

ARTICLE

Canine leishmaniasis in Mexico: the detection of a new focus of canine leishmaniasis in the state of Guerrero correlates with an increase of human cases

Dulce Rosete-Ortíz,¹ Miriam del Socorro Berzunza-Cruz,¹ Norma Lilia Salaiza-Suazo,¹ Camila González,² Nancy Treviño-Garza,³ Adriana Ruiz-Remigio,¹ Marco Elías Gudiño-Zayas,¹ Sandra Beltrán-Silva,⁴ José Luis Romero-Zamora,⁵ Alfonso Ugarte-Soto,⁶ Beatriz Rivas-Sánchez,¹ and Ingeborg Becker¹

ABSTRACT

Background. In Mexico, a steady increase of patients with visceral leishmaniasis has been reported, especially in the states of Chiapas and Guerrero, yet only limited information exists on canine leishmaniasis in areas of visceral leishmaniasis in Mexico. A veterinary report of dogs with nonhealing cutaneous lesions in Pungarabato, Guerrero led us to investigate the possible presence of *Leishmania* infection in an area where *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Lutzomyia evansi*, both vectors of *Leishmania infantum*, have been described.

Methods. We analyzed skin lesions of 25 dogs by immunohistochemistry and PCR.

Results. We found a 60% prevalence of *Leishmania*-infected dogs, the infection rate being higher in males than females. Thus, we established a new focus of canine leishmaniasis, and although to date no patients have been reported in this municipality, it is close to and shares the same ecological characteristics of dry tropical forests as regions where visceral leishmaniasis has been reported in Mexico. We also include updated information of localities of visceral leishmaniasis in Mexico as well as the distribution of possible sand fly vectors.

Conclusions. Our data show the need to ascertain the magnitude of this new focus in view of the current data on human visceral leishmaniasis, a disease that is surging in Mexico.

Key words: canine leishmaniasis, patients, visceral leishmaniasis, Guerrero, Mexico.

INTRODUCTION

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is transmitted by sandflies and caused by various species of *Leishmania* parasites. These parasites cause a wide spectrum of diseases and it is estimated that the annual occurrence of human VL world-

wide is 500,000 with more than 50,000 related deaths. In several parts of the world there is a clear increase of VL patients, including urbanization of VL due to changes in demographic and ecological factors. In the New World, VL is caused by *Leishmania infantum* (or *L. chagasi*) and transmitted to humans by *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Lutzomyia evansi*.¹ *Lu. longipalpis* is considered the principal vector of *L. infantum* and ranges from Mexico to Argentina, whereas *Lu. evansi* is distributed from Mexico to Colombia and Venezuela.²⁻¹² VL cases have been reported in Mexico in the states of Chiapas, Guerrero, Puebla, Oaxaca, Morelos, and Veracruz since 1952, yet the highest prevalence of the disease has been reported in the state of Chiapas and Guerrero.¹³⁻¹⁹ Domestic dogs have been shown to be the reservoirs and have been associated with VL outbreaks in Brazil, Paraguay, and Argentina where disease spread has been found to be the consequence of socioenvironmental factors such as deforestation as well as human and domestic dog migration.²⁰⁻²² Dogs have been shown to be infected in the state of Chiapas, where a

¹ Departamento de Medicina Experimental, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), México, D.F., México

² Departamento de Zoología, Instituto de Biología, UNAM, México, D.F., México

³ Dirección de Enfermedades Transmitidas por Vector, CENAVECE, Secretaría de Salud, México, D.F., México

⁴ Hospital General Regional Dr. Rafael Pascacio Gamboa, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas

⁵ Departamento de Infectología, Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez, Servicio de Infectología, Hospital General de México, Departamento de Microbiología y Parasitología, Facultad de Medicina, UNAM, México D.F., México

⁶ Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Guerrero, México

Received for publication: 2-3-11

Accepted for publication: 2-8-11

prevalence of 58.3% was reported in a pilot study carried out in the municipalities of Tuxtla Gutierrez and Chiapas de Corzo, a region where the majority of VL patients of Chiapas have been reported.²³ Despite the growing number of patients with VL in Guerrero, canine leishmaniasis has not been explored in this state due partly to the lack of specific diagnostic tools.

