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**ABSTRACT**

**Background.** Low helminth diversity has been reported in West Indian manatees (*Trichechus manatus*). Most studies were conducted on the Florida sub species *T. m. latirostris* in subtropical environments, therefore limited information is available for the tropical Antillean subspecies *T. m. manatus*. In Mexico, there are apparently two population units of *manatus*. **Goals.** The objective of this study was to survey the presence and prevalence of helminth parasite eggs in the feces of *T. m. manatus* from the Gulf of Mexico (GM) and Caribbean coasts (CAR) of Mexico. **Methods.** We used 31 fecal samples collected from GM (n = 22) and CAR (n = 9), from 2005 to 2008. Feces were fixed and preserved in 70% ethanol until analysis. Both floatation and sedimentation techniques were used. Helminth eggs were identified using specialized literature and graphic catalogs. **Results.** We found parasite eggs in 61.2% of feces; all samples from CAR had helminths. We documented eggs from five helminths: three digeneans (*Chiorchis fabaceus*, *C. groschafti*, and *Pulmonicola cochleotrema*) and two Nematoda (*Heterocheilus tunicatus* and *Ascarididae* gen. sp.). Two species were found exclusively from GM, one exclusively from CAR, and two species were common to both locales. **Conclusions.** Diversity was consistently low in the samples. Four of the species found are common to Florida and Caribbean islands and one is a new registry for this host. Future examination of manatee carcasses could confirm the presence of adult helminth species for new registries for this host.
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**RESUMEN**

**Antecedentes.** En el manatí del Caribe (*Trichechus manatus*) se reporta baja diversidad de helmintos y la mayoría de los estudios se han realizado en la sub especie de Florida (*T. m. latirostris*) en ambientes subtropicales, por lo que existe poca información para la subespecie Antillana (*T. m. manatus*). En México, al parecer existen dos unidades poblacionales de manatí Antillano. **Objetivos.** El objetivo de este estudio fue determinar la presencia y prevalencia de huevos de helmintos parásitos en heces de *T. m. manatus* del Golfo de México (GM) y la costa del Caribe Mexicano (CAR). **Métodos.** Se utilizaron muestras de heces de 31 manatíes, tanto de GM (n = 22) como del CAR (n = 9), de 2005 a 2008. Las heces se fijaron y preservaron en alcohol etílico al 70% hasta su análisis. Las técnicas utilizadas fueron de flotación y sedimentación. Los huevos de helmintos se identificaron apoyándose en literatura especializada y catálogos gráficos. **Resultados.** En el 61.2% de las heces analizadas se encontraron parásitos, todas las muestras de CAR contenían parásitos. Se registraron huevos de cinco especies de helmintos: tres digéneos (*Chiorchis fabaceus*, *C. groschafti* y *Pulmonicola cochleotrema*) y dos nemátodos (*Heterocheilus tunicatus* y *Ascarididae* gen. sp.). Dos especies fueron exclusivas para GM, una para CAR y dos fueron comunes a ambas áreas. **Conclusiones.** La diversidad fue consistentemente baja en las muestras. Cuatro especies fueron comunes con Florida y las islas del Caribe y una es nuevo registro para este hospedero. Futuros estudios parasitológicos en cadáveres frescos confirmarán la existencia de helmintos adultos para los nuevos registros en este hospedero.
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INTRODUCTION

The main objective of studying parasites of wild mammals is to determine their effect on the health and ecology of populations (Samuel et al., 2001). In manatees (Sirenia: Trichechidae), however, studies of parasites are relatively scarce (Marsh et al., 2012). The Florida manatee (Trichechus manatus latirostris, Harlan 1824), in subtropical latitudes, has been the most studied (Bando et al., 2014), because many dead manatees are recovered and available for examination. Some studies have been conducted on Antillean manatees (Trichechus m. manatus, Linnaeus 1758) in Cuba (Coy-Otero, 1989), Puerto Rico (Mignucci-Giannoni et al., 1999a,b), and the Dominican Republic (Colón-Llavina et al., 2009). Only six species of helminth parasites have been reported in T. m. manatus: five digenetic and one Nematoda (Marsh et al., 2012). Searching for eggs in feces is a viable and non-invasive technique to describe helminth diversity in manatees (Bando et al., 2014).

