GRASP Proposal for the Search for SQAP Solutions

Rogelio González Velazquez¹, Erika Granillo Martínez², M. Beatriz Bernabé Loranca¹, Jairo E. Powell- González¹

> ¹ Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Facultad de Ciencias de la Computación, México

> ² Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Facultad de Administración, México

{rogelio.gzzvzz, erika.granillo76, beatriz.bernabe}@gmail.com, jairoe.powell@viep.com.mx

Abstract. The Quadratic Assignment Problem (QAP) consists of finding an optimal allocation of n facilities to n locations in such a way as to minimize the cost of interaction between facilities. The QAP is considered as a NP-hard. This article presents an application of QAP that consists of modeling a traffic system by introducing a probability transition matrix to transform the QAP into the Stochastic Quadratic Assignment Problem (SQAP). The objective of this article is to find solutions for SQAP through the implementation of the metaheuristic Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP), in the JAVA programming language. The program is executed for a set of test instances and the results obtained by the application of two search strategies that make four combinations in the construction phases and the post processing phases of the metaheuristics. The program was also executed for a problem that presents instances of size n = 12 to 30, whose optimal solution is given. Given the need to offer solutions to logistics problems of the NP-hard class, a tool for approximating the optimal solutions is presented.

Keywords. Metaheuristics, GRASP, QAP, SQAP.

1 Introduction

The Quadratic Assignment Problem (QAP) is a discrete optimization problem in particular of combinatorial optimization and consists of finding an optimal assignment of n facilities to n localities with the purpose of minimizing the cost of transportation, given two matrices, a matrix of

distances between the localities and another with the flow of materials between the facilities.

Note that each facility can only be assigned to one location and each location can only accept one facility, that is, it is a one-to-one relationship. The distance and material flow matrices are symmetric. What is required is that the facilities with the highest flow of materials are as close as possible in order to minimize the cost of transportation. The QAP solutions posed as a combinatorial optimization problem are permutations, observe in figure 1 the solution of that assignment is 2413 which represents the assignment of facility 2 to city A, 4 to city B,1 to city C and the 3 to the city D.

On the other hand, [13] mentions that the QAP is one of the most difficult problems of the NP-hard class and the motivation for its study is the number of applications that can be found in: logistics areas, operations research, combinatorial analysis and data in computer science, in order to reinforce the theoretical importance of the study of QAP.

Additionally, in [13] it is stated about some problems posed as QAP among these are the following: Traveling Salesman Problem, Bin Packing, Maximal Clique, Isomorphism and Graph Partitioning [13]. A survey for the quadratic assignment problem presents an analysis of 362 publications which the 95% are directly related to QAP.

The QAP was proposed by [11] as a mathematical model for the allocation of economic activities.

272 Rogelio González Velazquez, Erika Granillo Martínez, et al.



Fig. 1. QAP scheme for n = 4

In 1976 Shani and González proved that QAP is an NP-hard problem [24, 25] where it is shown that for problems of this class there is no exact algorithm that can solve them in a polynomial order time.

So far, only optimal solutions have been found using exact methods for instances of size less than 30 [23, 26]. QAP appears in many applications, such as computer keyboard design, manufacturing programming, airport terminal design, and communications processes, among others. The most popular exact algorithm to solve the QAP is the branch and bound [24] algorithm with some variants: the first exact parallel branch and bound algorithm was proposed by [26]. However, in recent years it has been proposed its solution through different approximation techniques called metaheuristics for the QAP [20, 18], among these are: Ant Colony (ACO), Artificial Neural Networks (NN), Genetic Algorithms (GA), Scatter Search (SS), Annealing Simulated (SA), Tabu Search (TS) [26] and Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP) [14, 15] among others. Another technique [19, 21] implemented GRASP in parallel for QAP.

The major drawbacks that heuristic techniques face is the existence of local optimum that are not absolute. If during the search there is a local optimum, the heuristic could not continue the process and would be "trapped" at the same point. In order to solve the problem, it is recommended to restart the search from another initial solution and verify that the new search explores other paths [2, 3].

Most combinatorial optimization problems are specific problems, so a heuristic technique

algorithm that works for one problem is sometimes not useful for solving other problems. However, in recent years, general purpose heuristics called metaheuristics have been developed that try to solve the above drawbacks. Most metaheuristics are developed with neighborhood search methods [2, 3].

