A Heuristic Approach for Blind Source Separation of Instant Mixtures
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Abstract. In this paper we present a methodology for blind source separation (BSS) based on a coherence function to solve the problem of linear instantaneous mixtures of signals. The proposed methodology consists of minimizing the coherence function using a heuristic algorithm based on the simulating annealing method. Also, we derived an analytical expression of the coherence for the BSS model, in which it is found that independent and identically distributed (iid) Gaussian components can be recovered. Our results show satisfactory performance in comparison with traditional methods.
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1 Introduction

The Blind Source Separation (BSS) problem consists in recovering latent sources from observed mixtures using the statistical information within the data, when information on the sources and mixing process is not known. The BSS problem appears in many multi-sensor systems, ranging from biomedical signal processing [20, 27, 28, 17, 11, 28] to finance [19, 9]. The wide set of possible applications makes the BSS problem to acquire great interest.

Among many other interesting applications, an example of the BSS problem considered in this paper is the fetal electrocardiogram (fECG) extraction which is used for diagnostic purposes. This noninvasive technique uses electrodes that pick up maternal ECG (mECG) and, at a lower amplitude, the fECG. The electrodes are also sensitive to other signals, like the skin potentials, electromyograms, and the 50 Hz interference, which provide a complex signal associated to a mixture of many sources. Due to this, it may be difficult to analyze and obtain a reliable diagnostic. The mixture of the signals is assumed to be instantaneous because it is presumed that the whole body is a heterogeneous conductor in such a way that the recording of the fECG and mECG waveform does not change when the position of the recording electrodes is changed on the surface of the mother’s abdomen, only the amplitude of the electrical signal may change [31]. The BSS provides the means to enhance and separate the low amplitude signal coming from the fetal heart from other noises or undesirable signals in a noninvasive way which is useful in early diagnosis of cardiopathologies.

There are two main approaches to solve the BSS problem. In the Independent Component Analysis (ICA) approach [18, 3], separation is based on the statistical distribution of sources. It assumes that sources are possibly independent and identically distributed (iid) but non-Gaussian, and higher order statistics are used as separation criteria to make the recovered components as independent as possible. Another separation scheme is based on Second-Order Statistics (SOS). It assumes that sources are possibly Gaussian but colored. These kinds of sources may show some time structure that can be characterized by a time-delayed covariance matrix which allows identification procedures based on eigen-decomposition [30]. Some other methods use the average eigen-structure by
taking linear combination of several time-delayed covariance matrices [1, 8].

In this paper, we propose the use of a coherence function in order to achieve the recovery of the sources in the instant mixtures problem. Coherence has been considered previously in the BSS problem of convolutive mixtures [15] and in the nonlinear blind source separation problem [26]. In [15], coherence is used as a cost function together with a gradient optimization algorithm to solve the problem of convolutive mixtures. In [26], the coherence function is used to compensate the recovered components distortion. Particle swarm optimization was used for optimization of the cost function which in that case is a weighted sum of mutual information and coherence. Hence, we present an extension of the previous works based on the coherence function in BSS. In this regard, to the best of our knowledge, no work on separation of instant mixtures using the coherence function has been presented up to now.

On the other hand, the BSS problem has no solution for Gaussian and temporally iid sources [32, 2, 12]. As an additional contribution we derived a second algorithm in which source separation of iid Gaussian sources is achieved assuming sources have been mixed by an orthogonal matrix.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the problem statements are given along with an analysis of coherence in the BSS model. In Section 3, a cost function based on coherence is derived and implemented in the proposed algorithm. In Section 4, a performance comparison of the proposed algorithm is presented, and a real example of separation of fetal electrocardiogram (fECG) extraction is shown. In Section 5, a discussion of the obtained results is presented, together with some concluding remarks.