In this study we analyzed a novel focus of canine leishmaniasis in the state of Guerrero using immunohistochemistry and PCR and found a high prevalence of *Leishmania*-infected dogs in a geographic area where patients with VL as well as *Leishmania infantum* vectors (*Lu. longipalpis* and *Lu. evansi*) have been described.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Location

The analysis of infected dogs was carried out in the municipality of Pungarabato, Guerrero (18°N, 100°W), a state located in southwest Mexico characterized by tropical dry forest, with an annual rainfall between 800 and 1200 mm and an average annual temperature of 27.8°C. The study was carried out between January and April.

Data of patients with VL were taken from the literature¹³⁻¹⁹ as well as from data provided from the pediatric ward of the Hospital General Regional Dr. Rafael Pascacio Gamboa of Tuxtla Gutierrez, Chiapas by one of the authors (S.B.S.), from the Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez (J.L.R.Z.), and from the Boletín Epidemiológico de la Secretaría de Salud.

The geographic coordinates were obtained for each locality where human VL cases, *Lu. longipalpis* and *Lu. evansi* species³ and infected dogs had been reported, based on the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI) 2000 locality database.²⁴ Distributional maps were built by including the geographic information into a geographic information system using the ArcGis 3.2 software (Esri, Redlands, CA).

Animal Samples

We analyzed 28 dogs (15 males and 13 females), 25 of which presented cutaneous lesions and three were apparently healthy. The age of the dogs ranged from 6 months to 15 years, the mean age being 4 years. These dogs were kept outdoors at all times. All the dogs had owners who gave their consent to have their dogs included in the study. All

dogs underwent a clinical examination by a veterinarian searching for signs related to canine leishmaniasis such as skin lesions, onychogryphosis, alopecia, ulcers and conjunctivitis. Punch skin biopsies or skin scrapings were taken from the lesions with a 4-mm biopsy punch after the dogs were tranquilized and locally anesthetized. Part of the biopsy was fixed in 10% neutral formaldehyde for immunohistochemistry and part was placed in Tris-EDTA (10 mM–1 mM) for PCR analysis.

Immunohistochemistry

Tissues fixed in 10% neutral formaldehyde were embedded in paraffin and cut at 4 µm. For immunostaining, the slides were blocked with a solution containing 5% skim milk powder and 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS (pH 7.4) for 30 min at room temperature. Five-min washes with 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl were followed by 1 h incubation with a polyclonal rabbit anti-*Leishmania* antibody diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer. As secondary antibody, a mouse anti-rabbit antibody was used at a 1:1000 dilution for 30 min. Slides were washed with 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl and were incubated with avidin-biotin complex coupled to peroxidase and revealed with diaminobenzidine. Additionally, smears were done with the punch biopsies in order to visualize intracellular parasites. The smears were stained using the previously mentioned method.

Molecular Diagnosis

Leishmania molecular typing was carried out by PCR with primers using sequences of gp63 conserved in all *Leishmania* species that we previously developed for the identification of parasites belonging to the *Leishmania* genus.²⁵ The forward sequence was LM9 (5'-GGA CGA GCT CAT GGC GCC-3'), and the reverse sequence was LM12 (5'-CTG GCA CAC CTC CAC GTA C-3'). PCR was performed using 50 µl of the reaction mixture: 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4; 50 mM KCl; 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 125 µM dATP, dCTP, dTTP, dGTP and 100 ng of the corresponding oligonucleotides. For DNA analysis of tissue samples, we used 20 µl of tissue extract corresponding to 50–100 ng/µl of DNA and 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The amplification was performed in a Perkin Elmer 2400 thermocycler (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) using 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The cycles were preceded by another cycle at 94°C for 5 min and an extension cycle of 72°C for 7 min.

RESULTS

All 28 dogs were malnourished and 25 of the dogs presented one or more clinical signs related to canine leishmaniasis such as skin lesions (four dogs had cutaneous ulcers of 1 cm and one of the dogs presented alopecia). In three of the dogs we found onychogryphosis and in one dog we found conjunctivitis (Figure 1).

Immunohistochemical stains were carried out in 25 dogs (15 males and 10 females) with signs of possible leishmaniasis. Additionally, a biopsy was taken from three apparently healthy female dogs. In 12/15 male and in 5/10 female biopsies we found *Leishmania* parasites (Figure 1). PCR analysis revealed that 14 males and seven female dogs were positive. The sensitivity of the PCR analysis was higher, showing that 84% of the dogs tested positive, whereas immunohistochemistry analysis showed that 68% were positive. A concordance of positive results by both methods was found in 15/25 biopsies (60%). The number of positive dogs found in the state of Guerrero is slightly higher than that reported in Chiapas (Table 1).