GRASP is an iterative procedure where each step consists of a construction phase and an improvement phase. In the construction phase, a constructive heuristic procedure is applied to obtain a good initial solution. This solution is improved in the second phase by a local search algorithm. The best of all the solutions examined is the final result [16, 23].

The main contribution of this research is to find solutions for SQAP through the implementation of the metaheuristic Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP), in the JAVA programming language. The document is divide as follows. Section 1 contains an introduction, in section 2, the related work is presented, section 3, the formulation of QAP is given, after this in section 4, the GRASP methodology is described, in section 5, the SQAP is stated, in the section 6, all the results are presented. Finally, in section 7, the conclusion and future work is presented.

2 Related Works

The QAP is a combinatorial optimization problem that consists in finding an optimal allocation of nresources to n locations in order to minimize the cost of transportation. A matrix of requirements for units to be transported and the cost of transport per unit between the localities is given.

There are as many techniques and applications to solve the QAP as the one proposed by [25] that includes the solution of real problems using multiobjective optimization of ant colonies for the QAP.

Furthermore, other algorithms such as the hybrid artificial bee colony [6] are an efficient metaheuristic for the QAP solution, which promises to be a good candidate to obtain an optimal solution with large instances for these intractable problems.

Although metaheuristics are used for solving complex and real-world problems, they do not

provide an exact solution, but only an approximate solution like [12] that use the combination of different neighborhood structures to make an improvement in the implementation for QAP.

Furthermore, in [26, 27] it is stated that genetic algorithms and taboo search metaheuristics can provide near optimal solutions for large QAP instances that require a reasonable time to complete.

Since metaheuristics are not specific to a problem, it is interesting to determine which metaheuristics is best suited for each type of problem.

The word metaheuristics was coined by [2] at the same time that the term Tabu Search emerged (1986).

A metaheuristic is a master strategy that guides and modifies other heuristics to generate better solutions than are normally presented by other methods [26].

There are several successful metaheuristics in solving combinatorial problems. The GRASP metaheuristic is one of the most recent techniques, it was originally developed by [11] at the time of studying coverage problems of high combinatorial complexity [3]. Each iteration in GRASP generally consists of two steps: the build phase and the local search procedure. In the first stage, an initial solution is built that is later improved by postprocessing to perfect the solution obtained in the first stage until obtaining a local optimum.

The metaheuristic procedures are a class of approximation methods, designed to solve difficult combinatorial optimization problems, where the classical heuristics are neither effective nor efficient, much less the exact methods [10].

Metaheuristics have the virtue of obtaining solutions close to the optimum in a reasonable computation time with respect to the size of the problem using moderate computational resources.

This article presents the design of a GRASP metaheuristic for QAP and applied to Stochastic Quadratic Assignment Problem (SQAP) where the test instances were taken and modified from the QAPLIB library [22].

Optimization problems are based on choosing the best configuration from a set of feasible solutions to achieve an objective [12]. Optimization problems are divided into two categories: problems with continuous and discrete variables, for the GRASP Proposal for the Search for SQAP Solutions 273

case of the first group a set of real numbers or a function is sought, while in the second it is generally intended to find an objective that is taken within a finite and discrete set, an integer, a set of integers, a permutation, or a graph. The two types of problems have different methods of solving; however, combinatorial optimization problems belong to the second category [12] as an example, GRASP

3 Formulation of the Quadratic Assignment Problem

The QAP consists of finding an optimal allocation that minimizes the cost of transporting materials, among n facilities in n locations, considering the distance between locations and the flow of materials between facilities. The QAP can be formulated by a combinatorial optimization model (CP).

Given a set N = {1, 2, ..., *n*} and two symmetric matrix of size *n* x *n* where: F= (f_{ij}) and D = (d_{kl}) , a permutation $p \in \Pi_N$ must be found that minimizes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} d_{p(i)p(j)} , \qquad (1)$$

where Π_N is the set of all permutations of N. F is the material flow matrix between facilities and D is the distance matrix between nodes.

The QAP can also be posed as an integer programming problem as follows:

$$\operatorname{Min} f = \operatorname{tr}(FXDX^{\mathsf{t}}), \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ik} = 1 \ (k = 1, 2 \dots, n), \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} = 1 \ (i = 1, 2 \dots, n), \tag{4}$$

$$x_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } i \text{ is assigned to } k, \\ 0 & \text{ in other case,} \end{cases}$$

where X is a matrix of binary variables, tr is the trace of the matrix, with the matrix F and D symmetric.

