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RESUMEN
Entre enero y septiembre de 2006 se capturaron 21 cocodrilos (*Crocodylus acutus*) y se recapturaron seis en cuatro sistemas lagunares de la costa sur de Quintana Roo para analizar los contenidos estomacales. Las presas encontradas en el contenido estomacal se dividieron en cinco grupos y se realizaron análisis basados en la frecuencia y en el volumen. Las presas encontradas en orden de importancia fueron peces, crustáceos, aves, mamíferos e insectos. La dieta de los cocodrilos cambió considerablemente de acuerdo con el tamaño corporal de los individuos. Los cocodrilos adultos agregaron a su dieta presas de mayor talla como aves y mamíferos. Peces y crustáceos estuvieron representados en la dieta de individuos de todas las clases de tamaño, demostrando la importancia que estas presas tienen en la dieta de *C. acutus*. 
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ABSTRACT
Between January and September 2006, 21 crocodiles (*Crocodylus acutus*) were captured and six were recaptured in four lagoons located in the southern coast of Quintana Roo. Stomach contents were obtained in order to identify prey items, which were analyzed by the frequency and volume method. In decreasing order of importance, prey items were fish, crustaceans, birds, mammals and insects. Diet of crocodiles changed considerably according to their body size. Adult crocodiles added larger preys (birds and mammals) to their diet; fish and crustaceans were present in the diet at all sizes, thus showing the importance of these prey in the diet of American crocodiles. 
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INTRODUCTION
The American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) is distributed along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts, from Mexico to South America, the Caribbean Islands (Cuba, Jamaica, and Hispaniola), and southeastern Florida in the United States (Thorbjarnarson 1989). Diet represents an important ecological feature of every organism since it affects the body condition, behavior, growth, and reproduction.
Crocodiles ingest a wide variety of prey as a result of large ontogenetic change in their body size (Barr 1997). In order to understand the ecology and behavior of crocodiles in their natural habitat, it is important to have information on the feeding habits and how these might vary with season, body size, sex and habitat. This paper documents the feeding habits of American crocodiles in several small coastal lagoons in the southern Caribbean coast of Mexico. The study focuses on diet changes according to location, season, ontogeny, and sex.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Crocodiles were captured from four lagoons in southern Quintana Roo (Mexican Caribbean, Fig. 1), during the first five days of each month (January-September 2006), either directly by hand or by means of a pole with nose wire, depending on size. Once on board (a 3.6 m aluminum boat with a 15 HP outboard engine), sex and total length (TL) of crocodiles were determined. Crocodiles were classified into four size classes: hatchlings (TL<30 cm), juveniles (TL=30-90 cm), subadults (TL=90-180 cm), and adults (TL>180 cm) (Platt & Thorbjarnarson 2000). Animals were marked by clipping tail scutes in a coded pattern (Platt & Thorbjarnarson 1997). The hose and Heimlich maneuver technique described by Fitzgerald (1989) was used to extract all the stomach contents, PVC tubes were used to keep the jaws open and a hose of appropriate size (five to 15 mm diameter) coated with vegetable oil was inserted into the stomach; then water was introduced until stomach was completely full. A light massage in the abdominal region (Heimlich maneuver) resulted in the expulsion of the stomach content. Stomach contents were preserved in 10% formalin to stop digestion and later stored in 70% ethanol.

Fishes were identified according to Castro-Aguirre et al. (1999) and Schmitter-Soto (1998), while identification of other groups followed Howell and Webb (1995) for birds, Villalobos (1998) and Williams (1984) for crustaceans, and Domínguez (1990) and Morón et al. (1997) for insects. In addition, the help of experts was sought and the biological collection of ECOSUR was consulted for reference.

Prey found in the stomach content were categorized as fresh (recently ingested) or old (not recently ingested), because the chitinous exoskeleton of insects and crustaceans can remain in the stomach of crocodiles for several months (Delany & Abercrombie 1986, Thorbjarnarson 1993, Barr 1997).

Dietary niche breadth was measured by sex and size class using the equation of Levins (1968, cited by Krebs 1999). Kruskal-Wallis and $\chi^2$ tests were used to look for significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in the relative volume of prey items by size class, sex, and location. The $\chi^2$ was used to test the null hypothesis of uniform distribution of data.
RESULTS

A total of 21 crocodiles (12 females, nine males) were captured comprising subadults (76%, n=16), adults (14%, n=3) and juveniles (9%, n=2). Six individuals were recaptured once, and the minimum time to recapture was one month in only two individuals. Night surveys were conducted starting 20-30 min after sunset to ensure sufficient darkness to detect eye shine (Messel et al. 1981), and finishing at dawn. With the exception of one individual captured in a small sandy beach, most crocodiles were captured next or under the roots of mangroves (Rhizophora mangle) along the shores of lagoons. One female (154 cm TL) had an empty stomach. The survey in Laguna Xcalak was complete, as well as in Laguna Cementerio. In Río Huach a survey of the whole lagoon system was not feasible because in some sites access was too difficult. In Bacalar Chico the only survey conducted was in some channels.

Figure 1. Localization of lagoons where individuals of Crocodylus acutus were captured in southern Quintana Roo.
Table 1. Percent volume of food items in stomach contents of *Crocodylus acutus* in southern Quintana Roo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LT (cm)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Date 2006</th>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>Crustaceans</th>
<th>Birds</th>
<th>Insects</th>
<th>Mammals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Apr 5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jul 11</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Jan 18</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Aug 17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Aug 16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jul 12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Jan 18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Feb 27</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jun 13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Apr 4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Apr 5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Apr 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jun 13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Sep 13</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Jul 10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Mar 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Sep 13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Jun 14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stomach contents.** Five prey groups were found in the stomach contents. Females prefer fish and crustaceans, in that order. Males have a more diversified diet, composed of fish, mammals, birds, crustaceans and insects (Tables 1, 2). Kruskal-Wallis test showed no significant differences (*H* = 5.78, *P* = 0.016), excepting the relationship between birds consumed by each sex; only males preyed upon birds.