In order to associate this new focus of canine leishmaniasis with the current information of VL in Mexico,



Figure 1. Dogs with cutaneous lesions and onychogryphosis. Punch biopsy of skin lesions shows abundant *Leishmania* parasites.

Table 1. Dogs with leishmaniasis

State	Locality	No. of dogs
Chiapas	Tuxtla Gutiérrez	14
Guerrero	Pungarabato	17
Total		31

we reviewed and updated the information of VL patients in Mexico and recorded their geographic location. We found that VL has been reported in six Mexican States: Chiapas, Guerrero, Puebla, Oaxaca, Morelos and Veracruz (Table 2). We found that after the state of Chiapas, the Balsas River basin of Guerrero State has the second highest report of human VL, where the proven vector *Lu. longipalpis* has also been collected (Table 3). The geographical analysis of reported cases of patients with VL, *Leishmania*-infected dogs and distribution of *Lu. longipalpis* and *Lu. evansi* colocalized in areas of dry tropical forests of Guerrero (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

The first Mexican case of VL was reported in a 5-year-old child described in 1952 in Huitzuc, Guerrero. By 1990, further cases of VL were reported in Chiapas and new foci were beginning to surge in Guerrero. These data reflect the progressive expansion of VL in Mexico. Yet few data exist on canine leishmaniasis in Mexico,²³ partly due to lack of specific diagnostic tools. In this work we analyzed canine tissue biopsies by immunohistochemistry and by PCR, finding a prevalence of canine leishmaniasis of 60% (using both techniques) in the endemic zone of dry forests of Guerrero where the vector *Lu. longipalpis* has been described. Our data are in accordance with the description of canine leishmaniasis in a focus of VL of Chiapas,²³ albeit in the VL focus of Chiapas both *Lu. longipalpis* and *Lu. evansi* have been found (Table 4).³ This pilot study provides evidence of a new VL focus in the state of Guerrero, yet further work is needed to ascertain its magnitude and to prevent further human VL cases, especially in view of the fact that human epidemics of VL are usually preceded by, or concomitant with, high infection rates in the canine

Table 2. Total number of patients with visceral leishmaniasis reported in different Mexican states (1952-2010)

State	No. of patients
Chiapas	83
Guerrero	14
Puebla	12
Oaxaca	2
Morelos	3
Veracruz	1
Total	115

Table 3. Patients with visceral leishmaniasis reported in Mexico

State	Locality	No. of patients	Year	
Chiapas	Acala	6	1993-2010	
	Berriozabal	1	2006	
	Chiapa de Corzo	18	1995-2003	
	Chicomuselo	1	2003	
	Cintalapa de Figueroa	1	2003	
	Comitán	1	2007	
	Jiquipilas	3	1993-1999	
	La Concordia	1	2004	
	La Trinitaria	1	1999	
	Margaritas	1	1990	
	Mitontic	1	1999	
	Ocosingo	1	1997	
	Ocozacoautla de Espinosa	2	1993-2002	
	Osumacinta	1	1995	
	Pijijiapan	1	1990	
	San Fernando	2	1997-1999	
	Simojovel de Allende	1	1999	
	Suchiapa	6	1995-2004	
	Tapachula de Cordova y Ordoñez	1	1998	
	Tonalá	1	2002	
	Totolapa	1	1997	
	Tuxtla Gutiérrez	16	1991-2003	
	Venustiano Carranza 1	7	1999-2010	
	Venustiano Carranza 2	3	1994-1999	
Villa Corzo	3	1992-1995		
Villaflores	1	2006		
Guerrero	Ayutla de los Libres	1	2008	
	Chilapa de Álvarez	1	2008	
	Ciudad de Huitzucó	2	1952-1997	
	Cuetzala del Progreso	1	1992	
	Los Amates	1		
	Ocotitlán	1		
	Olinalá	1	1963	
	Río Balsas	1	2006	
	San Jerónimo	1		
	San Luis Acatlán	1	1997	
	San Miguel Totolapán	1	2003	
	Tlapehuala	1	2002	
	Puebla	Acatlán de Osorio	7	1961-1999
		Atlixco	1	1986
Chiautla de Tapia		1	1981	
Huehuetlán el Chico		1	1965	
Oaxaca	Santiago Niltepec	1	1995-1997	
	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	1	1985	
Morelos	Cuernavaca	1	1987	
	Xochitepec	1	1998	
Veracruz		1	1995	
	Orizaba	1	2004	



Figure 2. Known occurrence points of patients with visceral leishmaniasis (VL) (red squares), dogs infected with *Leishmania* (green stars), *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (black dots) and *Lutzomyia evansi* (yellow triangle shown by arrow).

