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Abstract

Founder and Director of the Media Archaeology Lab (MAL) University of Colorado-Boulder (CU-Boulder), United States of America (USA), Lori Emerson discusses both its history from 2008 to the present and its flexible philosophy of using hands-on access to so-called “old media” as a way to drive teaching, research, and artistic practice. Emerson also provides an OVERVIEW of this laboratory’s holdings, research projects as well as the artist residencies, all of them essential elements for MAL’s mission.
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Introduction

The Media Archaeology Lab (MAL) came to life in 2008-2009 at the Colorado University at Boulder (CU-Boulder), Colorado, United States of America (USA). At that time, the field of media archaeology had not yet become well known in North America and the lab was nothing more than a small room on the university campus that contained fifteen Apple IIe© computers, floppy drives, and copies on 5.25” floppy disks of a work I had come to admire very much: First Screening (bpNichol 1983), one of the first (if not the first) digital kinetic poems created by the Canadian experimental poet bpNichol1 (Figures 1 and 2). I began the lab, then, partly because I wanted to start experimenting with stockpiling hardware and software as a complimentary preservationist strategy to creating emulations such as the one of First Screening that had recently been made available. Without being aware of the very nascent debates in digital archivist communities in the USA and Canada (LV 2013) that were then pitting emulation against original hardware/software, I wanted to augment students’ and scholars’ access to early works of digital literature and art while also collecting other works and their original platforms in order to eventually make available emulations of these works.

However, I also created the lab because I wanted to bring in small undergraduate and graduate classes to work directly on the machines, with the original work by bpNichol, rather than only study the emulated version. In other words, the lab allowed me to think through with my students the difference the original material, tactile environment makes to our understanding of First Screening. It was a straightforward enough experiment, but even now in 2015, the implications of this kind of work are far reaching and unsettling to the discipline of literary studies. The foregoing first involves turning away from close reading and from studying literary products (as surface effects), to studying instead the literary production process —looking at how a literary work was made and how the author pushed up against the limits and possibilities of particular writing media—. From there, the ramifications of such an approach start to become more obvious as soon as one realizes that learning and teaching “the how” of literary production cannot take place without access to the tools themselves in a hands-on lab environment. That said, while using hands-on work not just as an added feature but as the driving force behind teaching and research is quite new to the humanities, the production-oriented approach to creating literature has been around in one form or another since the early twentieth century (work by Futurist F.T. Marinetti [2013] and Dadaist Tristan Tzara [2005] are pertinent examples). As many are fond of pointing out, nearly all foundational media studies scholars —such as Marshall McLuhan (1964) and Friedrich Kittler (1985)— were first literary scholars. Moreover, one can read the long history of experimental writers, especially poets, as one that is inherently about experimenting with writing media—whether pens, pencils, paper or typewriters and personal computers.

Since my academic background is in twentieth century Canadian and American experimental poetry and poetics, the move to exploring the materiality of early digital poetry was a logical next step. Furthermore, once my attention turned to the intertwinement of First Screening
with the Apple IIe\textsuperscript{2}, it likewise made sense to add to the lab’s collection other, comparable personal computers from the early 1980s such as the Commodore 64\textsuperscript{3} —at least partly to get a sense of why bpNichol might have chosen to spend $1395 on the IIe\textsuperscript{2} rather than $595 on the C64\textsuperscript{3}. (The answer likely lies in the fact that the IIe\textsuperscript{2} was one of the first affordable computers to include uppercase and lowercase along with an 80-column screen, rather than the C64\textsuperscript{3}’s 40-column display for uppercase letters only.)

In these early years, I tried to sell the lab to the larger public by saying that it was an entity for supporting a locavore approach to sustaining digital literature —a pitch I also hoped justified our very modest online presence while also underscoring the necessity of working directly with the machines in the lab rather than accessing, say, an Apple IIe or Commodore 64 emulator online. In fact, just a few years before the lab began, two online-only electronic literature directories came into being: the Electronic Literature Directory (ELD n.d.) and the Electronic Literature as a Model of Creativity (ELMCIP n.d.) —as a way to preserve and provide broad online access to works of e-literature created since the advent of the internet. However, as I pointed out when the lab began, no archive can ever, nor should it ever aspire to, be universal and complete; and while both directories catalogue earlier works of e-literature, an obvious stumbling block that neither one has been able to entirely overcome is the material specificity of works created before the internet and the domination of the Graphical User Interface. And, as such, the materiality of a poem like First Screening cannot be preserved under the current model of online directories. Instead, what the ELD (n.d.) and the ELMCIP (n.d.) have done —in fact, all they can do— is point to works such as Nichol’s, gesture to them, but not preserve them.