ISSN 2007-9737

274 Rogelio González Velazquez, Erika Granillo Martínez, et al.

For our application, the flow matrix F is a stochastic non-symmetric matrix and D is the distance matrix which remains symmetric, with which, the objective function of the problem loses the previous integer programming trace structure but retains the combinatorial optimization structure.

4 General GRASP Methodology

The GRASP design has been used by some researchers to solve the QAP for different instances [15] and with other applications such as: building blocks which are common to other metaheuristics, GRASP with path- relinking and their different strategies for the efficient implementation, path relinking for balancing reconfigurable transfers lines [5, 8, 9, 25], multi-mode transportation planning of crude oil via GRASP and path relinking [25] and hybridization of GRASP with data mining [3].

There are applications of GRASP in experimental investigation to study the probability and distribution of solution time [1].

Probabilistic stopping rules for GRASP heuristics and extensions that propose stopping rules based on the tradeoff between solution quality and the time needed to find a solution that might improve the solution are discussed in [23].

A GRASP metaheuristic to improve accessibility after disaster, in which the problem considers the allocation of scarce resources to repair a rural road network after a natural disaster with the purpose of maximizing the accessibility of as many people as possible to the main cities or regional centers, where the economic and social infrastructure usually is located, is presented in [7].

GRASP is an iterative process; each iteration consists of two steps: the construction phase and the local search procedure. In the first, an initial feasible solution is built, later it is improved by means of an exchange procedure until obtaining a local optimum [4].

Once the two phases have been executed, the solution obtained is stored and another iteration is carried out, each time saving the best solution that has been found so far. Algorithm 1 exemplifies the aforementioned metaheuristics.

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2022, pp. 271–279 doi: 10.13053/CyS-26-1-4170

Algorithm 1. Generic GRASP pseudocode

The GRASP overview has three main components. The first is the Greedy component that uses a myopic algorithm for the selection of the components that guide the construction of solutions.

The second is that the Randomized used for the random selections from an elite list of candidates that determine the search path.

Finally, the Adaptive component has the mission of updating each result obtained from the components of the solution that is built.

4.1 Implementation for the Quadratic Assignment Problem

The GRASP design has been used by some researchers to solve the QAP for different instances [15, 4, 6]. The construction phases for QAP are as follows.

Construction phase, Stage 1. The two initial assignments are made simultaneously, specifically it will be said that resource *i* is assigned to location *k* and resource *j* is assigned to location *l*, when the cost corresponding to this pair of assignments is $f_{ij}d_{kl}$.

Let α , β , ($0 < \alpha$, $\beta < 1$) be the parameters that restrict the list of candidates (RLC), $F = f_{ij}$ and $D = d_{kl}$ the symmetric $n \ge n$ matrices with zeros in the input diagonal with which it is formed a nonsymmetric compact square matrix.

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_{12} & d_{13} & d_{14} & \cdots & d_{1n} \\ f_{21} & 0 & d_{23} & d_{24} & \cdots & d_{2n} \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & 0 & d_{34} & \cdots & d_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & d_{n-1n} \\ f_{n1} & f_{n2} & \cdots & \cdots & f_{nn-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let [x] be the integer part of x. Let m = n(n-1)/2 be the number of entries in the lower and upper triangles of the compact matrix.

Subsequently, the distance and flow entries are listed in increasing and decreasing order respectively, that is:

$$d_{k_1 l_1} \le d_{k_2 l_2} \le \dots \le d_{k_m l_m},\tag{5}$$

$$f_{i_1j_1} \ge f_{i_2j_2} \ge \dots \ge f_{i_mj_m}.$$
 (6)

Now, two ordered lists are obtained, therefore, the parameter β will be used to restrict both lists, for which they are cut to the element [β m]. A new list of costs is generated by multiplying the distances by the flows in the corresponding order, in this way the new list is obtained:

$$f_{i_{1}j_{1}}d_{k_{1}l_{1}}, f_{i_{2}j_{2}}d_{k_{2}l_{2}}, \cdots, f_{i_{m}j_{m}}d_{k_{m}l_{m}},$$
(7)

$$f_{i_1 j_1} d_{k_1 l_1}, f_{i_2 j_2} d_{k_2 l_2}, \cdots, f_{i_{\lceil \beta m \rceil} j_{\lceil \beta m \rceil}} d_{k_{\lceil \beta m \rceil} l_{\lceil \beta m \rceil}}.$$
 (8)

The last list is ordered in increasing order using the parameter α to obtain the definitive restricted list of candidates (RLC). Using the RLC, only the first $[\alpha\beta m]$ elements will be taken and a fixed element $f_{ij} d_{kl}$ that represents a cost of make a pair of assignments (i, k) and (j, l), that is, two components of the solution are obtained. To simplify the solution, it is written as a permutation, where the *k*-th component and the *l*-th component are placed.

