Some atmospheric aerosol characteristics as determined from laser angular scattering measurements at a continental urban station
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ABSTRACT

A bistatic continuous wave Argon ion lidar system has been used to collect information on the variation of laser return signal strength from the lower atmosphere with scattering angles at a fixed altitude of scattering. Lidar data collected on 50 clear sky days during the period of April 1987 - December 1995 at a continental tropical urban station have been used for the study. The experimental data have been compared with the theoretically computed differential Mie scattering cross sections at the common scattering angles to determine the most probable value of size index, v, and the complex index of refraction, m, applicable to the environment over the observing site by adopting a library-search method and simple statistical tools. The study showed that the most frequently occurring aerosol type at the location is dust-like with a most probable value of size index 4.5. Retrieval of aerosol number concentration, using this information together with the experimental values of scattered signal strength, yields an average concentration value in the range of $2 - 3 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ in the atmospheric layer close to the surface.
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1. Introduction
Particulate material suspended in the atmosphere, commonly referred to as atmospheric aerosol, is produced by both nature and man. Naturally occurring materials include volcanic dust, meteoric dust, spores and seeds, particles of soil, sea salt, etc. Man’s activities contribute such materials as fly ash from smoke stacks, mineral particles from industrial sources, dusts from mining, particles produced from combustion, etc. With the passage of time, these aerosols are modified from their original forms by coagulation, sedimentation and other processes which tend to reduce the number of very large and very small particles, leaving particles of intermediate range ~ 0.1 - 10 µm radius in the atmosphere which have an atmospheric residence time of about one week. Particles in this size range produce significant optical effects in the atmosphere, including perturbation of the radiative transfer of energy between the sun and the earth. There is growing concern in recent years about the increased amount of aerosols in the atmosphere, especially due to various human/anthropogenic activities, and ultimately how they affect the climate on global and regional scales (IPCC, 1996).
Thus, the determination of the optical properties of atmospheric aerosols has become a critical factor in assessing their climatic impact. These properties are functions of the chemical composition, concentration, morphology and size distribution of aerosols in different geographical locations, at different altitudes, etc. In their attempts to study the radiative energy exchange in the earth-atmosphere system and the effect of aerosols on this exchange, several investigators assumed aerosols as homogenous spheres so that the aerosol scattering characteristics may be uniquely and analytically determined using Mie’s solution of general scattering theory. Here the scattering coefficients are related to the size and composition of spherical particles through a size parameter and a complex refraction index.

Many practical problems associated with the scattering of laser radiation in the atmosphere require knowledge of the energy losses due to various scattering phenomena as well as that of the angular distribution and polarization of scattered radiation (Zuev, 1982). The angular variation of the intensity of light scattered from atmospheric aerosols has been studied by a few earlier investigators (Grams et al., 1974; Babenko et al., 1975; Tanaka et al., 1982; Parameswaran et al., 1984; Takamura and Sasano, 1987; Ernest Raj et al., 1995; Pandithurai et al., 1996). Laser radar (Lidar) systems have been very effectively utilized to study various aerosol characteristics because aerosols have relatively large optical scattering cross-sections (e.g., Carswell, 1983). Further, the capabilities of laser aerosol monitoring methods have been greatly enhanced with the advent of the bistatic mode of operation of the lidar, where the laser source and the receiver are separated by a finite distance, to obtain scattered intensity at various scattering angles (Reagan and Herman, 1970; Ward et al., 1973; Parameswaran et al., 1984; Ernest Raj et al., 1987). The scattered intensity from molecules varies as the cosine of the scattering angle and is governed by Rayleigh theory, while that of radiation scattered by aerosols depends on their size distribution and refractive index, governed by Mie scattering theory. A bistatic continuous wave Argon ion lidar system is in operation at the Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune (18° 32’N, 73° 51’E, 559 m above mean sea level), India since October 1986 to obtain vertical distributions of aerosol number density in the lower troposphere. This lidar system has been used in the present study to collect information
on the variation of laser-return signal strength with a scattering angle at a fixed altitude of scattering in the surface layer. This information has been used to determine the most probable values of size index, \( n \), which represents the slope of the size distribution curve, and the refractive index, \( m \), applicable to the observation site, by adopting a library-search (L-S) method and a simple statistical approach. The methodology followed here, the data collected and the results obtained are presented in the following sections.