The species Trichechus m. manatus is listed as endangered, both internationally (Self-Sullivan & Mignucci-Giannoni, 2008) and in Mexico (SEMARNAT, 2010). The largest manatee population in Mexico inhabits the southern region of the Gulf of Mexico, within the states of Tabasco, northern Chiapas, southern Campeche (Colmenero & Hoz, 1986), and the Caribbean coast of Quintana Roo (Morales-Vela & Olivera-Gómez, 1997; Morales-Vela et al., 2000). Recently, Nourisson et al. (2011) demonstrated genetic evidence of discreteness between these two populations in Mexico. In this study, we examined the presence and prevalence of helminth eggs in the feces of T. m. manatus in Mexico, from populations of the Gulf of Mexico (inland population, inhabiting freshwater systems) and the Caribbean (inhabiting mostly brackish and marine water).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From 2005 to 2008, we collected fecal samples from 31 T. m. manatus in different localities in the Southern Gulf of Mexico (GM) and Caribbean coasts (CAR) in Mexico (Fig. 1). We analyzed 22 samples from 10 localities in the state of Tabasco in GM and 9 samples from two localities of the state of Quintana Roo in CAR (Table 1, Fig. 1). Within GM, samples came from two river basins, Grijalva (GV) and Usumacinta (USU) and within CAR, samples came from two coastal bays, Bahía de Chetumal (BCH) and Bahía de Ascensión (BA). All CAR samples and nine from GM were taken from manatees captured for health-assessment projects, immediately after the individual excreted during handling. For the other samples, one was taken from a rescued animal, four came from necropsies, four were from animals in captivity, and four samples were from fresh feces found floating on waterways. Fecal samples collected in dead stranded animals were taken from the latest newly formed stools with a greenish consistency, directly from the large intestine. Collected feces were placed in sterile containers with a capacity of 100 ml, with a tight lid and fixed and stored in 70% ethylic alcohol until analyses.

A qualitative flotation technique (saline saturated solution) was used to isolate eggs from stool samples. This technique involves a solution with a higher density than the eggs in the sample. We used 2 to 5 g of homogenized stool sample and mixed it with 20 ml of saline saturated solution in a stirring device and filtered same through a mesh to a test tube until forming a convex meniscus. We then gently placed a coverslip on top of the test tube. After 20 min we carefully lifted off the coverslip from the tube and placed it on a microscope slide to observe it. We also used the sedimentation (formalin-ether) technique where the

![Figure 1. Study area at Southeastern Mexico. Points are sampling localities within the Gulf of Mexico (GM) and Caribbean coasts (CAR). Subregions of GM are the Usumacinta River Basin (USU) and Grijalva River Basin (GV). Subregions of CAR are Bahía de Chetumal (BCH) and Bahía de Ascensión (BA).](image-url)
eggs are not distorted but rather deposited by gravity at the bottom of the container. We used 2 to 5 g of stool sample in 10 ml of 10% formalin, filtered through a mesh. We added 6 ml of the suspension in 3 ml of ether in a centrifuge tube and mixed at 3000 rpm for 3 m. Then we decanted the final solution and an aliquot was taken with a Pasteur pipette and placed on a microscope slide to observe it (Lamothe-Argumedo, 1997; Cruz-Reyes & Camargo-Camargo, 2001; Foreyt, 2001).

Eggs were identified under a microscope, using specialized literature and graphic catalogs (Travassos et al., 1969; Dailey et al., 1988; Coy-Otero, 1989; Foreyt, 2001; Bando et al., 2014) and measured to µm with a ruled ocular. Microphotographs of representatives were taken with a digital camera. Empirc prevalence was calculated following the concepts of Bush et al. (1997), i.e., the number of hosts infected with one or more species of parasites divided by the number of hosts examined. Qualitative similitude within the sampling region was estimated by cluster analysis, using the UPGMA algorithm and Jaccard’s index (Moreno, 2001), with MVSP software 3.22 (Kovach Computing Services, Kovach, 2010).