2 Problem Statement

The BSS model of linear and instantaneous mixtures can be expressed in the following way:

\[ \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}(t), \quad (1) \]

where \( \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the observation vector or sensor signals, \( n \) is the number of sensors, \( \mathbf{s}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the vector of unknown sources, and \( m \) is the number of sources. In this paper for simplicity we will assume that the number of sensors and sources is the same \((n = m)\), \( \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \) is a matrix that models the mixing process whose entries are the attenuation that the sources signal suffered from the transmission media, and \( t \) is the time index. The BSS problem consists in identification of the mixing matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) or its inverse \( \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \) to estimate the unknown original sources \( \mathbf{s}(t) \), using only the information within the finite observed data \( \mathbf{x}(t) \). The unknown model parameters can be estimated if we assume that the sources are statistically mutually independent or decorrelated. However, neither the scaling of the sources nor their original order can be identified, that is, the separating matrix can be given by \( \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \) where \( \mathbf{P} \) is a permutation matrix, and \( \mathbf{D} \) is a diagonal matrix.

2.1 Assumptions

In the analysis presented in this paper we consider the following assumptions unless otherwise stated:

1. \( \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \) has full column rank \( m \).
2. \( \mathbf{s}(t) \) is a zero-mean stationary process with nonsingular covariance matrix \( \mathbf{R}_s = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}(t)\mathbf{s}(t)^T] = \text{diag}(\sigma_{s1}^2, ..., \sigma_{sm}^2) \), where \( \sigma_{si}^2 \) denotes the variance of the \( i \)-th source.

The first assumption is related to the mixing model. The columns of the \( n \times m \) mixing matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) contain the sensor projection weights associated with each source signal. A singular matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) implies that its determinant is defined and is zero, which applies only for square matrices. If the determinant is zero, it means that the columns of \( \mathbf{A} \) are dependent, which in its turn means that the sources are completely correlated. The physical meaning of this is that two or more sensors captured exactly the same signal or a linear combination of it. This is probably because the sensors are too close or placed technically at the same spot. The second assumption is related to
the nature of signals, and it means that they are statistically mutually uncorrelated.

2.2 Coherence in the Instantaneous BSS Model

The magnitude-squared coherence (MSC) is a normalized frequency domain function constrained between 0 and 1 for all frequencies. The MSC gives a measure of the linear association between two wide-sense stationary (WSS) zero-mean processes. It has the important property that the coherence between two zero-mean uncorrelated processes is zero [4, 5]. The coherence is given by

$$ |\gamma_{x_1,x_2}|^2 = \frac{|P_{x_1x_2}(f)|^2}{P_{x_1x_1}(f)P_{x_2x_2}(f)}, $$

(2)

where $P_{x_1x_2}(f)$ is the cross power spectral density between the processes, $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$. $P_{x_1x_1}(f)$ and $P_{x_2x_2}(f)$ are their respective power spectral densities. Assume the BSS model of linear and instant mixtures for two signals (3):

$$ x_1(t) = a_s x_1(t) + c s_2(t), $$

$$ x_2(t) = b_s x_2(t) + d s_2(t). $$

(3)

Assume $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$ are uncorrelated with zero-mean. Then,

$$ P_{x_1x_1}(f) = a^2 P_{s_1s_1}(f) + c^2 P_{s_2s_2}(f) $$

(4)

and

$$ P_{x_2x_2}(f) = b^2 P_{s_1s_1}(f) + d^2 P_{s_2s_2}(f). $$

(5)

The cross power spectral density between $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ is

$$ P_{x_1x_2}(f) = ab P_{s_1s_1}(f) + cd P_{s_2s_2}(f). $$

(6)

Substituting (4), (5) and (6) in (2) yields (7); the coherence between the observations in the instantaneous BSS model:

$$ |\gamma_{x_1,x_2}(f)|^2 = \frac{(ab)^2 P_{s_1s_1}(f) + (cd)^2 P_{s_2s_2}(f)}{[a^2 P_{s_1s_1}(f) + c^2 P_{s_2s_2}(f)][b^2 P_{s_1s_1}(f) + d^2 P_{s_2s_2}(f)]} $$

(7)

If the mixing matrix is a diagonal matrix (i.e., $b = c = 0$), the observation vector will consist of the unmixed source signals. Substituting these parameters’ values in (7) we can find out that $|\gamma_{x_1,x_2}|^2 = 0$. The coherence is zero for uncorrelated processes. We may note that, in the observation vector, the matrix $A$ will differ from a diagonal matrix. However, by applying a whitening transformation $V$ and an orthogonal rotation transformation $R$ to the observed data, the resulting matrix (global matrix) is diagonal.