2. Methodology

The bistatic lidar system at this location basically consists of a continuous-wave 4-watt (multi-line) Argon ion laser as the transmitter. The laser is operated at the prominent laser line of 0.5145 \( \mu \text{m} \) and the laser is linearly polarized in the vertical plane. A beam steering device is used at the transmitter-end to tilt the laser beam to the desired angle. The receiving system essentially consists of a 25 cm diameter Newtonian telescope, a narrow-band interference filter of 1 nm FWHM and a Peltier cooled photomultiplier tube. The transmitter and the receiver are separated by 60 m in the same horizontal plane. The entire lidar system is installed on the terrace of the Institute building, which is about 13 m above ground level. A detailed description of the lidar system at Pune is given in an earlier publication (Devara and Ernest Raj, 1987). The received power \( P_r \) at any scattering angle \( \theta \) in the bistatic configuration is given by

\[
P_r = \frac{P_l T_X T_R V A_r \eta [N \sigma(\theta)]}{R_1^2 R_2^2 d\omega_1},
\]

where \( P_l \) is the transmitting power of the laser and \( V \) is the volume enclosed by the intersection of the transmitting and receiving beams (scattering volume). \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are the ranges of the center of scattering volume from the transmitter and receiver, and \( T_X \) and \( T_R \) are atmospheric transmittances along these paths. \( A_r \) is the collecting area of the receiver, \( \eta \) the system constant including the overall optical efficiencies of the system, \( d\omega_1 \) is the transmitted beam solid angle. \( N \) is the number density (cm\(^{-3}\)) of the scatterers and \( \sigma(\theta) \) is the differential scattering cross-section (cm\(^2\) sr\(^{-1}\)) at scattering angle \( \theta \). Rearranging the above equation and taking all the known terms to the right-hand side, we get

\[
N\sigma(\theta) = \frac{P_l R_1^2 R_2^2 d\omega_1}{P_l T_X T_R V A_r \eta} = N_S.
\]

\( N_S \) is subsequently referred to as normalized signal strength in the text. Now it is evident from the above equation that once the appropriate values of differential cross-section are known, from the lidar experimental data it is possible to estimate the aerosol number density within experimental error.
The scattered signal from the atmosphere contains contributions from air molecules and aerosols whose scattering cross sections differ from each other mainly because of their size differences. Thus the composite angular variation of the scattered signal depends on aerosols and molecular cross sections and the number densities, but the average scattered intensity for molecules vanishes at scattering angle 90°. Also as the probing laser wavelength in the present experiments is 0.5145 µm, the scattered intensity variations are assumed to be predominantly due to aerosols. Mie theory assumes spherical scatterers and for an aerosol unit volume having different particle radii ranging from \( r_i \) to \( r_f \), the differential Mie scattering cross section of aerosols, \( \sigma_a(\theta) \) can be written as

\[
\sigma_a(\theta) = \int_{r_i}^{r_f} \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi^2} \right) i_2(r, \lambda, \theta, m) dN_a(r),
\]

where \( i_2 \) is the Mie scattering function when the incident light is polarized parallel to the scattering plane (McCready, 1976; Chylek et al., 1975), \( dN_a(r) \) represents the number of particles between radii \( r \) and \( r + dr \) in unit volume, \( \theta \) is the scattering angle, \( m \) the refractive index, \( r \) the particle size and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength. For computations with Mie scattering theory in the case of aerosols, the nature of the size distribution is to be known. The following modified power law distribution by McClatchey et al. (1972) has been used in the computations here.

\[
N(r) = \frac{dN_a(r)}{dr} = C \times 10^v \quad \text{for} \quad 0.02 \mu\text{m} < r < 0.1 \mu\text{m}
\]

\[
= C r^{-\nu} \quad \text{for} \quad 0.1 \mu\text{m} < r < 10 \mu\text{m}
\]

\[
= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r < 0.02 \mu\text{m} \text{ and } r > 10 \mu\text{m}
\]

where \( \nu \) is the aerosol size index (also called the Junge size exponent or the shaping parameter) and \( C \) is a normalized constant. Using the above equations, the differential Mie scattering cross sections have been computed and presented here.