RESULTS

Five species of helminths were identified, three digenetic and two nematode. Digenea: Chiorchis fabaceus (Diesing, 1938) Fischoider, 1901 (n=12, Fig. 2A), a large ovoid, almost elliptical, egg, 169.0 x 101.3 (148-180 x 90-120), a thin membrane covering the egg, operculated side, barrel shaped with symmetrical walls. Chiorchis groschafti Otero, 1989 (n=18, Fig. 2B) a smaller egg, 97.5 x 69.5 (80-108 x 60-71), same characteristics of C. fabaceus.

Pulmonicola cochleotrema (Travassos & Vogelsang, 1931) Batron & Blair, 2005, (n = 23, Fig. 2C) very small eggs, ovoid, thin covered, 18.6 x 10.2 (17-22 x 10-12), with a filament extended on each pole, the operculum is located on the base of the filament of the wider pole.

Nematodes, Heterocheilus tunicatus Diesing, 1839 (n = 24, Fig. 2D) a reddish egg, slightly ovoid with a thick, rough wall, 160.4 x 149.1 (150-170 x 140-160), content does not fill the full eggshell. Ascarididae gen. sp. Baird, 1853 (n = 1, Fig. 2E) an ovoid egg, compressed on both poles, 50 x 30, thick and smooth reddish wall.

From the 31 individuals samples, 19 (61.2%) were infected by at least one helminth. Ten animals from GM (45.4%) and the whole CAR sample (100%) were infected. Four helminth species were found in GM samples and three from CAR. Among the species found, in the CAR sample, C. groschafti had the highest prevalence (66.6%), followed by H. tunicatus (44.4%) and P. cochleotrema (33.3%) (Fig. 3). In GM sample, P. cochleotrema had the highest prevalence (22.7%) followed by C. fabaceus (18.1%) and H. tunicatus (13.6%) (Fig. 3). Parasites in the individual samples varied from 0 to two species (mean = 0.83 species/sample). Seven samples had two species (22.5%), twelve samples had one species (38.7%), and twelve samples were free (38.7%) of parasites.

In terms of similarity, the generated cluster separated GM and CAR regions at an index ca. 0.30 (Fig. 4). Subregions in CAR (BA and BCH) were more similar than those in GM (GV and USU) (Fig. 4). Three species were shared by both populations, two were found only in GM samples, and one species only in CAR samples (Fig. 3). The species with higher prevalence differed among populations.

Figures 2a-e. Helminth eggs found in feces of Trichechus manatus manatus: a) Chiorchis fabaceus (169.0x101.3 µm). b) Chiorchis groschafti (97.5 x 69.5 µm). c) Pulmonicola cochleotrema (18.6x10.2 µm). d) Heterocheilus tunicatus (160.4x149.1 µm). e) Ascarididae gen. sp. (50x30 µm).
DISCUSSION

Helminth parasite diversity in *T. m. manatus* is consistently low. There are reports of only six species in the literature (Marsh et al., 2012). This could be a result of small samples, limited research, and a focus on feces or content of the digestive tract, but also due to the habits of the species, whose distribution tends toward freshwater environments, while its herbivorous diet is mainly fibrous plants. As a comparison, 22 species of helminths are reported for the dugong *Dugong dugon* (Müller, 1776) (Sirenia: Dugongidae) (Beck & Forrester, 1988), which is a marine species. Four of the species found in this study, *C. fabaceus*, *C. groschafti*, *P. cochleotrema*, and *H. tunicatus* were reported as adult parasites of dead manatees in Florida (Beck & Forrester, 1988), Cuba (Coy-Otero, 1989), Puerto Rico (Mignucci-Giannoni et al., 1999a), the Dominican Republic (Mignucci-Giannoni et al., 1999b), Brazil (Carvalho et al., 2009), and Mexico (González-Solís & Vidal-Martínez, 2004).

