)</td>
<td>1.442 (6)</td>
<td>1.441 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(1) - N(1)</td>
<td>1.625 (4)</td>
<td>1.628 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(1) - C(11)</td>
<td>1.610 (5)</td>
<td>1.615 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1) - C(1)</td>
<td>1.340 (4)</td>
<td>1.342 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2) - C(9)</td>
<td>1.412 (4)</td>
<td>1.401 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1) - C(7)</td>
<td>1.287 (4)</td>
<td>1.284 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angles (°)</th>
<th>Molecule 1</th>
<th>Molecule 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(2) – B(1) – O(1)</td>
<td>112.1 (3)</td>
<td>112.3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2) – B(1) – N(1)</td>
<td>108.3 (2)</td>
<td>108.3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1) – B(1) – N(1)</td>
<td>106.9 (2)</td>
<td>107.0 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2) – B(1) – C(11)</td>
<td>109.5 (2)</td>
<td>109.7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1) – B(1) – C(11)</td>
<td>107.6 (3)</td>
<td>107.4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1) – B(1) – C(11)</td>
<td>112.4 (2)</td>
<td>112.5 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1) – O(1) – B(1)</td>
<td>124.6 (2)</td>
<td>124.6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9) – O(2) – B(1)</td>
<td>118.6 (2)</td>
<td>118.9 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit.

Two types of hydrogen interactions are present in the molecule. There are, two intramolecular C-H···O interactions that connect a CH$_2$ group with an oxygen atom of the opposite side, with a distance of 2.54 Å (Figure 2a). This interaction is weaker than that in compound 1a, whereby the distance is of 2.43 Å.$^{11}$ The above trans annular interactions are probably present in solution, and may be responsible for the shift to high frequency observed for one of the hydrogens at position C9, in the $^1$H NMR spectra. It can be seen in figure 1b that this interaction is favored by the close disposition of O2 and C9. Moreover, at the intermolecular level, CH–O interactions were also found (Figure 2b) in this case between the hydrogen of the azomethine group and the oxygen atom of the ten-membered heterocycle (distance 2.52 Å).

In summary, stable boron compounds were obtained in a one step synthesis. As mentioned above, the reaction pathway for the formation of the dimeric products is neither affect by the presence of different substituents at the boron atom, nor by substituents at the azomethine group. In
addition, the stability of these compounds is increased, compared to analogous systems.8

Instrumental

NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature using a Bruker 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm. Infrared spectra have been recorded on a Perkin Elmer 16F-PC FT-IR spectrophotometer. Electronic Ionization mass spectra were obtained with a HP 5989-A mass spectrometer operating in the electron ionization mode. Melting points were determined with a Gallenkamp MFB-595 apparatus.

X-ray crystallography

Crystal structure determination of 3g. Intensity data were collected at 293 K with an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, Mo Kα-radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å, graphite monochromator. Empirical absorption corrections (DIFABS) were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86)12 and refined using SHELXL-97.13 All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions using a riding model. Crystallographic data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary material No. 254667. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK. E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

Preparative part

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Ligands 2a and 2b were synthesized in accordance to the literature.14

Synthesis of ligand 2c

2c was prepared from 1.50 g (25.2 mmol) of ethanolamine and 5.00 g (25.2 mmol) of 2-hydroxybenzophenone in 20 ml of ethanol. After 30 minutes under reflux, the solvent was removed under high vacuum. A yellow solid was obtained and washed with hexane (4.7 g, 90%); mp 85-87 °C; IR νmax/cm⁻¹ 2636, 2624, 2614, 2502, 1606(C=N), 1598, 1584, 1570, 1554, 1488, 1474, 1466, 1064, 1022, 774, 700 (KBr); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.52-7.46 (m, 3H H-o, p), 7.25 (td, J 7.6 and J 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-4), 7.23-7.19 (m, 2H, H-m), 6.93 (d, J 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.78 (dd, J 7.6 and J 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-6), 6.59 (t, J 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.84 (t, J 5.3 Hz, 2H, H-9), 3.46 (t, J 5.3 Hz, 2H, H-8) ppm.15C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 176.0 (C-7), 164.0 (C-2), 133.4 (C-i), 133.1 (C-4), 131.7 (C-6), 129.3 (C-p), 128.8 (C-o), 127.5 (C-m), 119.3 (C-1), 118.7 (C-3), 117.0 (C-5), 62.1 (C-9), 53.3 (C-8), m/e (%): 241 (M⁺), 240 (44), 224 (43), 210 (100), 196 (33), 152 (26), 107 (47), 91 (89).