2.3 Coherence of Mixed Gaussian Sources with iid Time Structure

The power spectral density of two zero-mean Gaussian stationary sources with iid time structure and unit variance will have the same shape, that is, $P_{s_1s_1}(f) = P_{s_2s_2}(f)$. If the observed data is a mixture of them, Eq. (7) can be reduced to the following expression:

$$ |\gamma_{x_1,x_2}|^2 = \frac{(ab)^2 + (cd)^2}{[a^2 + c^2][b^2 + d^2]}. $$

(8)

In this case, as shown by Carter (1993), the MSC becomes frequency independent, and in consequence, the estimate of the coherence is no longer dependent on the time structure of the sources. In this way, it is observed that the coherence estimate in (8) will be dependent on the variance ratio between sources provided by the mixing matrix. From (8) it is found that the variance difference between sources mixture adds information that can be used to achieve separation, thus the problem becomes a variance maximization problem. An example is shown in Figure 4 for two orthogonally mixed Gaussian iid sources. Every curve is generated from the coherence estimate using a specific number of averaged segments, as indicated in the key. Assuming that the Gaussian iid sources have been mixed by an orthogonal matrix, the coherence estimate will be minimum, when the recovered components are independent. As the variance ratio between the sources increases, the separation performance of the Gaussian iid signals increases, see Figure 5.

In the case where the observation vector $x$ is whitened, for any rotation $\theta$ the coherence
estimate in (8) will remain constant. The whitening transform would destroy the variance information and must be avoided in this case. The limitation of the identifiability condition [30] of SOS methods is verified as well; if the sources’ power spectral densities are not linearly independent, they cannot be estimated.

3 Proposed Method

3.1 Pre-Processing

In BSS it is a common procedure to apply some pre-processing to the observed data in order to simplify the problem. The first step is to remove the mean from the observation. The second step is to perform a pre-whitening transformation which is based on eigen-value decomposition [19]:

\[ y(t) = Vx(t), \]

where \( V = D^{-1/2}E^T \), \( E = (e_1 \ldots e_m) \) is the orthonormal matrix of the eigenvector of the covariance matrix \( C_x = E[xx^T] \), and \( D = \text{diag}(d_1 \ldots d_m) \) is a diagonal matrix formed by the eigenvalues of \( C_x \). The whitened components will have the statistical properties of being uncorrelated, and their variances will be equal to unity [19]. This pre-processing reduces the source separation problem of finding an orthogonal (rotation) factor \( R \), where \( RV = W \).

3.2 MSC Criterion for BSS

The orthogonal rotation matrix \( R \) is composed of a product of successive Givens rotations \( R(\theta_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \), defined for \( i < j \) by

\[
R(\theta_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( c = \cos(\theta_{ij}) \) and \( s = \sin(\theta_{ij}) \) appear at the intersection of the \( i \)-th and \( j \)-th rows and columns. This represents a clockwise rotation of \( \theta_{ij} \) radians in the \( (i,j) \) plane. For every pair \( (i,j) \), under the restriction \( 1 < i < j < m \), there exists a rotation angle. Thus, the number of rotation angles is \( M = m(m - 1) / 2 \). The new estimated components a given by

\[
\hat{s}(\theta) = R(\theta)Vx(t),
\]

\[
\hat{s}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_M) = R(\theta_1)R(\theta_2) \ldots R(\theta_M)Vx(t),
\]

where \( \theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_M] \), and

\[
R(\theta) = R(\theta_1)R(\theta_2) \ldots R(\theta_M).
\]

The method consists in applying Givens rotations until the cost function had been minimized.

