

## Conspecificity of *Eisenia desmarestioides* and *E. masonii* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) from Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, Mexico

## Conespecificidad de *Eisenia desmarestioides* y *E. masonii* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) de Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, México.

Paul C. Silva

University Herbarium, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-2465, U.S.A. [psilva@berkeley.edu](mailto:psilva@berkeley.edu)

Paul C. Silva. 2008. Conspecificity of *Eisenia desmarestioides* and *E. masonii* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) from Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, Mexico. *Hidrobiológica* 18 (2): xxx-xxx.

**Resumen.** El examen de varios talos enteros de *Eisenia* de Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, México, mostró que *E. desmarestioides* y *E. masonii*, dos especies previamente descritas para la isla por Setchell y Gardner, representan formas de crecimiento de una sola especie, por lo que el nombre *E. desmarestioides* es retenido. Las frondas del tipo *desmarestioides* se desarrollan inicialmente a partir de crecimientos en las partes basales de los pseudoestípites. Ellos encierran las frondas del tipo *masonii*, las cuales se desarrollan a partir de crecimientos distales en los pseudoestípites a los *desmarestioides* iniciales. No se conoce el significado de este dimorfismo.

**Palabras clave:** *Eisenia arborea*, *E. desmarestioides*, *E. masonii*, Isla Guadalupe, dimorfismo

**Abstract.** Examination of several entire thalli of *Eisenia* from Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, Mexico, shows that *E. desmarestioides* and *E. masonii*, two species previously described from that island by Setchell and Gardner, represent growth forms of a single species, for which the name *E. desmarestioides* is retained. *Desmarestioides*-type blades develop from outgrowths initiated basally from pseudostipites. They subtend *masonii*-type blades, which develop from outgrowths initiated from pseudostipites distal to the *desmarestioides* initials. The significance of this dimorphism is not known.

**Key words:** *Eisenia arborea*, *E. desmarestioides*, *E. masonii*, Isla Guadalupe, dimorphism

In Silva and Chacana (2005) the suggestion was made that *Eisenia desmarestioides* and *E. masonii*, two species described from Isla Guadalupe in the same publication by Setchell and Gardner (1930), were conspecific. The documentation for this suggestion is presented here.

All specimens used in this study are housed in the Herbarium of the University of California, Berkeley (UC), having been placed there either directly or by transfer in 1972 of the algae from the California Academy of Sciences (CAS) or by acquisition in 2004 of the algae formerly housed first at the Allan Hancock Foundation Herbarium (AHFH) and later at the Herbarium of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LAM). Herbarium specimens were scanned with high resolution and manipulated in Photoshop.

In 1925, the California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco) mounted an expedition to explore the Revillagigedo Archipelago off the Pacific coast of Mexico (Hanna 1926). The U.S. Navy generously provided the ship, the U.S. Ortolan, a mine sweeper. The botanist on the scientific staff was Herbert L. Mason, at that time Professor of Botany at Mills College, Oakland, California.

En route to the Revillagigedo Archipelago, the expedition spent three days at Isla Guadalupe (19-22 April 1925). On 20 April four dredge hauls were made from the sandy bottom of Caleta Melpómene ( $28^{\circ} 53.2'N$ ,  $118^{\circ} 16.3'W$ ), but no kelps were retrieved. When the anchor was hoisted, however, several fragmentary blades were entangled. These fragments show varying degrees of pinnate dissection. Setchell and Gardner (1930), when studying the algae obtained by this expedition, sorted the fragments and



Figure 1. Isotype of *Eisenia masonii* (CAS 482551 in UC). Collected by Herbert L. Mason (#5) at Isla Guadalupe, Baja California, Mexico in April 1925.



Figure 2. Holotype of *Eisenia desmarestioides* (CAS 173701 in UC). Collected by Herbert L. Mason (#6) at Isla Guadalupe in April 1925.