General method for the preparation of boron complexes (3a-3i)

Compounds 3a-3i were synthesized from two equivalents of the corresponding ligand (2a-2c), and two equivalents of the boronic acid derivative using MeOH as solvent. After 1 hour under reflux, the water formed during the reaction and part of the solvent were removed with a Dean-Stark trap. Finally, the solvent was completely removed using a vacuum pump and the product was washed with several portions of hexane. Yellow powders were obtained in moderate yields (55 to 93%).

[HLBCH=CHPh]2 (3a). (0.23g, 55%); mp 254-256 °C;
Found: C, 73.37; H, 5.47; N, 4.89%. Calc. For C₄₆H₄₀B₂N₂O₄: C, 78.23; H, 5.66; N, 3.96%. IR ν max/cm⁻¹: 2954, 2908, 1618 (C=O), 1560, 1534, 1314, 1101, 1074 (KBr); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (dd, 3J, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 7.21 (dd, 3J, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.84 (t, 3J, 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.9 (C-7), 137.6 (C-6), 128.4 (C-4), 128.2 (C-5), 118.6 (C-3), 117.9 (C-2), 60.9 (C-9), 51.4 (C-8), 16.7 (C-10). ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, MeOD-d₄) δ 0.7 (br, δ₁₁B = 472 Hz) m/z (%): 603 ([M-CH₂BMe]⁺, 30), 380 (13), 352 (15), 276 (14), 250 (100), 174 (20), 91 (10).

[HLBMe]₂ (3d). (0.52g, 83%); mp 298-301 °C; Found: C, 63.12; H, 6.05; N, 7.09%. Calc. For C₄₆H₄₀B₂N₂O₄: C, 73.56; H, 6.36; N, 7.41%; IR ν max/cm⁻¹: 2954, 2908, 1618 (C=O), 1560, 1534, 1314, 1101, 1074 (KBr); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (dd, 3J, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 7.21 (dd, 3J, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.84 (t, 3J, 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.9 (C-7), 137.6 (C-6), 128.4 (C-4), 128.2 (C-5), 118.6 (C-3), 117.9 (C-2), 60.9 (C-9), 51.4 (C-8), 16.7 (C-10). ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, MeOD-d₄) δ 0.7 (br, δ₁₁B = 472 Hz) m/z (%): 603 ([M-CH₂BMe]⁺, 30), 380 (13), 352 (15), 276 (14), 250 (100), 174 (20), 91 (10).

[DimeBMe]₂ (3e). (0.45g, 75%); mp 184-186 °C; Found: C, 64.93; H, 7.13; N, 6.88%. Calc. For C₄₆H₄₀B₂N₂O₄: C, 65.09; H, 6.90; N, 6.90%; IR ν max/cm⁻¹: 2954, 2908, 1618 (C=O), 1560, 1534, 1314, 1101, 1074 (KBr); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (dd, 3J, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 7.21 (dd, 3J, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.84 (t, 3J, 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-6). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.9 (C-7), 137.6 (C-6), 128.4 (C-4), 128.2 (C-5), 118.6 (C-3), 117.9 (C-2), 60.9 (C-9), 51.4 (C-8), 16.7 (C-10). ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, MeOD-d₄) δ 0.7 (br, δ₁₁B = 472 Hz) m/z (%): 603 ([M-CH₂BMe]⁺, 30), 380 (13), 352 (15), 276 (14), 250 (100), 174 (20), 91 (10).
$J$ 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-9b), 2.19 (s, 3H, B-Me). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 170.7 (C-7), 161.6 (C-2), 132.0 (C-4), 131.3 (C-6), 130.6 (C-5), 129.3 (C-m), 129.0 (C-p), 128.4 (C-o), 120.5 (C-3), 118.7 (C-1), 118.4 (C-3), 131.8 (C-9), 52.2 (C-8). 11B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 11.5 (br, $h_{3g} = 120$ Hz). $m/z$ (%) 515 ([M-Ph]$^+$, 20), 267 (18), 266 (12), 265 (40), 250 (100), 234 (27), 229 (19), 146 (13).

[HLB(3-C$_4$H$_3$S)$_2$] (3g). (0.106g, 68%); mp 280-281 °C; Found: C, 60.87; H, 4.37; N, 4.89%. Calc. for C$_{38}$H$_{32}$B$_2$N$_2$O$_4$S$_2$: C, 68.51; H, 4.80; N, 5.44%; IR $\nu_{max}$/cm$^{-1}$: 2966, 2930, 2850, 1640 (C-N), 1558, 1312, 1210, 1156, 1148, 1140, 1122, 1026, 988, 772 (KBr); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 120.5 (C-5), 118.7 (C-1), 118.6 (C-3), 63.8 (C-9), 52.2 (C-8). 11B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.0 (br, $h_{3g} = 142$ Hz). $m/z$ (%) 583 ([M-C$_4$H$_3$S]$^+$, 11), 523 (10), 421 (16), 334 (26), 250 (100), 174 (19), 91 (36).
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