Table 4. Patients with visceral leishmaniasis in the state of Chiapas (1990-2010)

Mean age (months)	33
Male	38
Female	33
Not reported	12

population.²⁰⁻²² We found that the distribution of patients with VL and dogs infected with *Leishmania* overlaps with the distribution area of *Lu. longipalpis* and *Lu. evansi*, two sand fly species that are vectors of *Leishmania infantum*. Thus, our study provides useful information of a potential risk area of VL in Mexico.

It is noteworthy that VL caused by *L. mexicana* has also been reported in the state of Tabasco where cutaneous leishmaniasis is prevalent and dogs infected with *L. mexicana* were found to present cutaneous lesions.^{26,27} These lesions contrast with those of dogs infected with *L. infantum* because the latter can present a variety of signs including skin lesions such as ulcerative dermatitis, skin exfoliation and alopecia in combination with onychogryphosis, progressive loss of weight leading to emaciation and cachexia, ocular signs, epistaxis, polyuria, diarrhea, melena, lameness, lymphadenomegaly, splenomegaly, fever, ascites and diverse arthropathies. Yet they can also be asymptomatic and apparently resistant to clinical disease, especially dogs that are chronically exposed to the parasite and therefore developed an effective immune response.²⁸

It is noteworthy that *Lu. longipalpis* has also been reported in the Yucatan Peninsula from different sampling sites; however, parasite occurrence in this area still remains unknown due to the lack of clinical reports and parasite detection.

Although our present work has limitations of not being a population-based random sampling study and therefore does not provide an accurate view of the prevalence of *Leishmania* infection in the canine population of this zone of Guerrero, it does indicate that a well-established focus exists. Our preliminary data on the prevalence of canine leishmaniasis equals the results of some investigators from other parts of the world. This converts the dog as a possible natural reservoir of leishmaniasis that could play an important role in the ecoepidemiology of the disease causing a potential public health problem that needs to be addressed.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants CONACyT 102155 and PAPIIT, DGAPA-IN 220109 to I.B.

Correspondence: Ingeborg Becker, MD, PhD
Departamento de Medicina Experimental,
Facultad de Medicina
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Mexico, D.F., Mexico
E-mail: becker@servidor.unam.mx