3. Experimental scheme and data

The experimental schematic followed in the laser angular scattering measurements is shown in Figure 1. Scattering angle is varied from 90° to 175° in 16 steps by reorienting the transmitter and receiver elevation angles appropriately. The transmitter-receiver elevation angle combinations adopted here and the corresponding scattering angles are shown in Table 1. The Table also shows the height of the common scattering volume (\( H_i \)) and ranges \( R_i \) and \( R_f \) corresponding to the 16 scattering angles. The mean height of scattering is 30.08 m with the variation being only 0.4%. Thus, the experimental arrangement could maintain a nearly constant scattering altitude. Also, the maximum range from the lidar in the horizontal direction is about 170 m. Therefore it is fair to assume that the
composition of aerosols and their number density (which are expected to vary with altitude and horizontal range) remain reasonably constant at all the above 16 scattering angles within a radius of 170 m during the experimental period of about 20 minutes. The laser angular scattering experiment is conducted generally between 1900 and 2030 hrs LT during clear sky conditions. Laser scattered signal strength (received power) from the atmosphere at all the above 16 scattering angles is recorded for a constant laser transmitter power of 200 mW. At each scattering angle, 10 to 15 values of signal-plus-noise and noise each are collected. From the mean values of received signal

Table 1. Geometrical parameters and mean normalized signal strength ($N_s$) at 16 scattering angles adopted in the experimental scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_i$ No.</th>
<th>$\theta_r$ (deg)</th>
<th>$\theta_e$ (deg)</th>
<th>$\theta$ (deg)</th>
<th>$H_v$ (m)</th>
<th>$R_1$ (m)</th>
<th>$R_2$ (m)</th>
<th>Average $N_s$ ($\times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>171.6</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>0.6162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>159.7</td>
<td>171.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>0.6161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>167.4</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>0.6270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>0.6123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>155.2</td>
<td>30.31</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>0.5662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>147.9</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.5336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>137.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.4637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.3964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.3522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.3371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.3226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.3112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.3004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>0.3148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0.3292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>0.3436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
strength, power received is computed and at each scattering angle the normalized signal strength, 
$N_s$ as given by equation (1) is computed. The angular scattering experiment is conducted once a 
month as part of our regular lidar measurement program. Due to unfavorable weather/sky conditions, 
fewer observations can be made during the monsoon season from June to September. But over the 
8-year period of observations undertaken at this lidar site, the observational days were more or less 
evenly spread out over all the seasons and the overall average can be representative of the mean 
picture for this location. Thus, the data collected on 50 days during the period of April 1987 - 
December 1995 have been used in the present analysis.

4. Results and discussion

According to equation (1), within the limitations of experimental error, the accuracy of estimation of 
aerosol number density, $N$ depends solely on the choice of the best possible values of $\sigma_r(\theta)$. Mie 
scattering cross-section in fact depends on scattering angle ($\theta$), wavelength of probing ($\lambda$), size 
index ($v$) and refractive index ($m$). Data collected in the present study correspond to the fixed 
Argon ion laser wavelength of 0.5145 $\mu$m. Sixteen scattering angles used in the actual lidar angular 
scattering experiments and shown in Table 1 have been considered in the computations of $\sigma_r(\theta)$.

In some of the earlier investigations (Parameswaran et al., 1984; Pandithurai et al., 1996) values of $v$ 
considered for the computations of Mie scattering cross-sections are whole numbers like 2.0, 
3.0, 4.0 etc. In the present study, 52 values of $v$ in the range of 2.0 to 7.1 (e.g., 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, ...,
6.9, 7.0, 7.1) have been considered to determine the closest / maximum probable value of $v$ that 
can best represent the type of aerosols present over the lidar observational site. For simplicity and 
computational convenience, many of the investigators consider only the real part of the complex 
refractive index ($m = 1.50$) which ignores the absorption property of the aerosol under study. In the 
present study also this ‘no absorption’ case has been considered. Besides this, following Shettle and 
Fenn (1979), complex refractive index values appropriate for water-soluble (1.53 - i 0.005), dust-like 
(1.53 - i 0.008) and soot-type (1.75 - i 0.45) aerosols appropriate to the laser wavelength of 
0.5145 $\mu$m are also considered for the analysis here.

Thus, in all four typical values of $m$ representing different environmental conditions or those 
representing four different aerosol compositions are used. Now for each value of $m$, Mie scattering 
cross-section values at 16 scattering angles for each of the 52 $v$ values (2.0 to 7.1) are computed 
using the equation (2). Here the upper and lower limits of integration in the equation have been 
taken to be 10 $\mu$m ($r_d$) and 0.1 $\mu$m ($r_i$), respectively. As such, the computations give 52 curves of 
$\sigma_r(\theta)$ versus $\theta$ for each value of $m$. Figs. 2a - 2d show the variations of differential Mie scattering 
cross-sections with scattering angles for $v = 2.0$ to 7.1 (52 curves) for each of the above mentioned 
four refractive index types, namely, no absorption, water soluble, dust-like and soot-type, respectively. 
The digital output data from these computations is stored in the computer in readable format for 
comparison later with the experimental data and for further analysis by the library-search method. 
It may be noted from Figure 2 that the shape of the curve changes considerably for different 
combinations of $v$ and $m$ values, with minimum value of cross-section occurring between 100° and
Fig. 2. Variation of differential Mie scattering cross section with scattering angle for $\nu = 2.0$ to 7.1 (52 values) and for four refractive index types representing (a) no absorption, (b) water soluble, (c) dust-like and (d) soot-type.