Figure 3. *Eisenia masonii* (AHFH 19039 in UC). Collected by Carl L. Hubbs (#46-152) at 45-49 m depth on 7-8 December 1946 at Isla Guadalupe and determined by E.Y. Dawson. Annotated as "fertile!" by E. Y. Dawson.

found that all but one formed a morphological cline, varying in degree of pinnate dissection but with the pinnae consistently broad. The exceptional fragment had primary and secondary pinnae that were consistently narrow. On the broadly pinnate blades, Setchell and Gardner found sori with sporangia and para-



Figure 4. *Eisenia masonii* (AHFH 19041 in UC). Same data as for Figure 3.

physes typical of members of the Laminariales. The narrowly pinnate fragment was sterile. Setchell and Gardner decided that the fragmentary blades belonged to a kelp in the family Alariaceae, possibly *Eisenia*. They proceeded to describe the broadly pinnate fragments as *Eisenia* (?) *masonii* and the narrowly pinnate fragment as *Eisenia* (?) *desmarestioides*. The fragments selected by those authors to illustrate the new species are strikingly different.




Figure 5. *Eisenia desmarestioides* (AHFH 19098 in UC). Collected by Carl L. Hubbs (#H46-201) at the south end of Isla Guadalupe on 16 August 1946 and determined by E. Y. Dawson.




Figure 6. *Eisenia desmarestioides* (UC 1716993). Collected by P.C. Silva (#6381) at West Anchorage, Isla Guadalupe in the upper subtidal zone on 28 January 1950. Note dimorphic (*desmarestioides* and *masonii*) types.



Figure 7. *Eisenia desmarestioides* (AHFH 79197 in UC). Collected by John Hansen at Islote Negro, off east side of Isla Guadalupe at 6 m depth on 16 December 1972. Arrow indicates point of insertion of *desmarestioides* type blade at base of pseudostipe. The other blades are of the *masonii* type.



Figure 8. Juvenile *Eisenia desmarestioides* (AHFH 79198 in UC). Same collection data as for figure 7. Note two *desmarestioides* type blade initials at base of primary blade.

The fragment representing *E. masonii* (Fig. 1) is pinnately divided with broad dentate pinnae, the older of which are stipitate and bear small dentate pinnules. The fragment (and only representative) of *E. desmarestioides* (Fig. 2) has abundant pinnate divisions, the primary pinnae being long and narrow, with an abundance of long and narrow dentate pinnules.

A fragmentary blade with very long and narrow simple pinnae was collected at the same site on Isla Guadalupe by Carl L. Hubbs in August 1946 while on the Flynn-Zaca Expedition. This specimen (Fig. 5) was identified by E. Yale Dawson as *E. desmarestioides*. Two additional fragmentary blades (Figs. 3, 4) were collected by Hubbs in December 1946 while on the E. W. Scripps Expedition.



Figure 9. *Eisenia desmarestioides* (UC 1716997). Dredged at South Anchorage, Isla Guadalupe by P. C. Silva (#6382) on 29 January 1950. Well-developed *desmarestioides* type morphology.

Both were referred to *E. masonii* by Dawson. The latter collection was the first to provide information on the depth of occurrence (24.5-27 fms = 45-49 m). Stewart and Stewart (1984) reported that *Eisenia* was the only conspicuous seaweed at depths of 20-33 m in an unmarked inlet near Caleta Melpomene that they named "Chaetodon Cove". A specimen from this collection, which was not used in this study, was deposited at CMMEX (Herbario, Facultad de Ciencias Marinas, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California).

In cooperation with the San Diego Natural History Museum, the J. W. Sefton Foundation sponsored an expedition to the islands off the coast of Baja California in January 1950, operating with the R.V. Orca. As phycologist on that expedition, I collected entire thalli of *Eisenia* on rocks in the upper subtidal zone at West Anchorage, Isla Guadalupe, and several more from dredge hauls taken at Caleta Melpomene. In addition, Conrad Limbaugh, the designated diver of the expedition, collected an entire thallus in Caleta Melpomene at a depth of 4-5 m. These collections confirmed that the generic placement of *E. masonii* and *E. desmarestioides* by Setchell and Gardner was correct, although the degree of dissection of the blades was unmatched in all other species of the genus.