In this way, the random component of the method is appreciated, and the first stage of the construction phase concludes.

Stage 2. It is intended to complete the initial solution by calculating the n-2 remaining assignments, through an avid procedure that produces one by one the assignments that have the least cost with respect to the existing assignments. In the event of a tie, it will be broken randomly and relying on an adaptive component in charge of updating the solution as it is being built.

Let

$$\Gamma = \{ (j_1, l_1), (j_2, l_2), \dots, (j_{\Gamma}, l_{\Gamma}) \},$$
(9)

the set of assignments under construction. Stage 2 starts with $|\Gamma| = 2$ as a result of the results of stage 1.

GRASP Proposal for the Search for SQAP Solutions 275

Let

$$C_{ik} = \sum_{(j,l)\in\Gamma} f_{ij}d_{kl}, \qquad (10)$$

the cost of assigning factory i to location k with respect to existing assignments.

Subsequently, the unassigned pairs (i, k) that have the minimum cost C_{ik} are selected, thus producing the Greedy procedure.

In this part, there is also an RLC where the C_{ik} are ordered in increasing order and one of the first [αz] is randomly taken, where z represents the number of pairs not yet assigned. Again, it is observed that the random component appears.

The function of the adaptive component of GRASP is to update the set Γ by adding new assigned pairs, that is:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma \cup \{(i,k)\}.$$
 (11)

At the end of this stage, the first phase also concludes, therefore, a solution has been built contained in the set:

$$\Gamma = \{ (j_1, l_1), (j_2 l_2), \dots, (j_n l_n) \}.$$
(12)

Ordering the first components of the pairs, the second components are taken to form the permutation equivalent to the solution [14].

Post processing phase: stage 2. The mission of the phase is to improve the solution that was produced in the construction phase. In this case, a local search procedure known as descent method was applied that works iteratively, successively replacing the current solution with a better solution in its neighborhood, the search ends when no better solution is found with respect to the function cost.

The success of a local search algorithm consists of the adequate choice of a neighborhood structure, the efficient neighborhood search technique and initial solution. The GRASP construction phase plays an important role in the last point as it produces good initial solutions for local search [14].

The neighborhood structure used in processing phase is 2-exchange (2-I). In practice, the flow matrix is taken, as well as the distance matrix and their elements are listed separately. The flows (matrix) are ordered from highest to lowest and the distances from least to greatest, both lists are

276 Rogelio González Velazquez, Erika Granillo Martínez, et al.

Algorithm 2. Pseudocode for the local search phase

```
Local procedure (p,N(p),s)
1 While s is not optimal local do
2 Find a better solution t ∈ V (s);
3 Let s = t;
4 End; {While}
5 Return (s as optimal local)
Local end;
```

restricted with a parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$, they are multiplied generating a new list of elements of the form $f_{ij}*d_{kl}$ that contains large flows and short distances.

This list is restricted with a parameter $0 < \beta < 1$, with these operations you have a restricted list of candidates (RLC), from this list an element of the form is randomly selected $f_{ii}^*d_{kl}$, producing the first assignment pair (i, k), (j, l), interpreted as facility k is assigned to location i and facility l is assigned to location *j*. finally n-2 components remain to be assigned, again with a greedy we calculate the c_{ik} costs with respect to the 2 assignments against the remaining possible assignments, another RLC is formed and one of these candidates is selected with which the third assignment is generated and so on. Until completing the permutation of *n* components called initial solution S0 which is subjected to phase 2, called improvement phase, this is an iteration of GRASP.

In the case of the second phase or improvement phase, taking as the initial solution the solution emanating from the first phase using a local search procedure, when this procedure is finished there will be a local optimal solution, which could also be a global optimal, algorithm 2 shows the pseudocode of the local search, where the input parameters are p that is the solution permutation produced in the construction phase and N(p) is the neighborhood of p.