110°. Furthermore, it is to be noted here that only 16 values of scattering angles that correspond to those used in the actual lidar angular scattering experiment are used to obtain these curves. However, if a larger number of $\theta$ values, closely spaced (within the range of 90° - 180°) were used in the Mie scattering computations, then the curves would have shown a well-defined minima and a smoother variation. Thus these $52 \times 4$ curves of $\sigma_\nu(\theta)$ have been used for comparing the experimental curve of angular variation of normalized signal strength ($N_S$).

The overall mean variation of scattered signal strength (normalized signal strength, $N_S$) with a scattering angle in the range 90° - 175° obtained for the 50 days of observations during the period of April 1987 - December 1995 is shown plotted in Figure 3. It is seen that $N_S$ is maximum at higher scattering angles and decreases rapidly with decreases in scattering angles of up to 105°. Then $N_S$ increases with further decreases in scattering angles till 90°. In fact, the individual (day’s) curves of scattered signal strength versus scattering angle show that the minimum in $N_S$ lies anywhere between 100° and 110°. The position of the observed minimum in scattered signal strength (or the shape of the curve around the minimum) gives information on the refractive index of the aerosol.
Fig. 3. Mean variation of scattered signal strength (normalized signal strength, N_s) with scattering angle.

The slope of this angular distribution curve between 110° and 170° gives information on the size distribution of aerosol present in the atmosphere. It has been observed in the present data set that this slope changed from day to day. Jinhuan et al. (1985) have pointed out that there are two scattering angle regions where the volume scattering function of aerosol is very sensitive to the real part or imaginary part of the refractive index. Scattering in the range of 70° - 120° is sensitive to the real part of the complex refractive index while it is more sensitive to the imaginary part around 50°. Further, the scattering function is sensitive to both the real and imaginary refractive index parts in the range of 165° - 180°.

It can be seen that the shape of the observed (experimental) mean signal strength curve in Figure 3 is very similar to the theoretically computed \(\sigma_v(\theta)\) curves shown in Figure 2. This is as expected, since equation (1) indicates that \(N_s\) is directly proportional to \(\sigma_v(\theta)\) at constant aerosol number density, \(N\). Now assuming that atmospheric aerosols are distributed homogeneously in the horizontal during the period of the experiment (post sunset period), the estimated aerosol number density at a constant altitude from different scattering angles should be constant or nearly equal within the limitations of experimental error. Thus, using the observed \(N_s\) values at the 16 scattering angles and choosing a particular set of \(\sigma_v(\theta)\) values (i. e., for a particular combination of \(v\) and \(m\)), corresponding 16 values of \(N\) are computed using equation (1). The mean \(N\), standard deviation and percentage coefficient of variation (% C. V.) are computed for this set of 16 values. If the above assumption of of aerosol number density at constant altitude was valid, then it is expected
that the computed C. V. value would be small. Following this procedure, using the computer stored (library) values of $\sigma_v(\theta)$, percentage C. V. values are determined for a fixed value of $m$ and for 52 different values of $v$ from 2.0 to 7.1. The procedure is then repeated for all the four refractive index types considered in the study. Now the variation of % C. V. with $v$ is plotted to determine for which value of $v$ the variability is minimum and also for which type of $m$ it is the least. Figure 4 shows these plots on two typical days of observation, i.e., 3/14/1990 and 4/23/1992. Here the variation of C. V. with $v$ are shown as separate curves for different $m$ types. It can be seen that C. V. is high for smaller values of $v$ and it smoothly decreases with increasing $v$ and reaches a minimum and then again it increases for higher values of $v$. This variation is true for all the aerosol types. The numerical value in the figure near the individual curves gives the value of $v$ where minimum C. V. occurs for each $m$ type. On both the days shown in Figure 4 the smallest value of C. V. is obtained for the case of dust-like aerosols. Thus, the most probable type of aerosol on both days is dust-like, but the probable value of $v$ is 3.8 and 4.6 respectively. A smaller value of $v$ indicates the presence of more relatively larger-sized particles on that particular day and vice versa. Such a day-to-day variation of $v$ can be expected as the size distribution in the surface layer in the vicinity of a large urban area can vary in source strength and transient atmospheric changes.