Several entire thalli obtained by the Sefton Expedition were made into herbarium specimens. Although they pose no problem on the generic level, they raise serious questions regarding the biological validity of the two species described by Setchell and Gardner. In the development of the thallus of *Eisenia*, the primary blade erodes from the apex to the meristematic region, which then divides longitudinally to produce two pseudostipes. Setchell (1905) described the development of the blade of *Eisenia arborea* Areschoug as follows: "The basal margins of the blade begin to thicken and to turn in on one side of the frond... While



Figure 10. *Eisenia desmarestioides* (AHHF 79196 in UC). Same collection data as for figure 7. Arrow 1 indicates point of insertion of *desmarestioides* type blade; arrow 2 indicates development of that blade into a form intermediate between the *desmarestioides* and *masonii* types.

this is going on the blade becomes shorter and wider, while the pinnules, or sporophylls, become longer and more toothed. After a time...the blade wears away gradually until it disappears almost entirely except for the small side pieces, ligules, each bearing a bunch of sporophylls. The bases of the ligules possess meristematic tissues. These arms may reach the length of 30 cm or more and become thickened so that they look like branches... As the arms increase in length they make a half twist, so that the portion of the ligule on which the young sporophylls are making their appearance as slight outgrowths, are pointing inward instead of outward...". In some complete thalli obtained by the Sefton Expedition (1950) and by John Hansen (1972) from Isla Guadalupe, the two pseudostipes appear to have produced very different blades, one resembling *E. masonii*, the other resembling *E. desmarestioides* (Fig. 6). Close examination of these dimorphic thalli reveals that the *desmarestioides* blades are initiated at the base of the pseudostipes, subtending the mass of broader *masonii*-type blades (Fig. 7). The basal position of the *desmarestioides* initials is evident even on juvenile plants, before the formation of the pseudostipes (Fig. 8). These *desmarestioides* initials develop either into fully *desmarestioides* blades (Figs. 2, 5, 9) or into blades intermediate between the *masonii* and *desmarestioides* types (Fig. 10), all with a stipe-like base.

Fertile sori occur on *masonii*-type blades (Figs. 3, 4), but have not been observed on *desmarestioides* blades. Thus, despite their specific location at the base of the pseudostipes and their strong morphological differentiation, these blades are not specialized sporophylls. This blade dimorphism has not been observed in other species of *Eisenia*. While the basis of the morphological variability in *Eisenia* spp. on Isla Guadalupe

cannot be deduced without further study, it is clear that only one species is involved, for which I choose to retain the name *Eisenia desmarestioides*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Kathy Ann Miller for her endearing support and invaluable help in preparing this manuscript. Relying on her extensive field experience with *Eisenia arborea* Areschoug in the Channel Islands of southern California, she contributed significantly to an interpretation of the complex morphological variability in *Eisenia desmarestioides*. Max Chacana kindly provided the Spanish summary. I also want to acknowledge the generosity of the late Joseph W. Sefton, Jr., who had faith in the scientific promise of graduate students. Lastly, I express my warm memories of Conrad Limbaugh, who died in a tragic diving accident off the French Mediterranean coast (Port-Miou, near Marseilles) on 20 March 1960. Conrad introduced SCUBA diving to the west coast of the United States in 1949 and, ironically, had become an internationally known authority on underwater safety. His subtidal exploration during the Sefton Expedition was astonishing to those on board the Orca. Few of us envisioned the time when such activity was not only commonplace, but a requisite for marine biological research.

## REFERENCES

HANNA, G. D. 1926. Expedition to the Revillagigedo Islands, Mexico, in 1925. General report. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, Ser. 4, 15: 1-113.

SETCHELL, W. A. 1905. Post-embryonal stages of the Laminariaceae. *University of California Publications in Botany* 2: 115-138.

SETCHELL, W. A. & N. L. GARDNER. 1930. Marine algae of the Revillagigedo Islands Expedition in 1925. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, Ser. 4, 19: 109-215.

SILVA, P. C. & M. E. CHACANA. 2005. Marine algae from Islas San Félix y San Ambrosio (Chilean oceanic islands). *Cryptogamie: Algologie* 26: 103-118.

STEWART, J. G. & J. R. STEWART. 1984. Marine algae of Guadalupe Island, Mexico, including a checklist. *Ciencias Marinas* 10: 129-148.

Recibido: 27 de julio de 2007

Aceptado: 10 de julio de 2008.