REFERENCES

1. Mauricio IL, Howard MK, Stothard JR, Miles MA. Genomic diversity in the *Leishmania donovani* complex. *Parasitology* 1999;119:237-246.
2. Maingon RD, Ward RD, Hamilton JG, Bauzer LG, Peixoto AA. The *Lutzomyia longipalpis* species complex: does population sub-structure matter to *Leishmania* transmission? *Trends Parasitol* 2008;24:12-17.
3. Ibáñez-Bernal S, Rodríguez-Domínguez G, Gómez-Hernández CH, Ricárdez-Esquínca JR. First record of *Lutzomyia evansi* (Nuñez-Tovar 1924) in Mexico (Diptera: Psychodidae, Phlebotominae). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2004;99:127-129.
4. Travi BL, Vélez ID, Brutus L, Segura I, Jaramillo C, Montoya J. *Lutzomyia evansi*, an alternate vector of *Leishmania chagasi* in a Colombian focus of visceral leishmaniasis. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1990;84:676-677.
5. Zeledón R, Murillo J, Gutiérrez H. Observaciones sobre la ecología de *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Lutz & Neiva, 1912) y posibilidades de existencia de leishmaniasis visceral en Costa Rica. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 1984;79:455-459.
6. Montoya-Lerma J, Cadena H, Oviedo M, Ready PD, Barazarte R, Travi BL, et al. Comparative vectorial efficiency of *Lutzomyia evansi* and *Lu. longipalpis* for transmitting *Leishmania chagasi*. *Acta Trop* 2003;85:19-29.
7. Salomón OD, Quintana MG, Bruno MR, Quiriconi RV, Cabral V. Visceral leishmaniasis in border areas: clustered distribution of phlebotomine sand flies in Clorinda, Argentina. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2009;104:801-804.
8. Andrade Barata R, França-Silva JC, Mayrink W, Costa da Silva J, Prata A, Seixas Lorosa E, et al. Aspectos da ecologia e do comportamento de flebotomíneos em área endêmica de leishmaniose visceral, Minas Gerais. *Rev Soc Brasil Med Trop* 2005;38:421-425.
9. Pech-May A, Escobedo-Ortegón FJ, Berzunza-Cruz M, Rebol-lar-Téllez EA. Incrimination of four sandfly species previously unrecognized as vectors of *Leishmania* parasites in Mexico. *Med Vet Entomol* 2010;24:150-161.
10. Young DG, Duncan MA. Guide to the Identification and Geographic Distribution of *Lutzomyia* Sand Flies in Mexico, the West Indies, Central and South America (Diptera: Psychodidae). *Memoirs of the Entomological Institute No. 54*. Gainesville: Associated Publishers; 1994.
11. Travi BL, Montoya J, Gallego J, Jaramillo C, Llano R, Velez ID. Bionomics of *Lutzomyia evansi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) vector of visceral leishmaniasis in northern Colombia. *J Med Entomol* 1996;33:278-285.
12. Lainson R, Ward RD, Shaw JJ. Experimental transmission of *Leishmania chagasi*, causative agent of neotropical visceral leishmaniasis, by the sandfly *Lutzomyia longipalpis*. *Nature* 1977;266:628-630.
13. Cruz-López O, Tamariz-Cruz O, Gándara-Ramírez JL, Rojas-Domínguez R, Cárdenas-Perea ME. A case of visceral leishmaniasis. *Rev Invest Clin* 1997;49:231-235.
14. Trejo-Pérez JA, Miranda-Novales MG, Solórzano-Santos F, Cabrera-Muñoz L, Díaz-Ponce H. Kala-azar in Mexico: report of 2 cases. *Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex* 1993;50:662-665.
15. Dorantes-Mesa S. Five cases of kala-azar collected in Mexico. *Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex* 1988;45:546-551.
16. Aguirre A, Biagi F, Hernández Nieto A. Second autochthonous case of kala-azar in Mexico. *Visceral leishmaniasis*. *Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex* 1963;20:317-333.
17. Baez Villaseñor J, Ruiloba J, Rojas E, Treviño A, Campillo C. A case of kala-azar in Mexico. *Bol Oficina Sanit Panam* 1953;34:23-30.
18. Velasco-Castrejón, O, Guzmán-Bracho C, Rivas-Sánchez B, Aguilar-Torrentera F. Las Leishmaniasis, con Especial Referencia a México. *Publicación Técnica del INDRE n° 4*, 1994. México: INDRE/SSA.
19. Montalvo Vázquez AM. Leishmaniasis en pediatría. Reporte de cinco casos en el *Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez*. Tesis para la Especialidad en Pediatría Médica, UNAM, Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez. México; 1995.
20. Margonari C, Freitas CR, Ribeiro RC, Moura ACM, Timbó M, Gripp AH, et al. Epidemiology of visceral leishmaniasis through spatial analysis, in Belo Horizonte municipality, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2006;101:31-38.
21. Werneck GL, Costa CHN, Walker AM, David JR, Wand M, Maguire JH. Multilevel modelling of the incidence of visceral leishmaniasis in Teresina, Brazil. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007;135:195-201.

22. Queiroz PVS, Monteiro GRG, Macedo VPS, Rocha MAC, Batista LMM, Quieroz JW, et al. Canine visceral leishmaniasis in urban and rural areas of Northeast Brazil. *Res Vet Sci* 2009;86:267-273.
23. Ricárdez-Esquinca R, Gómez-Hernández C, Guevara A. Encuesta rápida de Leishmaniasis visceral en caninos en un área endémica en Chiapas. *REDVET* 2005;6. Available at: <http://www.veterinaria.org/revistas/redvet/n080805B/080503B.pdf>
24. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI). Available at: <http://www.inegi.gob.mx>
25. Berzunza-Cruz M, Bricaire G, Salaiza-Suazo N, Pérez-Montfort R, Becker I. PCR for identification of species causing American cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Parasitol Res* 2009;104:691-699.
26. Velasco-Castrejón O, Rivas-Sánchez B, Munguía-Saldaña A, Hobart O. Leishmaniasis cutánea de perros en México. *Enf Inf Microbiol* 2009;29:135-140.
27. Monroy-Ostria A, Hernández-Montes O, Barker DC. Aetiology of visceral leishmaniasis in Mexico. *Acta Trop* 2000;75:155-161.
28. Pinelli E, Killick-Kendrick R, Wagenaar J, Bernardina W, del Real G, Ruitenberg J. Cellular and humoral immune responses in dogs experimentally and naturally infected with *Leishmania infantum*. *Infect Immun* 1994;62:229-235.