5 SQAP Model

The SQAP is an application of the proposed QAP [7], the transformation is carried out by modeling a human trafficking system in a shopping center, the people who arrive at the shopping center are incorporated into a central corridor (circulation

Instance	BKV	2- exchange	Gap %
Nug 20	2570	2570	0.0
Nug 22	3596	3596	0.0
Nug 24	3488	3496	0.2
Nug 25	3744	3748	0.1
Nug 27	5234	5236	0.0
Nug 28	5166	5178	0.2
Nug 30	6124	6156	0.5

Table 1. GRASP 2-I Neighborhood

Table 2. GRASP Execution Results

n	C_PFP	BVF	WVF	TCPU
12	MSG2-	44.99	49.6	90
14	G_2-I	80.79	90.6	291
15	MSG2-	91	101.2	191
	I			
20	G_2-I	194.99	215.99	1061
21	G_2-I	197.4	209	1348
22	G_2-I	300.4	310.2	1719
24	G_2-I	279.2	293.6	2252
25	G_2-I	308.4	340.59	2670
30	G_2-I	486	523.8	5556
42	G_2-I	182	182.07	20001

area flow of customers) also known as Steiner node where people walk in the direction of the place of their choice with a probability of going to place *i*, when leaving they go to place *j* with another probability of choice, the behavior of the traffic system it is modeled by means of: a waiting line with arrival rate, the departure rate with the parameters.

Before the previous steps, the objective is established, which is the allocation of services to the premises of the shopping center, in such a way that the layout of the businesses is planned in order to speed up traffic, avoiding crowds, defined in the following paragraph.

For the mathematical formulation in general of the SQAP as in [14] the following notation is considered:

v = customer transfer speed.

- d_{ii} = distance between nodes (or locals) *i* to *j*.
- t_{ij} = time of customer service that node *i* leaves to go to node j given by d_{ij} / v.
- p_{ij} = the probability that a customer leaves node *i* to node *j*.
- λ_i = the arrival rate of a customer at node *j*.

The Steiner node is considered to be a server with unlimited capacity, that is, as soon as a client enters the circulation of the system, there is a channel that provides the service, which is a function of the distance traveled in its transfer, it is that is, the waiting line behaves as if each client had its own server, therefore the Steiner node is a waiting line system [5].

In a system of waiting lines [16, 17] M / G / ∞ the average time spent in it is equal to the average time of service in the waiting line. In this case, the time spent in the system is the time that the client spends in the Steiner node, which is basically the time necessary for a client to move from node *i* to node *j* in the system. The time of stay for clients from node Steiner to node *i* is $1/\mu_i$, applying Little's law, the average time of clients at node Steiner to node *i* is λ_i ($1/\mu_i$). The total number of clients in the system is:

$$\lambda_i p_{ij} t_{ij} \equiv q_{ij} \frac{d_{ij}}{v}, \qquad (13)$$

where:

$$q_{ij} = \lambda_i p_{ij}, (i=1,2,...,n; j=1,2,...,n),$$
 (14)

It is about finding the assignment of n services to n locals, that is, a permutation $p \in \Pi_N$:

minimize
$$\frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{ij} d_{p(i)p(j)}$$
. (15)

6 Experiment and Results

To obtain the results, the instances obtained from QAPLIB [20], designed by [14, 26], of dimensions 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 and 30 and 42[10], were taken, each instance is made up of three input parameters: dimension, distance matrix D and flow matrix F. The flow matrix was modified to obtain a transition matrix. The implementation proposed for GRASP in this study was with 500

Table 3. Solutions of each instance

GRASP Proposal for the Search for SQAP Solutions 277

n	Permutation
12	4,6,11,8,5,10,2,1,12,7,9,3
14	9,3,8,13,1,12,5,7,6,10,11,14,2,4
15	4,2,11,14,6,13,7,12,3,10,1,8,9,5,15
20	17,5,7,4,13,8,6,2,19,20,1,10,12,11,9,3,18,15,14 ,16
21	5,2,9,14,6,11,4,20,21,3,18,16,8,15,12,13,7,19,1 0,1,17
22	17,15,4,16,8,11,22,10,7,21,20,5,6,14,18,1,3,19, 12,13,9,2
24	2,19,1,18,6,5,21,13,12,3,9,14,7,10,22,11,16,23, 20,24,17,8,15,4
25	5,12,4,20,22,24,8,25,10,15,17,23,14,7,13,18,3, 9,19,16,2,6,11,21,1
30	24,25,19,7,21,28,17,1,10,2,13,30,26,12,22,8,20 ,29,5,23,11,3,9,4,15,6,18,27,16,14
42	25,16,24,42,11,12,20,10,6,40,3,36,35,23,32,22, 21,1,5,38,19,41,37,7,15,28,2,31,9,34,8,26,29,1 4,39,18,13,33,17,27,30,4