A cost function for more than two sources can be derived if we use the sum of the MSC between all \( m(m - 1) / 2 \) pairs of vectors \( (i, k) \) of \( \hat{s} \), as shown in [15]. We can express \( \Gamma_{\hat{s}} \) as the matrix of sum of coherence functions between all pairs of vectors of \( \hat{s} \):

\[
\Gamma_{\hat{s}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum|y_{i1}\hat{s}_1(f)|^2 & \sum|y_{i1}\hat{s}_2(f)|^2 & \cdots & \sum|y_{i1}\hat{s}_m(f)|^2 \\
\sum|y_{i2}\hat{s}_1(f)|^2 & \sum|y_{i2}\hat{s}_2(f)|^2 & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
\sum|y_{im}\hat{s}_1(f)|^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \sum|y_{im}\hat{s}_m(f)|^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Since \( \sum|y_{i\delta_1}(f)|^2 = \sum|y_{i\delta_2}(f)|^2 = 1 \) for all \( f \) when \( i = k \), \( \Gamma_{\hat{s}} \) is an symmetric matrix. When the vectors of \( \hat{s} \) are mutually uncorrelated, \( \Gamma_{\hat{s}} \) is a diagonal matrix. Then, is desirable to minimize the upper triangle terms of \( \Gamma_{\hat{s}} \). In this way, only the upper triangle terms of (14) are considered in the cost function (15).

\[
J = \sum_{l,k} \sum |y_{l\delta_k}(f)|^2,
\]

where \( 1 < l < k < M \).

Metaheuristics are widely implemented in multivariable problems [12, 24]. We implemented
the Simulated Annealing (SA) [22] method to find $\theta$, the minimizer of $J$ in the range $[0 < \theta < \pi/2]$. A fast temperature dropping schedule is used to improve the separation performance. The basic steps to achieve separation of independent components are shown in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1**: MSC-BSS algorithm

1. Remove the mean from the observations.
2. Perform a pre-whitening transformation by Eq. (9).
3. Get an initial solution $\theta$ with energy $J$:
   - Pick a random initial solution $\theta$.
   - Generate a rotation matrix by Eq. (13), $R(\theta)$.
   - Generate the estimated sources by Eq. (12).
   - Calculate the cost function $J$.
4. Select an initial “high temperature”, $T$.
5. **while** the maximum number of iterations or minimum temperature is not reached **do**
   - Pick a random “nearby” solution $\theta_p$.
   - Generate a rotation matrix by Eq. (13), $R(\theta_p)$.
   - Generate the estimated sources by Eq. (12).
   - Calculate the cost function $J_p$.
   - Let $\Delta J = J_p - J$
     - If $\Delta J \leq 0$
       - $\theta \leftarrow \theta_p$
     - else
       - $\theta \leftarrow \theta_p$ with an acceptance probability
         - else
           - Reject solution, $(\theta \leftarrow \theta)$
   - Reduce temperature $T$ by $\Delta T$
**end while**
6. $\theta$ is the best solution found by the SA in the range $[0, \pi/2]$.
7. Estimate the original sources by Eq. (12).

### 4 Experiments and Results

The MSC-BSS method was implemented in the ICALAB toolbox [7] and compared to other SOS methods (SOBI [1]).

---

**Table 1.** Montecarlo results for colored Gaussian sources; $\mu$ and $\sigma$ are the SIR mean and standard deviation for 50 tests, respectively; $n_d$ is the number of averaged segments used in the estimation of coherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>$n_d$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOBI</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48.90</td>
<td>2e-9</td>
<td>41.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-BSS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71.91</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>36.13</td>
<td>11.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-BSS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>71.28</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>10.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Matlab’s Optimization toolbox parameters (simulannealbnd): TemperatureFcn: @temperaturefast; MaxIter: 300; InitialTemperature: 0.1; ReannealInterval: 400.

2 @acceptancesa: Matlab’s simulated annealing acceptance function.
The coherence index was used. The coherence function is calculated via the Welch method with 50% of overlap between segments. A Hamming window is applied to every segment before averaging.

