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Summary

**Background:** In patients with myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction, the evidence of myocardial viability is primordial. There are some methods to detect the presence of myocardial viability, 201 thallium reinjection SPECT protocol represents the most common radioisotopic technique to evaluate it. Positron emission tomography (PET) using FDG is considered the gold standard. The aim of this study was to compare globally and by segments the value of both techniques in the detection of viable myocardium. **Methods:** Twenty-three consecutive patients with previous myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction were studied. All of them underwent into a SPECT perfusion scan and a FDG PET study to assess myocardial viability. Each study was performed in less than one week between the other. For the analysis, the myocardium was divided into 17 segments. A visual semi-quantitative analysis was carried out according to the following score indicating radiotracer uptake: O = normal to 4 = absent. Myocardial viability was defined as the presence of normal, mildly or moderately reduced radiotracer uptake. The scores obtained by PET were compared to those obtained in SPECT. A statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS v. 10. **Results:** 391 segments were analyzed. PET

Resumen

**Antecedentes:** La detección de la viabilidad miocárdica en pacientes con infarto de miocardio y disfunción del ventrículo izquierdo resulta indispensable. Existen varios métodos que permiten identificar viabilidad miocárdica, la técnica SPECT con protocolo reinyección con talio 201 representa la técnica radioisotópica más comúnmente empleada para evaluarla. Sin embargo, la tomografía por emisión de positrones (PET) usando fluorodeoxiglucosa es considerada hoy el "estándar de oro". El objetivo del presente trabajo es comparar en forma global y segmentaria el valor de ambas técnicas en la detección de mio-cardio viable. **Método:** Se estudiaron 23 pacientes con antecedente de infarto de miocardio y disfunción ventricular izquierda, a todos se les realizó un SPECT de perfusión y un estudio PET-FDG para identificar viabilidad. Los estudios fueron realizados al menos con una semana de diferencia. Para su análisis se utilizó el modelo de 17 segmentos. Las imágenes se evaluaron mediante un análisis visual semicuantitativo de acuerdo a la escala de 0 = captación normal a 4 = ausencia de
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detected viability in 130 segments that had been defined as non-viable by SPECT. No differences in the analysis by vascular territories were found. Thirty percent of the segments that were defined as non viable by SPECT were viable by PET, meanwhile only 1% of the segments detected viable by SPECT were considered non viable with PET. Conclusions: FDG PET study represents a better technique to detect myocardial viability, compared to thallium reinjection SPECT protocol. By this study we have demonstrated that more of 3 of each 10 studies may be diagnosed as non viable where viability is present.
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Introduction

The recognition of myocardial viability has important therapeutic and prognostic implications in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction. In patients with chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy, the presence of hibernating myocardial tissue represents regions at risk of infarction, potentially contributing to the development or exacerbation of heart failure. During the present decades, the management of patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction was limited to medical therapy; nonetheless, this strategy has been associated with a high mortality rate (15-60%). The revascularization of dysfunctional (asinergic) segments showing viability in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction has been associated to improved survival and New York Heart Association (NYHA) class. An improvement in global as well as regional left ventricular function has also been described. The identification of myocardial viability, in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction is useful in risk stratification, for it allows the prediction of a group of patients that may benefit the most from a revascularization procedure. The outcome from revascularization depends on the presence as well as the amount of hibernating myocardium related to dysfunctional segment. 201Tl SPECT (stress-redistribution-reinjection or late redistribution images) has been the most employed imaging technique for the assessment of myocardial viability in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction. PET imaging has demonstrated viability in almost half of myocardial regions with reversible perfusion defects on 201Tl SPECT. This non-invasive technique allows the assessment of cellular metabolism, associated with the presence of viable myocytes, by obtaining images with great resolution, with the advantage of low radiation exposure for the patient. Dilsizian et al and Marin-Neto et al compared 201Tl SPECT and 18FDG PET for the detection of myocardial viability, reporting 72% and 78% correlation between these methods, respectively. Various studies assessing myo-
Assessment of myocardial viability with $^{18}$FDG PET have been published. Image analysis in these studies has been made by dividing the myocardium in different segments. No studies have been performed in Mexico to compare $^{201}$TI SPECT and $^{18}$FDG PET for the detection of myocardial viability. The aim of this study was to compare globally and by segments the value of both techniques in the detection of myocardial viability.