fixed iterations, the parameters for the RLC are $\alpha = 0.2$ and $\beta = 0.3$. It is worth mentioning that with the first phase of GRASP with two options an initial solution is generated, the second option is to generate multiple start-up solutions, subsequently, a post-processing phase with a search by neighborhoods of the type 2-exchange.

The program for carrying out the study was designed in JAVA programming language, likewise, the executions were processed on a TOSHIBA laptop computer with an i7 processor. In these tests, acceptable results were obtained, approximating the optimum as in the execution time.

The results of table 1 show that the GRASP implementation in Java of this work for QAP is efficient, since runs were carried out for the matrices proposed by Nugent, TE Vollmann and J. Ruml de Nug 20 to 30 available in [20].

Column 2 shows the optimal values reported on the internet and the third column shows the results obtained, where the least favorable gap of the runs was less than 0.5%.

Table 2 shows the summary of the best results obtained in the four combinations of the execution

278 Rogelio González Velazquez, Erika Granillo Martínez, et al.

of the proposed program, in the first column the initials DM means dimension of the matrix, C_PFP means combination of the first phase and post-processing phase of GRASP, MSG2-I is first phase multiple greedy solutions and second phase 2-exchange, G_2-I is first phase greedy algorithm with a single solution and second phase 2-exchange, BVF is the best value found by the combination, WVF is the worst value found by a combination, TCPU / milliseconds is the execution time of the best combination run.

Table 3 shows the allocation permutations of each test instance, which indicate the allocation of stores to premises in a shopping center and the objective values are the amount of circulation in a central aisle.

The program was also executed for a problem proposed by [7] that presents instances of size n = 12, whose optimal solution is given by the permutation 7,3,2,11,8,12,9,10,1,4.6,5 with 172 customers circulating down the aisle.

7 Further Work and Conclusions

Finding solutions for SQAP is not an easy task as it belongs to the NP-hard class. The objectives set out in this work were first achieved with the implementation of a metaheuristic that allows a flexible and efficient tool to find solutions [10].

Subsequently, design test instances to execute the robust algorithm that offers solutions in a reasonable computation time (see TCPU in the table) and with approximation solutions to the optimal values for the SQAP.

Finally, in this research, an application was made to model the traffic of people circulating in a shopping center with a central aisle.

As future work, it is expected to expand the computational experience to matrices of greater dimension such as the Skorin Kapov matrix [26] that have dimensions from 49 to 100 and to design another metaheuristic to carry out comparison experiments, in order to increase the tools that contribute in the solution of practical-real application problems of the NP-hard class.

References

- Aiex, R.M., Resendes, M.G.C., Ribeiro, C. (2002). Probability distribution of solution time in GRASP: an experimental Investigation. Journal of Heuristics. Vol. 8, pp. 343–373.
- Díaz, A.D., Glober, F., Ghaziri, H.M., Gonzalez, J.L., Moscato, P., Tseng, F.T. (1996). Optimización Heurística y Redes Neuronales en Dirección de Operaciones e Ingeniería. Madrid.
- **3.** Burke, EK., Kendall, G. (2014). Search Methodologies: Introductory Tutorial in optimization and Decision Support Techniques, Springer.
- 4. Cela, E. (1995). The Quadratic assignment problem: special cases and relatives. Tesis doctoral. Institut für Mathematik B Technische Iniversität Graz. Graz Austria.
- Chmiel, W., Kadłuczka, P., Kwiecień, J., Filipowicz, B. (2017). A comparison of nature inspired algorithms for the quadratic assignment problem. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Technical Sciences, Vol. 65, No. 4.
- 6. Dokeroglu, T., Sevinc, E., Cosar, A. (2019). Artificial bee colony optimization for the quadratic assignment problem. Applied Soft Computing Journal, Vol. 76, pp. 595–606.
- 7. Duque, P.M., Sörensen, K. (2011). A GRASP Metahueristic to improve accessibility after a disaster. OR Spectrum, Vol. 33, pp. 525–542. DOI: 10.1007/s00291-011-0247-2.
- 8. Essafi, M., Delorme, X., Dolgui, A. (2012). A reactive GRASP and path relinking for balancing reconfiguration transfer lines. International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 50, No. 18, pp. 5213–5238.
- 9. Festa, P., Resende, M.G. (2000). GRASP: An annotated Bibliography. Ensays and Surveys on Metaheuristics. Hansen, P., Riveiro, C.C. (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publihers.
- Granillo, M.E., González, V.R., Bernabé, L., Martinez, F.J.L. (2018). A Neighborhood Combining Approach in GRASP's Local Search for Quadratic Assignment Problem Solutions. Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 179–187.
- 11. Koopmans, T.C., Beckmann, M.J. (1957). Assignment problems and the location of economic activities. Econometrica, Vol 25, pp. 53–76.
- 12. Kumar, M., Sahu, A., Mitra, P. (2021). A comparison of different metaheuristics for the