Fig. 4. Variation of percentage C.V. with size index $v$ for the four different types of aerosols on two typical days of observation.
Fig. 5. Variation of percentage C.V. with size index $\nu$ for the four different types of aerosols for the overall mean experimental data.

Using the mean $N_s$ curve shown in Figure 3, the variation of % C. V. with $\nu$ for different $m$ types are obtained by the same method followed above and shown plotted in Figure 5. Thus, on an average also, it is seen that the most probable type of aerosol composition at this location is dust-type and the minimum C. V. occurred at the $\nu$ value of 4.8. The value of $\nu$ thus retrieved from individual days varied from 4.2 to 5.2 for the cases of ‘no absorption’, water soluble and dust-like aerosols and it varied from 2.2 to 2.9 in the case of soot-type aerosols. The frequency of occurrence of a particular $\nu$ value for the 50 observation days is calculated for all the four $m$ types and the frequency distribution histograms are shown in Figure 6. It is seen that the most frequently occurring value of $\nu$ in the first three types is 4.5 and if soot-type aerosols are present over the observation site, the most probable $\nu$ value then would be 2.6. The frequency distribution also shows that it is skewed more towards the larger $\nu$ value side relative to the most frequently occurring value. This points out that the chances of the presence of smaller sized aerosol particles in the surface layer is greater, which again points to the influence of the anthropogenic origin of the aerosols observed.
Fig. 6. Frequency distribution of estimated size index $v$ for the four types of aerosols.

over the site. The analysis further showed that 84% of the cases indicated the dominant presence of dust-like aerosols at this site. The lidar site is located on the western edge of the large urban city of Pune. There is open barren land around the site with few low-level hillocks scattered in the surroundings. About 1 km further on the western side of the site are some traditional open-air brick baking kilns and small village-like settlements. There has also been concrete building construction activity going on for several years in the area around. All these activities may be directly or indirectly responsible for the observed aerosol/particle type at the site. As the observations are close to the surface, wind blown particles may have a dominant influence. Interestingly, the soot-type aerosols were also present 12% of the time. This further points out that aerosols of urban origin like transport vehicular emissions, bio-mass burning, etc. are also showing their influence in modifying the refractive index and size distribution of aerosols in the surface layer over the lidar site. As the experimental days are more or less uniformly spread out during all the seasons of the year, the most probable size index value, $v(4.5)$ and refractive index, $m (1.53 - i0.008)$ can be employed with greater confidence while computing the differential Mie scattering cross sections, $\sigma_n(\theta)$ which are ultimately used in the retrieval of vertical distributions of aerosol number density with the Argon ion lidar data collected at this location. Figure 7 shows the variation of mean aerosol number density with size index for the four types of refractive indices. As expected, aerosol number concentration would be higher for a
choice of a larger value of $v$. Thus, for a most probable value of 4.5 of $v$ determined in the present analysis, the aerosol number density in the surface layer (at 30 m) would be in the range of $2 \times 10^3$ to $3 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. It is also seen that for a variation of $v$ from 2.0 to 7.0, the estimated concentration can vary by more than 2 orders of magnitude. Therefore, for a good estimation of aerosol number density, it is essential to determine the most appropriate values of $v$ and $m$, which in turn can be used to theoretically compute the differential Mie scattering cross sections. Grams et al. (1974) measured angular scattering intensities with a polar nephelometer in the range of 10° - 170° and retrieved the refractive index value, but they reported an uncertainty factor. So, Jinhuang et al. (1985) first identified the scattering angle regions where volume scattering function is sensitive to real part and imaginary part of the refractive index and then using this information found more satisfactory results while retrieving the refractive index from remote sensing methods. The experimental scheme followed in the present study and the library search method adopted in retrieving the size index and refractive index seem to yield a fair estimate of these parameters, which in turn, facilitate the inversion of lidar data.
5. Conclusions
The present study shows one of the unique advantages of operating a lidar system in the bistatic mode, where angular variation of light intensity scattered from atmospheric aerosols can be recorded. An experiment designed to make observations of scattered intensity at different scattering angles but from a constant altitude of scattering, adopting a bistatic Argon ion lidar system has been described. A simple library-search method has been used to estimate the most probable size index and refractive index values that are necessary to compute Mie scattering cross sections applicable to the observation site. This in turn will help to better estimates aerosol number density from lidar vertical profiles of scattered signal strength.
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