4.1 Separation of Colored Gaussian Sources

We consider the separation of colored Gaussian sources for mixtures of two and three sources. Three sources, $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$, were used for the experiments. They were obtained by low-pass filtering white Gaussian noise through a fourth order Butterworth filter with cut frequency of 0.4, 0.7 and 0.9, respectively. The mixing matrix $\mathbf{A}$ was generated randomly. Algorithm 1 was implemented with different number of averaged segments $n_d = 8, 16, 32$ in the estimation of the coherence.

Table 1 shows the comparison results for 50 tests. From the results we see that the MSC method performance degrades as the number of sources to retrieve increases. Also, a reduction of the SIR’s standard deviation with an increasing number of averaged segments is observed.

4.2 Separation of Colored Non-Stationary Sources

In this section an example of mixed lung and cardiac sounds is considered. The purpose of this test is to verify the algorithm performance when non-stationary signals are used, although it may not reflect a real sources environment. The observations were generated with a heart sound and a respiratory sound taken from [10, 23], respectively. Each signal consisted of 60k samples sampled at a frequency of 8000Hz. Figure 1 shows the time series and power spectral density of the sources.

In Table 2 we show the results of a MonteCarlo simulation of 100 tests. In each test, the mixing matrix $\mathbf{A}$ was generated randomly (uniform distribution). The matrix $\mathbf{W}$ was estimated using...
Table 2. Montecarlo test results using non-stationary sources; \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) are the respective SIR mean and standard deviation, \( n_d \) is the number of segments used in the estimation of coherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Non-stationary sources SIR(_{dB} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOBI</td>
<td>( n_d, \mu, \sigma )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-BSS</td>
<td>8, 37.63, 0.0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-BSS</td>
<td>16, 38.31, 0.0174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC-BSS</td>
<td>32, 38.54, 0.0180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Algorithm 1, and the separation performance was obtained using the SIR performance index. The performance of the MSC-BSS algorithm is satisfactory regardless the sources are non-stationary.

4.3 An Example of Real fECG Extraction

In this section we show the separation or enhancement of real fECG data by means of the proposed Algorithm 1. The data was obtained from the Abdominal and Direct Fetal Electrocardiogram Database which contains multichannel fetal electrocardiogram (fECG) recordings [21]. Each recording comprises four differential signals acquired from maternal abdomen and the reference direct fetal electrocardiogram registered from the fetal head. Additional information from [16]: signals recorded in labor, between 38 and 41 weeks of gestation; four signals acquired from maternal abdomen; direct electrocardiogram recorded simultaneously from fetal head; positioning of electrodes was constant during all recordings; Ag-AgCl electrodes (3M Red Dot 2271) and abrasive material to improve skin conductance (3M Red Dot Trace Prep 2236); Bandwidth: 1Hz - 150Hz (synchronous sampling of all signals); additional digital filtering for removal of power-line interference (50Hz) and baseline drift; sampling rate: 1 kHz; resolution: 16 bits.

Figure 2 shows 5000 samples of the four channels recorded data. Each sensor provides a complex signal in which the fECG and mECG are contained along with other undesirable signals. Figure 3 shows the output of the proposed Algorithm 1. The direct fetal electrocardiogram is shown for purposes of comparison with the extracted fECG signal. The estimated source 3 clearly shows the enhanced and denoised mECG. The estimated sources 1 and 2 show some background noise or undesired signals. The estimated source 4 shows the enhanced fECG but is still mixed with the mECG, due to this a further post-processing is conducted as shown in [31]. However, the BSS represents a very important first step in the enhancement of ECG signals.

4.4 A Case of Separation of Mixed Gaussian Processes with iid Time Structure

In this section we deal with the separation of Gaussian iid sources. The assumptions are the following:

1. \( A \) is of full column rank \( m \) and orthogonal.
2. The source signals \( s(t) \) are statistically mutually independent iid Gaussian sources.

As found in (8), for mixed Gaussian sources with iid time structure, the coherence estimate depends only on the power of the observed mixtures (variance maximization). Hence, the variance ratio between the sources can be used to find a transformation where the coherence is minimal. In Figure 2, an example is shown for two orthogonally mixed iid Gaussian WSS processes with a variance ratio of \( \sigma_i / \sigma_j = 4 \), \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \).