quadratic assignment problem in accelerated systems. Applied Soft Computing Journal, Vol. 100, pp. 1–16.

- Loiola, E.M., Maia de Abreu, N.M., Boaventura, P.O., Hahn, P., Tania-Querido, T. (2007). A survey for the quadratic assignment problem. European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 176, No. 2, pp. 657–690.
- Li, Y., Pardalos, P.M., Resende, M.G. (1994). A greedy randomized adaptative search procedure for the quadratic assignment problem. DIMACS Series on Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Sience, American Mathematical Society, Vol. 16, pp. 37–261.
- **15.** Li, Y., Macgregor, W.J. (1993). Stochastic quadratic assignment problems. DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Science Vol. 16, pp. 221–236.
- Li, Y., Macgregor, W.J., (2001). Quadratic assignment problems and M/G/C/C state dependent network flows. Journal Combinatorial Optimization, Vol. 1, pp. 421–443.
- Li,Y., Pardalos, P.M., Resende, M.G.C. (1994). A greedy randomized adaptative search procedure for the quadratic assignment problem. In Pardalos, P.M., Wolkowicz, H. (eds.) Quadratic assignment and related problems, Vol. 16. of DIMACS Series on Dis-crete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, American Mathematical Society, pp. 237– 261.
- **18. Mishmast, H., Gelareh, S. (2007).** A survey of meta-heuristic solution methods for the quadratic assignment problem. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 2293–2312.
- Pardalos, P.M., Pitssoulis, L.S., Resende, M.G.(1995). A Parallel GRASP implementation for the quadratic assignment problem. In Ferreira, A.,

GRASP Proposal for the Search for SQAP Solutions 279

Rolim, J. (eds.), Parallel Algorithms for Irregularly Structured Problems, Klower Academic Publishers, Vol. 94, pp. 111–130.

- **20. QAPLIB. (2020)**. [Online]. Available: http://www. anjos.mgi.polymtl.ca/qaplib/.
- Resende, M.G., Pardalos, P.M., Li, Y. (1996). Algorithm 754: Fortran subroutines for approximate solution of dense quadratic assignment problem using GRASP. ACM Transactions on mathematical software, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 104–118.
- 22. Ribeiro, C.C., Rosseti, I., Souza, R. (2013). Probabilistic stopping rules for GRASP heuristics and extensions. Intl. Trans. In Op. Re., Vol. 20, pp. 302–323. DOI: 10.1111/itor.12010.
- Roucairol, C. (1987). A parallel branch and bound algorithm for the quadratic assignment problem. Discrete Applied Mathematics, Vol. 18, pp. 211– 225.
- 24. Sahni, S., Gonzalez, S. (1976). P-complete aproximations problems. J. Assoc. Comp. Machine, Vol. 23, pp. 555–565.
- 25. Shen, Q., Chen, H., Chu, F., Zhou, MC. (2011). Multi- mode transportation planning of crude oil via Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search and Path Relinking. Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control, Vol. 33, No. 3/4, pp. 456–475.
- Skorin-Kapov, J. (1990). Tabu applied to the quadratic assignment problem. ORSA Journal on Computing, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 33–45.
- 27.Tosun, U. (2014). A new recombination operator for the genetic algorithm solution of the quadratic assignment problem. Procedia Computer Science Vol. 32, pp. 29–36.

Article received on 29/07/2021; accepted on 30/09/2021. Corresponding author is Erika Granillo Martínez.