Material and methods

Twenty-three patients were studied. All patients included in the study had a history of myocardial infarction, proved by electrocardiogram; coronary artery disease by coronary angiography; and left ventricular dysfunction (ejection fraction (EF) < 50%). Unstable angina, valvulopathies, congenital heart disease, and blood glucose higher than 120 mg/dL at the time of the study were considered as exclusion criteria. Poor quality images from either $^{18}$FDG PET or $^{201}$TI SPECT were used as elimination criteria.

All patients underwent a $^{201}$TI stress-redistribution-reinjection SPECT protocol. To induce a pharmacologic stress, dipyridamole was administered intravenously at a dose of 0.56 mg/kg over 4 minutes. During infusion, regular electrocardiographic and blood pressure monitoring was performed. $^{201}$TI (3mCi) was injected at minute 7, and stress images acquisition was started 5 minutes later. Redistribution images were obtained 4 hours later. Additional 1.5 mCi of $^{201}$TI were injected, images were acquired 3 hours later (reinjection). None of the patients had taken xanthine-containing drugs nor caffeine at least 24 hours previous to the study.

Image acquisition was performed with a dual-head SPECT gamma camera Millennium VG Hawkeye (General Electric, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) equipped with a high resolution collimator, 75 photomultiplier tubes, 0.64 cm width iodide crystals, and an Entegra processing system. Thirty-two projections (25 seconds/projection) were acquired by continuously rotating the camera through a 180° semicircular orbit (45° RAO-LPO). A 20% energy window centered at 60-80 KeV, and a second 10% energy window centered at 167 KeV were employed. A 64 x 64-pixel matrix was used.

A $^{18}$FDG PET study was performed to assess cardiac metabolism. Radiotracer was produced at the Cyclotron-UNAM. Subsequent to an overnight fast, a loading dose of 50 g of glucose was administered to every patient, after capillary glucose determination. Thirty minutes later, 10 mCi of $^{18}$FDG were injected intravenously. Image acquisition was performed 40 minutes after injection of the tracer, for a period of 40 minutes. A transmission scan was obtained to correct emission images for photon attenuation. PET imaging was performed on a full ring, whole-body system ECAT EXACT HR+ (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, TN, USA) in a 2-dimensional mode. This system covers an axial field-of-view of 14.5 cm by collecting 63 transverse slices with 4 mm slice thickness, with an in-plane resolution of 4.5-5.8 mm in transverse direction and 4.9-8.8 in axial direction.

All patients underwent to both studies within a mean period of 11 days (1-22 days). During this time, none of the patients presented acute coronary syndromes or were admitted to the hospital for cardiovascular reasons. All patients signed a written informed consent. All images acquired in both studies (SPECT and PET) were reconstructed in short-axis, vertical long axis and horizontal long-axis. For the analysis, the myocardium was divided into 17 segments. A visual semiquantitative analysis was made according to the following score related to the qualitative radiotracer uptake: 0 = normal to 4 = absent. Images were assessed by consensus of two experienced observers, unaware of the clinical data of each patient.

For either SPECT (reinjection) or PET images, myocardial viability was defined as the presence of normal (0) to moderately reduced (2) radiotracer uptake for each analyzed segment. Besides, the relationship between perfusion and metabolism (SPECT-PET) was classified as: normal (perfusion-metabolism preserved); match (diminished perfusion and metabolism); mismatch (diminished perfusion and preserved metabolism) or reverse mismatch (preserved perfusion and diminished metabolism). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v. 10. Data are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation (SD). Correlations were obtained for each segment and vascular territory.

Results

We studied twenty-three consecutive patients with history of myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction (EF: mean = 32%; SD:10); 20 men and 3 women; mean age: 54 years (SD 14). Principal characteristics of the
study population are described in Table I. Dyslipidemia (n = 16, 61.5%) and smoking (n = 14, 53.8%) were the most prevalent coronary risk factors; 10 patients (43.4%) had diagnosis of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; most (n = 16, 69.5%) of them were in NYHA class I. The most prevalent myocardial infarction localization was anteroseptal, in 12 patients (52.1%). Fourteen patients (60.8%) presented triple-vessel disease, as documented by coronary angiography. A total of 391 segments were analyzed, 127 were classified as normal, 67 matched, 182 mismatched and 15 reverse mismatched segments. Correlation between methods for each segment is shown in Figure I. Differences in the analysis were found among both methods. No differences were found regarding the score assigned by SPECT and PET in the analysis by vascular territory between diabetic vs non-diabetic patients. Differences were encountered between the number of segments detected as non-viable by SPECT and PET, most of the segments considered non-viable by SPECT were found viable with PET (Fig. I).