Four fish taxa were identified based on remains of scales (*Gerres cinereus, Cyprinodon artifrons, Gambusia yucatana, and Eucinostomus* sp.). Crustacean remains were mainly appendages, and species identification was very difficult, because it is usually necessary to have at least part of the carapace. Three crustacean species (*Panoplax depressa, Palaemonetes intermedius* and *Callinectes* sp.) were identified. As for mammals, only hair masses with connective tissue were found and
species identification was not possible. Remains of a beetle of the family Hydroptilidae and five individuals of the genus Heterosternus were found. Also, remains of one individual of the order Hemiptera and one of the family Stratiomyidae were found. There were bird remains belonging to three species (Phalacrocorax brasilianus, P. auritus and Anas discors) in four different individuals of C. acutus.

Eleven food items were obtained in the dry season and 14 in the rainy season. During the rainy season food consumption was higher (60.15% total volume) than during the dry season (39.85%), but the main prey item in both seasons was fish.

Juvenile and subadult crocodiles consumed mostly fish, followed by crustaceans and insects although the latter also included mammals in their diet. Adult crocodiles consumed mainly mammals and birds as well as some crustaceans, fish and insects.

Dietary niche breadth was significantly greater ($x^2 = 11.55; df = 2; P < 0.001$) in subadult crocodiles (BA = 0.085) than in juveniles (BA = 0.027) or adults (BA = 0.032).

Crocodiles from Laguna Cementerio had greater food volume in their stomachs ($H = 11.17, P = 0.010$) compared to individuals from the remaining sites. The $x^2$ goodness-of-fit test indicated that food relative volume was not uniform among prey types ($x^2 = 13.66; df = 5; P < 0.001$).

**DISCUSSION**

Stomach contents composition of C. acutus was very similar to that previously reported by Gómez (2004) in Sian Ka’an Biosphere Reserve, north of our study area. However, variation in stomach contents is more apparent in its biomass, attributable to differences in fresh and old prey proportions in our samples. A high proportion of fresh prey in the samples indicates that the crocodiles are feeding frequently (Rice 2004). Analysis of stomach contents in this study suggested that fresh fish were usually consumed by crocodiles, as fish remains together with scales were found in the majority of stomachs. Gómez (2004) reported only fish scales in C. acutus stomach contents, and although these represented an old food item, it was however the most important item in the crocodiles’ diet.

Table 2. Percent volume of food items in stomach contents of captured (C) and recaptured (R) Crocodylus acutus in southern Quintana Roo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LT (cm)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jan 18</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Jul 12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Sep 12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Aug 16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sep 12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Apr 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alvarez del Toro (1974) and Thorbjarnarson (1989) stated that this crocodile shows piscivorous habits. In contrast, Casas-Andreu & Barrios (2003) found that the main prey of *C. acutus* in the Pacific coast of Mexico were mammals, birds and fish. Other authors have also reported fish as the main component of the diet for crocodilians (Delany & Abercrombie 1986, Thorbjarnarson 1993, Tucker *et al.* 1996). Differences on diet might depend on the type of habitat (Delany & Abercrombie 1986, Magnusson *et al.* 1987), but we suspect that findings of Casas-Andreu & Barrios (2003) are biased by the method used (feces analysis instead of stomach contents).

Fish diversity is relatively high in the wetland systems located within the study area, despite their limited size (Avilés-Torres *et al.* 2001). Crocodiles seem to be eating the most likely available small fish species. Large fish (lutjanids, haemulids or albulids) were absent from the stomach contents, even though they are very abundant in these lagoons. These wetlands are a functional unit that includes different biotopes (coral reefs, mangroves, seagrasses and coastal lagoons), which are utilized by a huge diversity of species in different life stages (larvae, juveniles, adults) for breeding, feeding, or reproduction (Claro 1994).

In this study, fishes were the main prey for juvenile and subadult crocodiles; whereas mammals and birds were for adults. These differences might be a consequence of skull (jaw) development, thus providing an increase in the possibility of diet diversification, since it gives the capacity of consuming larger prey (Tucker *et al.* 1996). Concerning the ingestion of birds, males consume them more than females, which may be related to the fact that males were larger (TL) than females. Similarly, ontogenetic changes on diet reflect the ability of larger individuals to catch larger preys (Magnusson *et al.* 1987, Fitzgerald 1989).

Gómez (2004) did not find insects in the stomach contents of *C. acutus*. This prey item was found to be minimally ingested by adults in our study area, although probably insects are accidental or secondarily ingested. Delany & Abercrombie (1986), Barr (1997) and Rice (2004) pointed out that ingestion of invertebrates increases with the size of crocodiles. Occurrence of large prey on diet of crocodiles enormously contributes to biomass, since they prefer them to maximize nutritional efficiency when different preys have the same availability (Wolfe *et al.* 1987). Crustaceans were an abundant prey for subadult crocodiles in our study area, especially mangrove crabs and crabs of genus *Brachyura*, similar to the findings of Gómez (2004). Blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) is very common in the lagoons, so this prey item seems to be consumed opportunistically by *C. acutus*. Subadults had a broader niche as they consumed five prey types.

Less than a half (44%) of the analyzed stomach contents contained vegetation, mainly marine grass and small pieces of wood. Several authors have also found plant remains in the stomachs of crocodilians considering these to be accidentally ingested
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