Bando et al. (2014) described eggs from six helminth species in *T. m. latirostris*: *H. tunicatus; C. fabaceus; C. groschafti; P. cochleotrema; Moniligerum blairi* Dailey, Vogelbein & Forrester, 1988, and *Nudacotyle undicola* Dailey, Vogelbein & Forrester, 1988. The eggs we analyzed coincided with descriptions and measurements taken by Bando et al. (2014) for *C. fabaceus* 169x110 (160.4-178.2 x 98-118.8), *C. groschafti* 98x70 (93.5-104.5 x 49.5-74.8), *P. cochleotrema* 22.4x10.5 (20.9-24.2 x 8.8-11), and *H. tunicatus* 154x155 (124.7-190.1 x 127.7-193.1).

Members of the Paramphistomidae family are often found in *T. m. manatus*, *C. fabaceus*, and *C. groschafti* in Florida, Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, and the east coast of the Yucatan Peninsula. *C. fabaceus* had been reported in the three species of the Trichechiidae family: *T. manatus, T. inunguis* (Natterer, 1883), and *T. senegalensis* (Link, 1795) (Marsh et al., 2012). Individuals of *Chiorchis* sp. inhabit the digestive tract, mainly in the colon and cecum. Their life cycle has not yet been studied, but it is thought that manatees ingest them by consuming small snails with cercarias (digenea larvae) that are on the aquatic plants they feed on (Raga et al., 2009).

Digenea *P. cochleotrema* from the Opisthotrematidae family is described from nostrils, trachea, and bronchi of manatees (Marsh et al., 2012). This species is registered from *T. m. manatus* in Florida (Beck & Forrester, 1988) and from *T. m. manatus* in México, Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, and Brazil (Mignucci-Giannoni et al., 1990b; González-Solís & Vidal-Martínez, 2004; Colon-Llavina et al., 2009; Carvalho et al., 2009).

The ascarid nematode *H. tunicatus* belongs to the Heterocheilidae subfamily, which is common in aquatic reptiles (especially in crocodiles) and in sirenian mammals (Anderson, 2000). These adult helminths have been recorded from Florida (Beck & Forrester, 1988), Puerto Rico (Mignucci-Gianonni et al., 1999a), the Dominican Republic (Mignucci-Gianonni et al., 1999b), and the eastern Yucatan Peninsula (González-Solís & Vidal-Martínez, 2004), in great numbers throughout the digestive tract, mainly in the stomach. Sanvicente (2005) reported eggs of *H. tunicatus* in feces found along the Caribbean coasts of Mexico (Quintana Roo) and from the Gulf of Mexico (Tabasco and Campeche). Jueco (1977) previously suggested that manatees feed on the intermediate hosts when consuming vegetation.
Eggs of the parasites that we attribute solely to the Ascarididae gen. sp. family have an unknown origin. In the Gulf of Mexico localities, domestic animals like cows, horses, dogs, and cats are often found along the waterways and lakes; many lakes and freshwater systems are lentic (low energy) and receive wastes from urban and rural communities, which could favor some species of parasites.

Necropsies of manatees from the Caribbean coast of Mexico, where manatees inhabit coastal environments, often found greater numbers of nematodes and digenea in the digestive tract. However, in the Gulf of Mexico, where manatees are found almost exclusively within freshwater, the parasite load is low and just one adult species had been registered, the *C. tabaceus* (Hernández-Olascoaga & Olivera-Gómez, pers. com.) digenea.

Other reported species of digenea, *Nudacotyle undicola* and *Moniliger umbilairi* or coccidea, *Eimeria manatus* Upton, Odell, Bossart & Walsh, 1989 and *E. nodulosa* Upton, Odell, Bossart & Walsh, 1989 (Beck & Forrester, 1988; Upton et al., 1989) were not observed as eggs or as other forms in our study. The sample size could influence the diversity found, since more samples were analyzed for the GM population. However, this study supports evidence that manatee helminth fauna is not diverse (Raga et al., 2009) compared to other aquatic mammals like cetacean or pinnipeds (Foreyt, 2001; Raga et al., 2009).

The differences of helminth fauna between the two regions are in keeping with the genetic information of the limited movements of individuals between the regions (Nourisson et al., 2011) and indicate that different ecological pressures act upon the populations. These differences also support the idea that both populations should be managed separately. Despite their low diversity, future studies on local helminth communities, which could favor some species of parasites.
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