Figure 2 is obtained by applying a rotation transformation \( R \) to the observed mixtures from \([−\pi/4, \pi/4]\). The minimum of the function \( J \) occurs when \( R = I \). It is worth noting that the minimum of \( J \) is not affected by the bias in the coherence estimate. Nonetheless, the bias is reduced with the increasing number of averaged segments, as we see, the minimum reaching zero. Algorithm 2 is similar to Algorithm 1 except that the pre-whitening step is omitted.
Fig. 2. Four channels mother ECG abdominal recording

Fig. 3. Estimated sources with the proposed Algorithm 1 and a direct fetal electrocardiogram recorded from the fetus head
Algorithm 2: MSC-BSSiid algorithm

1. Remove the mean from the observations.
2. Get an initial solution $\theta$ with energy $J$
   - Pick a random initial solution $\theta$.
   - Generate a rotation matrix by Eq. (13), $R(\theta)$.
   - Generate the estimated sources by $\hat{s} = Rx(t)$.
   - Calculate the cost function $J$.
3. Select an initial “high temperature”, $T$.
4. while the maximum number of iterations or minimum temperature is not reached do
   begin
   - Pick a random “nearby” solution $\theta_p$.
   - Generate a rotation matrix by Eq. (13), $R(\theta_p)$.
   - Generate the estimated sources by $\hat{s} = Rx(t)$
   - Calculate the cost function $J_p$.
   - Let $\Delta J = J_p - J$
   - If $\Delta J \leq 0$
     - $\theta \leftarrow \theta_p$
   - else
     - $\theta \leftarrow \theta_p$, with an acceptance probability $3$
     - else
       - Reject solution, $(\theta \leftarrow \theta)$
   end
   - Reduce temperature $T$ by $\Delta T$
end while
5. $\theta$ is the best solution found by the SA in the range $[0, \pi/2]$.
6. Estimate the original sources by $\hat{s} = Rx(t)$.

3 @acceptancesa: Matlab’s simulated annealing acceptance function.

Fig. 4. The cost function $J$ for two orthogonally mixed Gaussian iid sources. Every curve is generated from the coherence estimate using a specific number of averaged segments as indicated in the key. The variance ratio between the sources is $\sigma_i/\sigma_j = 4$.

Fig. 5. SIR vs variance ratio sources. Results of separation performance for Gaussian sources with iid time structure; $2^{16}$ samples were used in the test, $i = 1$, $j = 2$.

We consider a comparison of the extraction of the Gaussian iid sources with an increasing variance ratio. Two iid Gaussian WSS processes with zero mean were used for the test, $s_1(t)$ and $s_2(t)$, where $P_{s_1s_1} = \sigma_1^2$ and $P_{s_2s_2} = \sigma_2^2 = 1$. $\sigma_1^2$ was chosen accordingly to change the variance ratio from 1 to 16; 50 tests were performed per variance ratio. The observation vector was
generated by the generative model \( \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{R}(\theta) \mathbf{s}(t) \) where \( \mathbf{R}(\theta) \) is a rotation matrix, Eq. (13), and \( \theta \) is the rotation angle which was chosen randomly. The MSC-BSS and SOBI algorithm were used to estimate the matrix \( \mathbf{W} \) from the observations; the whitening step was not performed in this test.

The separation performance of the Gaussian sources with iid time structure improves with an increasing ratio between the variances of the original signals. For a 2:1 ratio good separation is achieved. This can be seen in Figure 5, where a plot of the average SIR estimate for several variance ratio between the sources is shown. Unexpectedly, the SOBI algorithm performance improved gradually as the ratio of the variances between the signals increased. However, its performance is not comparable with the one obtained by the MSC-BSS algorithm.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we present a methodology for the BSS based on the coherence function to solve the problem of instantaneous linear mixtures. The proposed methodology seeks to minimize the cost function based on coherence by a heuristic method, simulated annealing. The results showed a satisfactory performance in comparison with a state of art method. In addition, we also present a special case where, modifying our methodology, iid Gaussian sources can be separated by variance maximization. This represents an advantage over other methods because those cannot treat this special case.
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