Among the 391 segments analyzed, 205 segments (52.4%) were detected as viable by PET as well as SPECT, 130 segments (33.3%) were defined as viable by PET and as non-viable by ²⁰¹Tl SPECT, 5 segments (1.2%) were defined as viable by SPECT and as non-viable by PET and the rest of the segments (13.1%) did not show viability neither by PET nor by SPECT (Table II).

Discussion
Nuclear medicine, using ²⁰¹Tl SPECT (stress-redistribution-reinjection) has been the most used method to evaluate myocardial viability.²⁰ FDG PET study has been reported as the better technique for the evaluation of myocardial viability previously to the revascularization therapy. However, because of the poor availability of this technique, a comparison between both techniques has not been done in our country before. The importance of the detection of myocardial viability is funded in the fact that patients with myocardial infarctions and left ventricular dysfunction with viability demonstrated by PET may be benefited from a revascularization procedure and patients without viability demonstrated may not.²¹ In the present study, we compared ²⁰¹Tl SPECT and ¹⁸FDG for the detection of myocardial viability in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction.

Among the 391 analyzed segments, we found more segments that showed perfusion/metabolism mismatch than perfusion/metabolism match (127 vs 67). A bad correlation (r < 0.7) between SPECT and PET was observed in the left anterior descendent artery and in the right coronary artery territories when vascular territories were analyzed. Using the segmental model, we showed a low correlation (p < 0.50) between both techniques in 5 of the 7 segments assigned to the LAD territory (all except basal anterior and basal anteroseptal) in 3 of the 5 segments assigned to the RCA territory (all except basal inferoseptal and mid inferoseptal) and in 2 of the 5 segments assigned to the LCx (basal inferolateral and mid inferolateral), which means that PET detected more viable segments than SPECT did (11 of 17 segments analyzed) and that these differences are statistically significant.

In this study, ¹⁸FDG PET detected more viable segments (30%) than ²⁰¹Tl SPECT. It means that 3 of each 10 patients may be diagnosed as without myocardial viability when in fact there is viability present.

Table I. Characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N = 23 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>51.7 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Male</td>
<td>20 (86.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Female</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>4 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>14 (60.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>10 (43.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>12 (52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>16 (69.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA Class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I</td>
<td>13 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• II</td>
<td>7 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• III</td>
<td>2 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IV</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (%)</td>
<td>32 ± 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected vessels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Three</td>
<td>13 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Two</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• One</td>
<td>7 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous angioplasty</td>
<td>8 (34.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgical revascularization</td>
<td>2 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction localization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Anteroseptal</td>
<td>12 (52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Anterior</td>
<td>2 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extense anterior</td>
<td>4 (17.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inferior</td>
<td>7 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Posteriorinferior</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lateral</td>
<td>5 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EF: Ejection fraction.
Comparing this study to the one reported by Bonow et al., in the present study a higher percentage of viable segments were founded, however patients at Bonow et al study had a lower mean EF than ours (27% vs 32%) which may explain this difference.

The results of this study are in agreement with those reported by Burt et al., who compared both methods in 20 patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction, and reported that in 23.3% of the segments that had been classified as non-viable by SPECT, PET detected viability.

A poor myocardial radiotracer uptake has been described in diabetic patients, with a subsequent poor image quality and lower accuracy of this technique. The use of the “euglycemic hyper-insulinemic clamp” has been reported to improve PET accuracy in diabetic patients. However, in our study, no differences between SPECT and PET scores were found in the analysis by vascular territories in diabetic vs non-diabetic patients, even in the absence of the euglycemic clamp method.

**Limitations of the study:** This study did not include the follow up of patients that were revascularized in agreement with the PET or SPECT results. However, several studies have shown that 18-FDG PET as well as Tl-201 SPECT are accurate methods for the prediction of postrevascularization improvement of ventricular function. Bonow et al. reported a good correlation between qualitative and quantitative image analysis, in the present study only semi-quantitative analysis was performed. We should also take an account the issue of limited clinical sample, we only included 23 patients. Trials that include a larger number of patients should be done in order to confirm the results founded in this study.

**Conclusions**

The recognition of myocardial viability has important therapeutic and prognostic implications in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction. PET constitutes an advanced technique that has high sensitivity in detection of myocardial viability. The segmental model analysis could be useful to recognize in precise form the specific number of viable segments that can improve after a coronary revascularization procedure. Based on the results of this study, 18FDG PET detects a higher number of viable segments in comparison with Tl-201 SPECT justifying its use in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction.
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