Thus, from 2009 until 2012, the Archaeological Media Lab maintained its modest collection of early digital literature and hardware/software from the early 80s and gradually increased its network of supporters - from Ebay sellers who had become ardent supporters of the lab, to students and faculty from disciplines ranging from Computer Science, Art, Film Studies, and English Literature, to digital archivists. However, 2012 was a turning point for the lab for a number of reasons: first, and most importantly, the lab was given a 1000 square foot space in the basement of an older home on the edge of campus, making it possible for the lab to become the open-ended, experimental space it is today with the largest collections of still-functioning media in North America; second, I renamed the lab the Media Archaeology Lab (MAL) to better align it with the field of media archaeology I was then immersed in; and third, the MAL became a community enterprise no longer synonymous just with me - now the lab has an international advisory board of scholars, archivists, and entrepreneurs which I consult every six months, CU-Boulder faculty fellows, a regularly rotating cohort of undergraduate interns, graduate research assistants, post-graduate affiliates, and volunteers from the general public.

Holdings and Actuality

In terms of its holdings, the MAL now boasts a sprawling collection of functioning personal computers and gaming consoles from the mid-1970s through the early-2000s; games as well as educational, creative, and productivity software on cartridges, cassette tapes, and floppy disks; printed matter including journals, magazines, manuals, and books on anything related to computing and creative/humanities computing from the 1950s to the present; word processors; manual and electric typewriters; fax machines; cell phones; and a wide range of analog media from a 1910 magic lantern, a 1912 Edison Diamond Disc Phonograph\textsuperscript{4}, to projectors, record players, 8-track players, cassette tape players, and calculators (Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6). Not surprisingly, then, the MAL continues to have a flexible acquisitions policy for as long as we have room, we continue to collect and accept donations of functioning media of all kinds. And for now, our flexibility is our strength for in the lab you can see how seemingly different media of all kinds that are conventionally seen as distinct from each other are actually part and parcel of the same line of thought. For example, the discs that came with the Edison\textsuperscript{4} phonograph include a prominent warning label forbidding consumers from playing Edison\textsuperscript{4} discs on any other phonograph machine; ostensibly, one would destroy the diamond point and the discs if one attempts to mix “platforms” — say, Victrola\textsuperscript{5} with the Edi-

\textsuperscript{2} The Apple IIe was released by Apple Inc. in 1983 and discontinued in 1993. It had 64 KB of RAM and its operating system was DOS.

\textsuperscript{3} The Commodore 64 was released by Commodore Inc. in 1982 and discontinued in 1994. It had 64 KB of RAM and its operating system was Commodore BASIC.

\textsuperscript{4} The Edison Diamond Disc Phonograph is a 1912 phonograph machine.

\textsuperscript{5} The Victrola is a 1910 magic lantern.
son© machine. In other words, Edison© paved the way for Bill Gates and Steve Jobs in the way he created a proprietary technology as a way of edging out the competition and preventing cross-platform compatibility.

However, despite its impressive collection of functioning media, the MAL is explicitly not a museum. Instead, it is an open-ended space for hands-on training in accessioning, cataloguing, archiving, and exhibiting. It also generates research for graduate students and researchers—for example, students currently affiliated with the lab are working on projects and/or dissertation chapters on scanners, digital literature, videogame ROM (read-only memory) hacking, and early digital art/literature—. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the lab also hosts an art-
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ist residency series, inaugurated by Mél Hogan in 2013, as yet another means by which to encourage hands-on experimentation.

Conclusions

To date, the MAL has hosted about a dozen artists and writers from North America and Europe who have produced projects ranging from games on video cassette recorder (VCR), live sound performances, distributed works of digital literature, and visual works of art.

We have come to see that having artists as well as humanists involved in the ongoing process of creating/building the lab is an effective way to intervene in the science-dominated culture of labs that are, almost always procedurally rigid, hierarchical, as well as tightly controlled spaces closed to anyone (member of the public or member of the institution) not affiliated with the research group. In this regard, we do not want the MAL to emulate the sciences and we do not want to give our lab the veneer of scientific work as a way to legitimize ourselves or attract funding. Instead, we are intentionally creating a porous, flexible, creative space for (again) doing as an instigator for rigorous thinking in whatever register participants would like.
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